Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission

AGENDA

Thursday, August 1, 2013
9:00 a.m.

NOTE LOCATION THIS MONTH
Scotts Valley City Council Chambers
1 Civic Center Drive
Scotts Valley, CA

NOTE
See the last page for details about access for people with disabilities and meeting broadcasts.

En Español
Para información sobre servicios de traducción al español, dirijase a la última página.

AGENDAS ONLINE
To receive email notification when the RTC meeting agenda packet is posted on our website, please call (831) 460-3200 or email info@sccrtc.org to subscribe.

COMMISSION MEMBERSHIP

Caltrans (ex-officio) Tim Gubbins
City of Capitola Dennis Norton
City of Santa Cruz Don Lane
City of Scotts Valley Randy Johnson
City of Watsonville Eduardo Montesino
County of Santa Cruz Greg Caput
County of Santa Cruz Neal Coonerty
County of Santa Cruz Zach Friend
County of Santa Cruz John Leopold
County of Santa Cruz Bruce McPherson
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District Dene Bustichi
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District Ron Graves
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District Lynn Robinson

The majority of the Commission constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business.
Article 8 Transportation Development Act Claims – only City and County representatives vote
Article 4 Transportation Development Act Claims, Policy Issues, and SAFE – all 12 members vote
1. Roll call

2. Oral communications

   Any member of the public may address the Commission for a period not to exceed three minutes on any item within the jurisdiction of the Commission that is not already on the agenda. The Commission will listen to all communication, but in compliance with State law, may not take action on items that are not on the agenda.

   Speakers are requested to sign the sign-in sheet so that their names can be accurately recorded in the minutes of the meeting.

3. Additions or deletions to consent and regular agendas

   **CONSENT AGENDA**

   All items appearing on the consent agenda are considered to be minor or non-controversial and will be acted upon in one motion if no member of the RTC or public wishes an item be removed and discussed on the regular agenda. Members of the Commission may raise questions, seek clarification or add directions to Consent Agenda items without removing the item from the Consent Agenda as long as no other Commissioner objects to the change.

**MINUTES**

4. Approve draft minutes of the June 6, 2013 Regional Transportation Commission meeting

5. Approve draft minutes of the June 26, 2013 Transportation Policy Workshop special meeting

6. Accept draft minutes of the June 10, 2013 Bicycle Committee meeting

7. Accept draft minutes of the June 11, 2013 Elderly and Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee meeting

8. Accept draft minutes of the June 13, 2013 Budget and Administration/Personnel Committee meeting

9. Accept draft minutes of the June 20, 2013 Interagency Technical Advisory Committee (ITAC) meeting

**POLICY ITEMS**

   No consent items

**PROJECTS and PLANNING ITEMS**

10. Accept Scott Creek Project Concept Report Memorandum of Understanding
BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES ITEMS

11. Accept status reports on Transportation Development Act (TDA) revenues

ADMINISTRATION ITEMS

No consent items

INFORMATION/OTHER ITEMS

12. Accept monthly meeting schedule

13. Accept correspondence log

14. Accept letters from RTC committees and staff to other agencies
   a. Letter to the Honorable Mark DeSaulnier, Senate Transportation and Housing Committee Chairperson regarding support for SCA 8 (Corbett). Transportation project: special taxes: voter approval.
   b. Letter to the Honorable Mark DeSaulnier, Senate Transportation and Housing Committee Chairperson regarding support for SCA 4 (Liu). Local government transportation projects: special taxes: voter approval.
   c. Letter to John Presleigh, County of Santa Cruz Department of Public Works Director regarding East Cliff Drive Parkway improvement recommendations.
   d. Letter to John Presleigh, County of Santa Cruz Department of Public Works Director regarding County’s Capital Improvement Program recommendations

15. Accept miscellaneous written comments from the public on RTC projects and transportation issues

16. Accept information items - none

REGULAR AGENDA

17. Commissioner reports – oral reports

18. Director’s report – oral report
   (George Dondero, Executive Director)

19. Caltrans report and consider action items
   a. District Director’s report
   b. Construction projects update
   c. Programmed/Funded SHOPP Projects: Semi-Annual List

20. Scotts Valley Department of Public Works presentation
21. Highway 1 Soquel/Morrissey Auxiliary Lane project update
   (Kim Shultz, Senior Transportation Planner)
   a. Staff report

22. Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) Priorities and Preferences
   (Karena Pushnik, Senior Transportation Planner and Ruth Bernstein, EMC)
   a. Staff report
   b. Summary of findings

23. Review of items to be discussed in closed session

   CLOSED SESSION

24. Conference with Real Property Negotiator Pursuant to Government Code 54956.8 for lease of Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line right-of-way property in Capitola
   
   Agency Negotiator: George Dondero, Executive Director
   Negotiation Parties: SCCRTC, On Air LLC for Verizon Wireless
   Under Negotiation: Price and Terms

   OPEN SESSION

25. Report on closed session

26. Adjourn to special meeting of the Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

   Enclosed separately

27. Next meetings

   The next RTC meeting is scheduled for Thursday, September 5, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. at the Watsonville City Council Chambers, 275 Main Street, Suite 400, Watsonville, CA.

   The next Transportation Policy Workshop meeting is scheduled for Thursday, August 15, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. at the Watsonville City Council Chambers, 275 Main Street, Suite 400, Watsonville, CA.

   HOW TO REACH US
HOW TO STAY INFORMED ABOUT RTC MEETINGS, AGENDAS & NEWS

Broadcasts: Many of the meetings are broadcast live. Meetings are cablecast by Community Television of Santa Cruz. Community TV’s channels and schedule can be found online (www.communitytv.org) or by calling (831) 425-8848.

Agenda packets: Complete agenda packets are available at the RTC office, on the RTC website (www.sccrtc.org), and at the following public libraries:

- Aptos Library
- Branciforte Library
- Santa Cruz Downtown Library
- Garfield Park Library
- Live Oak Library
- Watsonville Main Library
- Boulder Creek Library
- Capitola Library
- Felton Library
- La Selva Beach Library
- Scotts Valley Library

For information regarding library locations and hours, please check online at www.santacruzpl.org or www.watsonville.lib.ca.us.

On-line viewing: The SCCRTC encourages the reduction of paper waste and therefore makes meeting materials available online. Those receiving paper agendas may sign up to receive email notification when complete agenda packet materials are posted to our website by sending a request to info@sccrtc.org. Agendas are typically posted 5 days prior to each meeting.

Newsletters: To sign up for E-News updates on specific SCCRTC projects, go to www.sccrtc.org/enews.

HOW TO REQUEST

❖ ACCOMMODATIONS FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES

The Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission does not discriminate on the basis of disability and no person shall, by reason of a disability, be denied the benefits of its services, programs, or activities. This meeting location is an accessible facility. If you wish to attend this meeting and require special assistance in order to participate, please contact RTC staff at 460-3200 (CRS 800/735-2929) at least three working days in advance of this meeting to make arrangements. People with disabilities
may request a copy of the agenda in an alternative format. As a courtesy to those person affected, Please attend the meeting smoke and scent-free.

❖ SERVICIOS DE TRADUCCIÓN/ TRANSLATION SERVICES

Si gusta estar presente o participar en esta junta de la Comisión Regional de Transporte del Condado de Santa Cruz y necesita información o servicios de traducción al español por favor llame por lo menos con tres días laborables de anticipo al (831) 460-3200 para hacer los arreglos necesarios. (Spanish language translation is available on an as needed basis.) Please make advance arrangements (at least three days in advance) by calling (831) 460-3200.
1. Roll call

The meeting was called to order at 9:07 a.m.

Members present:
Tim Gubbins (ex-officio)  Ed Bottorf (alt.)
Don Lane  Randy Johnson
Eduardo Montesino  Greg Caput
Neal Coonerty  Zach Friend
John Leopold  Bruce McPherson
Dene Bustichi  Ron Graves
Lynn Robinson

Staff present:
George Dondero  Luis Mendez
Yesenia Parra  Cory Caletti
Kim Shultz  Karena Pushnik
Jason Laning

2. Oral communications

Lowell Hurst, Mayor of Watsonville, welcomed the Commission to Watsonville.

Commissioner Robinson arrived to the meeting.

3. Additions or deletions to consent and regular agendas

Executive Director George Dondero said replacement pages were distributed for Item 27.
CONSENT AGENDA
(Leopold, Graves)

Commissioner Bustichi and Commission Alternate Bottorf abstained from voting on the consent agenda. The motion passed.

MINUTES

4. Approved draft minutes of the May 2, 2013 Regional Transportation Commission meeting

5. Accepted draft minutes of the May 13, 2013 Bicycle Committee meeting

6. Accepted draft minutes of the May 14, 2013 Elderly and Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee meeting

POLICY ITEMS

No consent items

PROJECTS and PLANNING ITEMS

7. Approved Statewide Local Streets and Roads Needs Assessment (Resolution 23-13)

8. Accepted 2012 Santa Cruz County Annual Bikeway Miles update

9. Approved Federal Lands Grant Submittal for the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail Network project

10. Approved execution of a memorandum of understanding (MOU) for Scott Creek Bridge Replacement and Environmental Enhancement Project

BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES ITEMS

11. Accepted status report on Transportation Development Act (TDA) revenues

ADMINISTRATION ITEMS

No consent items

INFORMATION/OTHER ITEMS

12. Accepted monthly meeting schedule

13. Accepted correspondence log

14. Accepted letters from RTC committees and staff to other agencies
a. Letter from Bicycle Committee to Mayor Johnson and Scotts Valley City Council regarding its Capital Improvement Program
b. Letter from Bicycle Committee to Mayor Bryant and Santa Cruz City Council regarding its Draft Capital Improvement Program
c. Letter from Executive Director George Dondero to Assemblymember Richard Bloom in support of AB 574

15. Miscellaneous written comments from the public on RTC projects and transportation issues - none

16. Information items - none

REGULAR AGENDA

17. Commissioner reports – oral reports

None

18. Director’s report – oral report

Deputy Director Luis Mendez said that the City of Santa Cruz Water Department is working on a project to replace an aging water main that is between the City of Santa Cruz and Davenport, and would like to explore the possibility of putting approximately 4,000 feet of that water main under the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line right of way. He said the RTC may need to obtain approval from the California Transportation Commission (CTC) in order to sell an easement for such a pipeline. He said that RTC staff intends to give the Santa Cruz Water Department access to the rail line in order to conduct the necessary investigations under the assumption that, if allowed by the CTC, the RTC would be willing to negotiate an easement, license, or lease with the Santa Cruz Water Department for installation of the underground water main.

Commissioner Johnson arrived to the meeting.

Responding to a question from Commissioner Leopold, Mr. Mendez said that the item would be brought before the Commission for consideration if the Santa Cruz Water Department decides to move forward. In response to a question from Commissioner Friend, Mr. Mendez said that it would be wise for the RTC to develop an overall policy for similar issues.

19. Caltrans report and consider action items

Tim Gubbins, Director of Caltrans District 5, said that construction related to the Highway 1 Watsonville asphalt pavement rehabilitation project will be completed by the end of June. He said that Caltrans’ annual litter removal day occurred in April and that over 96 miles of highway shoulders were cleaned up in the northern section of District 5.
In response to a question from Commissioner McPherson, Mr. Gubbins said he expects the Caltrans budget to remain fairly stable. Commissioner Graves said that the off-ramps on Highway 1 at Riverside Drive in Watsonville are full of litter and need attention.

20. Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail (MBSST) Network

Senior Transportation Planner Cory Caletti said that the purpose of the Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) for the MBSST project is to inform the public and decision-makers of any significant environmental impacts and offer ways to minimize those impacts as well as describe and analyze reasonable alternatives to the project.

Megan Jones, Rincon Consulting, said that the DEIR is programmatic and contains a detailed description of the identified environmental impacts, proposed mitigation measures, and residual impacts associated with implementation of the range of proposed trail project components.

Ms. Caletti said that following the release of the DEIR, the RTC would set a public review period, which is recommended to be 45 days, and hold two public meetings to receive community input. She said that the DEIR is available on the RTC’s website and at local libraries, and that compact discs and a limited number of printed hardcopies of the DEIR are available at the RTC’s offices. She said it is anticipated that the DEIR and MBSST Master Plan will be brought before the Commission for adoption and certification by the end of 2013.

Commissioner McPherson moved and Commissioner Leopold seconded to authorize staff to release the Draft Environmental Impact Report for public review for a 45-day period ending on July 22nd, 2013. The motion passed unanimously.

21. Highway 1 Soquel/Morrissey Auxiliary Lane project update

Senior Transportation Planner Kim Shultz said that construction activity on Monday was very successful, and that concrete was poured to form the lower portion of the new La Fonda Bridge. He said the project remains on budget and on schedule.

Responding to a question from Commissioner Caput, Mr. Shultz said that the curing process for the concrete is 7 days, and that construction crews are already preparing for the next concrete pour. Commissioner Robinson thanked Mr. Shultz for the opportunity to see the construction process firsthand, and encouraged fellow Commissioners to take a tour of the project. Responding to a question from Commissioner Leopold, Mr. Shultz said that early August is the goal for opening the new La Fonda Bridge.
22. FY 2013-14 Transportation Development Act (TDA) and State Transit Assistance (STA) Funds for the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (METRO)

Senior Transportation Planner Karena Pushnik said that the RTC’s Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee reviewed the METRO’s FY 2013-14 TDA claim and summary pages from METRO’s operating statistics and preliminary budget. She said that the METRO plans to use the TDA funds to assist with operating the fixed route bus services and the Americans with Disabilities Act-mandated paratransit service, ParaCruz.

Commissioner Leopold moved and Commissioner Lane seconded to approve the Transportation Development Act Article 4 funding request from the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District for $6,104,531 and State Transit Assistance for $2,759,269. The motion (Resolution 24-13) passed unanimously.

23. FY 2013-14 Transportation Development Act (TDA) Funds for the Volunteer Center

Senior Transportation Planner Karena Pushnik said that the RTC’s Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee reviewed the Volunteer Center’s FY 2013-14 TDA claim, budget, and operating plan including service units. She said that the Volunteer Center’s Transportation Program goal is to provide 4,600 one-way trips to eligible clients over FY 2013-14, and that the Volunteer Center prioritizes trips based on type and income. She said that the program uses volunteer drivers, and is therefore a highly cost effective method for providing rides to those in the county who are ineligible for ParaCruz services.

Responding to a question from Commissioner McPherson, Rachel Glenn of the Watsonville Volunteer Center said that there are currently about 16 volunteers who have worked over 200 hours so far up to the third quarter of 2013. In response to a question from Commissioner Lane, Deputy Director Luis Mendez said that TDA statutes prohibit transit district representatives from voting on Article 8 TDA claims. Commissioner Caput asked that more information about the Volunteer Center’s program be distributed to the South County senior community.

Commissioner Leopold moved and Commissioner McPherson seconded to approve the fiscal year 2013-14 Transportation Development Act Article 8 funds claim in the amount of $71,398 from the City of Santa Cruz on behalf of the Volunteer Center to administer the volunteer driver transportation program primarily serving seniors. A roll call vote was taken, and the motion (Resolution 25-13) passed unanimously, with Commissioners Bottorf, Lane, Johnson, Montesino, Caput, Coonerty, Friend, Leopold, and McPherson voting “aye.”
24. FY 2013-14 Transportation Development Act (TDA) Funds for Community Bridges

Senior Transportation Planner Karena Pushnik said that the RTC’s Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee reviewed the Community Bridges FY 2013-14 TDA claim, budget, operating plan and service units. She said that Community Bridges serves low income and disabled individuals, many of whom are unable to use traditional public transit or complementary paratransit.

Sam Storey, CEO of Community Bridges, said that more than 82,000 rides would be provided by Community Bridges in FY 2013-14. He thanked the commission for approving funding to support paratransit.

Mr. Storey confirmed his retirement and thanked the Commission for it’s support during his tenure as CEO of Community Bridges.

Commissioner Montesino moved and Commissioner Lane seconded to approve the fiscal year 2013-14 Transportation Development Act Article 8 funds claim in the amount of $599,743 from the City of Santa Cruz on behalf of Community Bridges to provide transportation for seniors and people with disabilities. A roll call vote was taken and the motion (Resolution 26-13) passed unanimously, with Commissioners Bottorf, Lane, Johnson, Montesino, Caput, Coonerty, Friend, Leopold, and McPherson voting “aye.”

25. FY 2013-14 Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 8 claims from the Community Traffic Safety Coalition, the Ride ‘n Stride program, and the Bike to Work program

Senior Transportation Planner Cory Caletti said that the Health Services Agency (HSA) and Ecology Action submitted TDA funding requests for the Community Traffic Safety Coalition (CTSC), the Ride ‘n Stride Program and the Bike to Work Program for Bicycle Committee review and Commission consideration. She said that the Bicycle Committee reviewed all claims and recommends approval.

Commissioners discussed: the number of students involved in the Bike to School program; whether outreach for these programs reaches the Scotts Valley area; the process for outreach to schools regarding the Bike to Work program; the specific breakdown of the indirect costs listed in the CTSC’s TDA budget for FY 13/14; concerns about the percentage of indirect costs listed in the CTSC’s TDA budget; whether the objective for the number of students served by the programs was being met; the reasons for non-participation in the Bike to School program by Vine Elementary School in Scotts Valley; and whether the budget was being increased for the Bike to Work program.

Commissioner Bustichi will work with Ecology Action to communicate to Scotts Valley Schools. Commissioner Johnson requested that Scotts Valley schools be specifically targeted for Bike to School events.
Commissioner Leopold moved and Commissioner Lane seconded to approve claims for FY 13/14 TDA Article 8 funds for the CTSC, Ride ‘n Stride and Bike to Work programs. A roll call vote was taken and the motion (Resolution 27-13 and Resolution 28-13) passed unanimously, with Commissioners Bottorf, Lane, Johnson, Montesino, Caput, Coonerty, Friend, Leopold, and McPherson voting “aye.”

26. Sorrento Oaks Homeowners Association quitclaim deeds

Commissioner Montesino left the meeting.

Deputy Director Luis Pavel Mendez said that the Sorrento Oaks Homeowners Association (HOA) has asked the RTC for a quitclaim deed to clarify title for a small portion of its property next to the rail line. He said that the RTC considered this item previously in closed session in October 2012 and March 2013 and directed staff to work with the County of Santa Cruz real property division on this request. In addition, he said that because the RTC does not have recorded documents showing that it acquired fee title to the Santa Cruz branch rail line right-of-way next to the Sorrento Oaks Mobile Home Park, the RTC also requested a quitclaim deed from Sorrento Oaks HOA for the rail line property next to their mobile home park. He said that Sorrento Oaks HOA will provide the requested quitclaim deed.

Commissioner Leopold moved and Robinson seconded to authorize the executive director to sign a quitclaim deed to Sorrento Oaks HOA and accept a quitclaim deed from the Sorrento Oaks HOA to help clarify ownership of the Sorrento Oaks Mobile Home Park property and the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line right-of-way. The motion (Resolution 29-13) passed unanimously.

27. Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line Bridge Rehabilitation

Deputy Director Luis Pavel Mendez said that two bids were received for the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line bridge rehabilitation project and that the lowest bid is 43% higher than the engineer’s estimate. He said that it appears that the scheduling constraint for the La Selva Beach trestle had a significant impact on the bids. He said that the RTC has the option of rejecting the bids, removing the scheduling constraint for the La Selva Beach trestle, and reissuing the bid package.

Commissioners discussed: whether the lack of the Train to Christmas Town rail service would affect the RTC’s commitment to passenger service in connection with funding for the rail purchase; whether bidders will re-bid if the time constraint is removed for the La Selva Beach trestle; concerns about trestle construction taking place during prime beach access periods; the possibility of contract change orders increasing the cost of the rehabilitation project; whether there will be adequate funds for rehabilitating the priority bridges; the financial stability of Iowa Pacific; possible conflicting reports about
the safety of the rail line; the ability of Iowa Pacific to maintain the track; the reason for a lack of bids from local companies; the possibility of relaxing the qualifications for bidders in order to attract local companies; the ability of companies to perform trestle rehabilitation work without prior experience; questions regarding who gave the engineer’s estimate and who is reviewing the qualifications of bidders; the overall time constraint in the bid package; and the possibility for passenger service in the southern part of the county after the bridges are rehabilitated.

Commissioner Leopold moved and Commissioner Lane seconded to reject the bids received for the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line bridge rehabilitation project and issue the bid package again without the time constraints for the La Selva Beach trestle rehabilitation. The motion passed unanimously.

28. Adjourned to a special meeting of the Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies at 10:55 a.m.

Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies adjourned at 11:02 a.m.

29. Next meetings

The next RTC meeting is scheduled for August 1, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. at the Scotts Valley City Council Chambers, 1 Civic Center Drive, Scotts Valley, CA.

A Transportation Policy Workshop special meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, June 26, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. at the RTC Offices, 1523 Pacific Avenue, Santa Cruz, CA.

Respectfully submitted,

Jason Laning, Staff

Attendees:

Sam Storey        Community Bridges
Lowell Hurst      Mayor of Watsonville
Rachel Glenn      Volunteer Center
Keith Gallagher   Local Resident
1. Introductions

Chair Montesino called the meeting to order at 9:02 a.m. Self introductions were made.

Members present:
John Olejnik (ex-officio)  Dennis Norton
Don Lane                Eduardo Montesino
Greg Caput              Andy Schiffrin (alt.)
Patrick Mulhearn (alt.) John Leopold
Virginia Johnson (alt.) Ron Graves
Lynn Robinson

Staff present:
George Dondero          Luis Mendez
Jason Laning            Rachel Moriconi
Ginger Dykaar           Grace Blakeslee
Karena Pushnik          Kim Shultz
Daniel Nikuna

Members of the public were asked to introduce themselves. Paul McGrath introduced himself and immediately began commenting on an RTC matter that was not a scheduled item on the agenda. Chair Montesino requested that Mr. McGrath reserve his remarks until the next item on the agenda entitled “Oral communications,” and assured him that his comments would be heard at that time. Mr. McGrath refused to yield the floor even after other members of the RTC and RTC staff sought his cooperation. Mr. McGrath insisted on speaking at this time, became disruptive and would not allow the meeting to proceed.
Chair Montesino recessed the meeting at 9:06 a.m. because the business of the RTC could not be conducted in an orderly manner. The meeting was reconvened at 9:20 a.m.

2. Oral communications

Jack Nelson, Santa Cruz resident, discussed a recent news story in the Santa Cruz Sentinel regarding a report on climate change presented to Governor Jerry Brown by a team of climate change scientists. He said the report can be accessed on the web at mahb.stanford.edu.

Executive Director George Dondero informed Commissioners that they might be contacted by an auditor consultant in connection with a triennial performance audit of the RTC. He said that staff recommends removing Item 11 from the agenda so that it can instead be placed on a future agenda. Item 11 was removed from the regular agenda by general consent. Commissioner Schiffrin asked that when Item 11 is placed on a future agenda that written information regarding the item be included in the agenda’s packet.

Commissioner Leopold thanked RTC staff for participating in the Transit Corridors planning process.

CONSENT AGENDA
(Schiffrin, Leopold)

Commissioner Caput voted “no” on Item 5.

3. Approved amendments to the FY 2013-14 Budget and Funding Agreement with the Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (Resolution 32-13)

4. Approved selection of auditor for fiscal and compliance audit (Resolution 33-13)

5. Approved changes to Executive Director’s employment agreement

6. Approved the FY 2013-14 RTC Work Program

REGULAR AGENDA

7. 2014 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)/Sustainable Communities Strategy: Scenario Development

Executive Director George Dondero said that state legislation was passed in California in 2006 and 2008 mandating the consideration of sustainability in transportation planning.

Heather Adamson, Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG), said that the Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) is a new element of the
Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) that is required by California Senate Bill 375. She said that an integrated work program, policy goals, and performance measures have been developed to guide the development of the MTP/SCS. She said that AMBAG is working with three county regional transportation planning agencies (RTPAs), local governments, transit operators, key stakeholders, and the public to develop strategies that will lead to achieving the greenhouse gas (GHG) targets established by the California Air Resources Board (CARB). She said that six public workshops were held in May 2013 to receive input from the public and that the next round of public workshops will gather input on five alternative scenarios and will also serve as public scoping meetings for the environmental impact report.

Commissioners discussed: the consistency of Ms. Adamson’s report with the report printed in the agenda packet; whether HOV and auxiliary lane highway projects are considered in various scenarios; the financial implications of individual scenarios; that projected costs associated with each project be included in future reports; that measurements of projected GHG reductions be included with each scenario in future reports; suggestions to reconsider target goals for GHG reduction; the significance of adapting to local planning efforts such as the forthcoming Santa Cruz transit corridor plan; the importance of educating the public regarding future development; concerns regarding public attendance for workshops held in July; a suggestion to consider senior communities focused in certain areas of Watsonville, and youth populations that require transportation during school hours; the need to include information from Santa Clara County in the plan; the importance of integrating the regional plan with local plans; the tracking system and success of the online public survey; coordination with the transit district, and the need for evidence-based information regarding GHG reduction predictions associated with specific projects.

Jack Nelson, Santa Cruz resident, said that AMBAG GHG reduction recommendations are not science-based, and that science literature recommends GHG reductions of around 80%.

8. **2014 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP): Financial Estimates**

Senior Transportation Planner Rachel Moriconi said that RTC staff has been working with the Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG) and partner agencies to develop the financial element for the long range regional transportation plan (RTP) and metropolitan transportation plan (MTP). She said that the draft revenue projections show that $2.8 billion in local, state, and federal funds will be available for transportation projects in Santa Cruz County through 2035, which is approximately half of the revenues that would be needed to fully fund the over $5.6 billion in projects that have been identified for the RTP.

Commissioners discussed: the possibility for a gas tax or a vehicle miles traveled tax; whether the financial estimates should be more conservative; the
progress of bills in the state legislature that would lower voter approval
thresholds for local tax measures; the reason for projecting a new sales tax
instead of a new vehicle registration fee, and whether to include both; an
explanation of a possible vehicle miles traveled tax; whether a new local sales
tax is a reasonable projection; a request for a staff report explaining what it
would take to get a regional impact fee; the ratio of the cost to maintain the
transportation system and the amount for improvements; the possibility of
federal funding sources for rail transportation; the status of cap and trade
revenues coming to the local level; whether the county already has a high
sales tax rate compared with other counties in the state; and the maximum
cap for local sales tax.

Commissioner Schiffrin moved and Commissioner Leopold seconded to request
that staff: include projected revenue from a possible new vehicle registration
fee, and the financial impact of not having a new sales tax, in the next version
of the financial estimates for the RTP; and provide a report to the Commission
explaining the process required to implement a regional impact fee. The
motion passed unanimously.

Commissioner Graves left the meeting.

9. Scenario Planning for 2014 Transportation Plans – STARS Transportation
Investment Analysis

Transportation Planner Ginger Dykaar said that the goals, policies and targets
for the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) have been developed based on the
Sustainable Transportation Analysis and Rating System (STARS). She said that
transportation projects in the Draft RTP project list were grouped into
financially “constrained” packages of projects under each of 5 initial scenarios
and then examined to determine their ability to advance the targets of the
RTP. She said that based on this STARS analysis, staff proposes 2 hybrid
scenarios.

Commissioners discussed: whether HOV lane projects should be included in
Hybrid Scenario #2; which scenarios are most indicative of local needs and
what the public wants; the importance of road maintenance; a request for a
graph showing how each hybrid scenario meets specific RTP targets; whether
the hybrid scenarios should include rail projects; the process involved in
creating the graphical presentation showing how each scenario meets specific
RTP targets; how the STARS framework was applied in the analysis; a request
for financial cost splits for the hybrid scenarios; and a request that passenger
rail service eventually connect the whole county.

Peter Scott, Campaign for Sensible Transportation, said he was appreciative of
the RTC’s collaboration with AMBAG, and very supportive of the trail network
project. He said that he hopes the trail network will be included in the RTP.
Jack Nelson, Santa Cruz resident, said that Scenario #4 focuses on Highway 1 and is very limited in meeting greenhouse gas reduction targets. He said he disagrees with the statement that less stop-and-go traffic reduces greenhouse gas emissions. He said that the science community says that relieving traffic congestion only maintains an equilibrium of greenhouse gas emissions.

10. 2014 Regional Transportation Plan – updates to draft goals, policies, targets and draft project list

Transportation Planner Grace Blakeslee said that staff’s goal is to make the target-setting process transparent and defensible. She said that one new update to the plan is to add a mid-term horizon, such as 2020, to demonstrate progress that can be achieved more quickly and provide a gauge for meeting the 2035 targets. She said that proposed revisions to the preliminary draft project list reflect the results of the complete streets assessment.

In response to a question from Commissioner Robinson, Ms. Blakeslee said that changes to individual projects were recommended by staff and approved by the appropriate local jurisdiction.

Commissioner Norton moved and Commissioner Schiffrin seconded to approve revisions to the draft transportation plan targets and policies, and the preliminary draft project list to reflect the results of the complete streets assessment. The motion passed unanimously.

11. Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) Priorities and Preferences  -- removed from agenda

12. Next meetings

The next SCCRTC meeting is scheduled for Thursday, August 1, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. at the Scotts Valley City Council Chambers, 1 Civic Center Drive, Scotts Valley, CA.

The next Transportation Policy Workshop is scheduled for Thursday, August 15, 2013 at 9:00 am at the Watsonville City Council Chambers, 275 Main Street, Suite 400, Watsonville, CA.

Respectfully submitted,

Jason Laning, Staff

Attendees

Heather Adamson AMBAG
Peter Scott  Campaign for Sensible Transportation
Paul McGrath  RideSpring
Jack Nelson  Resident
Reed Searle  SCRP
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission’s

BICYCLE COMMITTEE

Minutes - Draft

Monday, June 10, 2013

6:00 p.m.

RTC Office
1523 Pacific Ave
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

1. Call to Order

2. Introductions

Members Present:
Kem Akol, District 1
David Casterson, District 2, Chair
Will Menchine, District 3 (Alt.)
Amelia Conlen, District 4
Andy Ward, City of Capitola, Vice-Chair
Lex Rau, City of Scotts Valley
Leo Jed, CTSC
Rob Straka, Ecology Action/Bike to Work
Daniel Kostelec, City of Capitola (Alt.)

Unexcused Absences:
Carlos Garza, City of Santa Cruz (Alt.)
Myrna Sherman, City of Watsonville

Excused Absences:
Eric Horton, District 2 (Alt.)
Holly Tyler, District 1 (Alt.)
Gary Milburn, City of Scotts Valley (Alt.)
Bill Fieberling, City of Santa Cruz
Jim Langley, CTSC (Alt.)
Peter Scott, District 3
Rick Hyman, District 5
Piet Canin, Ecology Action/Bike-to-Work (Alt.)

Vacancies:
District 4 – Alternate
District 5 – Alternate
City of Watsonville – Alternate

Guests:
Erik Erikson, member of the public

3. Announcements – Cory Caletti announced that the Draft Environmental Impact Report for the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail (MBSST) Network was released, comments are due on July 22nd and two public meetings will be held in June. She distributed project flyers. She also informed members that the RTC applied for a $22 M grant to the Federal Lands Access Program for North Coast segments of the MBSST Network.

4. Oral communications – none
5. Additions or deletions to consent and regular agendas – Cory Caletti distributed replacement pages for items #14 and #16.

**CONSENT AGENDA**

A motion (Conlen/Kostelec) to approve the consent agenda as amended passed unanimously.

6. Approved draft minutes of the May 13, 2013 Bicycle Committee meeting
7. Accepted Bicycle Committee roster
8. Accepted summary of Bicycle Hazard Reports
9. Accepted letter to the City of Santa Cruz regarding recommendations on the Draft Capital Improvement Program
10. Accepted letter to the City of Scotts Valley regarding recommendations on the recently adopted Capital Improvement Program

**REGULAR AGENDA**

11. Development of Monterey Bay Area Complete Streets Guidelines - Grace Blakeslee provided a summary of the staff report and the *Draft Complete Streets Guidelines*. The draft guidelines will guide local jurisdictions and the RTC in transitioning auto-oriented streets into streets that will serve all mode types. Members discussed some of the specific goals, innovative treatments and the guidelines’ ability to guide future street retrofits. Ms. Blakeslee informed members that the Committee will be asked to consider the final draft at the August meeting and that members may contact her directly should they have additional comments or questions.

12. Scenario planning for the 2014 Regional Transportation Plan and 2014 Metropolitan Transportation Plan–Ginger Dykaar provided a presentation and summary of scenarios to be utilized in determining how projects would be prioritized for funding in the Regional Transportation Plan. Ms. Dykaar mentioned the upcoming public meetings and outlined the time frame for providing input. Members expressed frustration at the lack of time to provide input from the Committee as a whole after the Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments makes hybrid recommendations. After additional discussion, a motion was made (Akol/Ward) to recommend a hybrid of scenarios 1, 2 and 5. The motion passed unanimously.

13. County of Santa Cruz Capital Improvement Program (CIP) – Committee members Amelia Conlen and Rob Straka provided a summary of their recommendations regarding projects to add to the County’s CIP. After some discussion, a motion was made (Ward/Jed) to write a letter to County Public Works forwarding those recommendations as well as the recommendation to include the segments of the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail Network, as identified in the Draft Master Plan, that are within the unincorporated county into the CIP. The motion passed unanimously. An additional item was discussed regarding current impediments to safe bicycle travel on Graham Hill Road. A motion (Ward/Rau) was made to write a letter to the County of Santa Cruz Public Works requesting that consideration be given to maximizing the shoulder in the north bound direction, reallocating lane width from the south bound direction if necessary, as well as replacing the current “Share the Road” signs with a “Watch for Bikes/Peds” sign. The motion passed unanimously.
14. Consider Ad-Hoc Committee recommendations for East Cliff Drive Parkway improvements – Will Menchine, Bicycle Committee alternate, summarized the memo in the packet, containing the Ad-Hoc Committee’s review of current conditions and improvement recommendations. After some discussion, a motion was made (Ward/Jed) to forward the recommendations identified in the memo on behalf of the Committee to County Public Works staff with the minor change of deleting the words “multi-use path” from the fifth recommendation. The motion passed unanimously.

15. Rumble Strips on Highway 1 Installation Update – Committee member Leo Jed provided an update regarding Caltrans’ plans to install rumble strips on Highway 1. He noted that he and Cory Caletti have been assigned to Caltrans’ Project Development Team (PDT) and that some modifications to the original proposal are now being brought forward by Caltrans in response to Bike Committee and community concern about negative impacts to bicycle travel. The current proposal includes only 4 miles of shoulder rumble strips instead of the 10 miles originally proposed, as well as centerline strips for the length of the project area. An alternative treatment is recommended by Caltrans but no gaps to allow for bicycle movement in and out of the shoulder are considered. Caltrans intends to present the rumble strip proposal to the Bike Committee at the September 23rd meeting and to the RTC at the October 3rd meeting.

Bike Committee members requested that Caltrans provide the following information at the September Bike Committee meeting along with the presentation: 1) average daily bicycle count data to supplement vehicle miles traveled data; 2) updated injury and fatality data; 3) measures that could be introduced to enhance safety of bicyclists (such as speed feedback signs to reduce speeding); 4) how to provide 10/15 foot gaps every 40/60 feet of rumble strip; 5) what the experimental process entails to pursue gaps outside of standard manual directives; and 6) design specification reflecting the additional 2 inches beyond what will go on the white edge line located into the motor vehicle side of the road way instead of the shoulder side, as depicted in “alternative rumble strip” photo sent for the PDT meeting. Finally, the Bike Committee requested that the alternative treatment be made available locally prior to any installation for testing.

16. Determine Bicycle Committee meeting FY 13/14 schedule – Cory Caletti summarized the need for continuing a bi-monthly meeting schedule, explained the slight modifications due to upcoming holidays, as well as the need for an additional meeting. A motion to approve the staff recommendation (Menchine/Ward) passed unanimously.

17. Member updates related to Committee functions – Chair Casterson informed the Committee that their recommendation for increasing the bike planning budget by an additional .25 full time staff person is being considered by the Budget and Administration Committee at their upcoming meeting. He indicated that the staff recommendation was to not accommodate that request and that he would attend the meeting to make another plea. Members provided information as to why the need exists and cited the doubling of bicycle ridership in the last decade as one example.

Concern was expressed over the maintenance technique used by Caltrans to prune overgrown brush in the shoulder of Highway 1 and the Wilder Ranch multi-use path that is in Caltrans’ right-of-way. Members noted that the machinery used grinds down the asphalt and deteriorates the highway shoulder and the path thereby
reducing usable travel space. A motion to write a letter to Caltrans (Akol/Jed) requesting that better techniques be used to clear back overgrown brush, and that the shoulder and multi-use path be repaired, passed unanimously.

It was also noted that due to construction at Scott Creek and Waddell Creek on Highway 1, the shoulder is closed and appropriate signage is installed. However, no indication is given as to what bicyclists should do when a shoulder is closed and what motorists should expect. The Scott Creek and Waddell Creek bridges are already narrow and the closure of the minimal shoulder in those location pose a hazard to cyclists. A motion (Jed/Starka) to write a letter to Caltrans requesting that “Bikes May Use Full Lane” signs be installed in those construction locations and as standard practice in similar scenarios passed unanimously.

18. Adjourned: 8:48 pm

**NEXT MEETING:** The next Bicycle Committee meeting is scheduled for Monday, August 19, 2013, from the special time of 6:00pm to 8:30pm at the RTC office, 1523 Pacific Ave, Santa Cruz, CA.

Minutes respectfully prepared and submitted by:

Cory Caletti, Senior Transportation Planner
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1. **Call to Order** at 1:33 pm

2. **Introductions**

   **Members Present:**
   - Hal Anjo, Potential Bus Rider
   - Debbi Brooks, Volunteer Center
   - Lisa Berkowitz, CTSA (Community Bridges)
   - John Daugherty, Metro Transit
   - Veronica Elsea, 3rd District
   - Sally French, Soc. Serv. Provider-Disabled (HOPE)
   - Mike Molesky, Social Service Provider Disabled
   - Patti Lou Shevlin, 1st District

   **Excused Absences:**
   - Kirk Ance, CTSA Lift Line
   - Sharon Barbour, 5th District

   **RTC Staff Present:**
   - Grace Blakeslee
   - Ginger Dykaar
   - Cathy Judd
   - Karena Pushnik

   **Others Present:**
   - Bob Campbell, Seniors Council
   - Erich Friedrich, Metro
   - Paul Hierling, AMBAG

3. **Oral Communications**

   John Daugherty announced that the Santa Cruz County Commission on Disabilities presented “Kudos” awards on 5/21 to Michael Bush, Bob Lagaugh, Bob Cotter and Metro maintenance staff.

   Mr. Daugherty also mentioned that Metro’s new Headways cover announces “Ride the Bus to Big Basin”.

   Karena Pushnik welcomed E&D TAC members and others in attendance to the RTC satellite office in Watsonville; a shared office space with the Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District (MBUAPCD). She mentioned that the space is available on a limited basis, and can be reserved through RTC staff.
Ms. Pushnik said that the Monterey Bay Scenic Sanctuary Trail DEIR was released for a 45-day review period and that public workshops are scheduled for June 25th and 27th at the Louden Nelson center and the City of Watsonville respectively from 6:00 – 9:00 pm.

4. Additions or deletions to consent and regular agendas - None

**CONSENT AGENDA**

*Action: The motion (Anjo/Shevlin) - to approve the consent agenda as amended- carries with Lisa Berkowitz abstaining on approval of the April minutes.*

5. Approved minutes from April 4 and May 14, 2013 meetings

Veronica Elsea mentioned that the April minutes (Item 12) include an action item to send her a letter of thanks for service as Vice-chair; however, she does not have a recollection of this and has not received a thank you letter.

John Daugherty said that he did not see a copy of the letter of thanks (Item 11) to Commissioner Coonerty for his support letter to Metro for return of bus service to Frederick Street in the packet.

6. Received Transportation Development Act (TDA) Revenues Report as of May 2013

7. Received RTC Highlight through May 2013

8. Received Information Items

9. Received Agency Updates
   
   c. Volunteer Center
      - 3rd Quarter TDA Report
   
   e. Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District
      - Mobility Management

**REGULAR AGENDA**

10. Review Monterey Bay Area Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan

Paul Hierling, AMBAG, provided an overview of the purpose of the Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Plan, its approximately 5 year timeline, and the update process. Mr. Hierling asked for input from E&D TAC members about unmet needs and projects to fill those needs.

Members suggested the following unmet needs:
- Lack of Metro fixed route and Paratransit on some holidays and the need to expand Lift Line service area from senior residential centers in less urban areas to serve seniors meal sites
• Minimal transit service on weekends problematic for transit dependent individuals including seniors, people with disabilities and low income individuals
• A larger pool of volunteer drivers, especially bilingual, is needed. Targeted outreach to recruit new drivers would benefit the Volunteer Center
• Because the provision of specialized transportation is more costly for remote areas, prioritize and encourage services, residences and other amenities for seniors and persons with disabilities in more densely populated areas that serve the largest number of people
• The cost of bus passes can restrict access to transit for low income and foster youth
• Better access between bus stops and destinations will encourage more walking and use of transit
• Access to transit and trip planning during non-business hours is limited for some people with impairments. Fully accessible and real time services are needed which could include: Automatic Vehicle Location System, Next Bus, real time tracker including hazards on path of travel
• Direct transit service between Santa Cruz County and the San Jose Airport is lacking and private services are costly
• The costs to property owners to repair sidewalks adjacent to their property can be prohibitive. Financial assistance for sidewalk repair would improve the pedestrian network

Comments of the draft Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services are due in 30 days and the public comment period will take place from July to August.

11. Provide input on Complete Street

Grace Blakeslee provided an overview of the Complete Streets analysis which supports the transportation initiative portion of the sustainable communities strategy. The materials were provided at the previous meeting and Ms. Blakeslee said that today is the last chance for member input of the draft.

Veronica Elsea mentioned that the Pedestrian Safety Work Group provided input for this project at its last meeting emphasizing improvements needed along the Bay/Porter corridor.

Ms. Blakeslee said that the next step for the project is to develop guidelines.

Goals for the guidelines include:
• Improve safety
• Complete Streets Legislation to include all users
• Process to include all stakeholder in the design of facilities
• Tools to help local jurisdictions talk about the benefits and challenges of Complete Streets
• Link land use with transportation

Hal Anjo asked how guidelines will integrate with local jurisdiction general plans. Ms. Blakeslee said there are samples to help local jurisdictions move from policy to planning to design to implementation.

Ms. Blakeslee said she will come back to the E&D TAC in August with a draft of the Complete Streets Plan.
Paul Hierling said the Complete Streets guidelines is a menu of actions and policies local jurisdictions can use when they update their General Plans, which initiates the requirement to include text about Complete Streets.

12. Sustainable Community Scenario Planning

Ginger Dykaar, RTC Planner, provided a detailed power point presentation on the scenario planning process for 2014 Transportation Plan (RTP) and the 2014 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP).

Components of the RTP/MTP:
- Goals & Policies
- Funding Estimates
- Project Lists
- Draft Plan
- Final Plan

Ms. Dykaar said the total transportation needs are $5.6 billion, but only $2.8 billion are projected in revenues including a ½ cent sales tax measure in 2016 if approved by voters. Ms. Dykaar said that 5 initial scenarios will be consolidated into 2 hybrid scenarios that will produce the preferred scenario to determine projects on the constrained project list.

Ms. Dykaar said that AMBAG and RTC staff will present results of the Initial Scenarios to the Commission at its meeting on June 26th. She said that dates for upcoming workshops along with a survey from AMBAG will be provided to members via email.

13. Review Pedestrian Safety Work Group Pedestrian/Motorist Brochure

Veronica Elsea, Chair of the Pedestrian Safety Work Group reviewed the draft text of the Motorist Brochure. Ms. Elsea said the group has considered top priority items that will cover differences in behavior between motorist and pedestrians. She said the Pedestrian Safety Work Group seeks input from E&D TAC members to create a brochure to provide to motorists and pedestrians. Following text finalization the brochure will be formatted, printed and distributed.

Ms. Elsea provided a draft of the In Bus signs regarding the Hazard Report for members to view saying the signs will be printed in English and Spanish with Metro and RTC logos.

Ms. Elsea said the next regular meeting of the Pedestrian Safety Work Group will be June 21st at 10 am.

Action: The motion (Daugherty/Anjo) - - for E&D TAC members to approve the text of the Motorist Brochure and the In Bus sign - - carries unanimously.

14. La Posada Bus Reinstatement Update

Erich Friedrich said Metro received a petition from La Posada Retirement Community residents to reinstate transit service along Frederick Street at Gault Street in Santa Cruz. Mr. Friedrich said Metro studied a range of options to address the needs expressed by La Posada residents.
Metro staff identified 5 options for reinstatement of service:
- Maintain status quo
- Assist the City of Santa Cruz to make capital improvements along Frederick Street sidewalks and the Soquel/Frederick intersection
- Limited restoration of Route 6
- Full restoration of Route 65
- Disrupt an existing route

Mr. Friedrich said that Metro staff recommends that the Board of Directors direct staff to pursue the Capital Improvement option.

Capital improvement paths of travel could include:
- Sidewalk improvement
- Crossing improvements
- Prolonged pedestrian sign time at the Soquel/Frederick Street signalized intersection
- Relocation of the Soquel/Frederick Street bus stops closer to the intersection

These capital improvements would improve the accessibility of the current Soquel/Frederick Street bus stops with no disruption to existing routing and frequency.

This information will be presented to the Metro Board on June 14th.

15. Adjourn 3:45 pm

Respectfully submitted,

Cathy Judd, RTC Staff
MINUTES

Thursday, June 13, 2013
3:00 pm

NOTE LOCATION
Santa Cruz County CAO’s Conference Room
701 Ocean St., Santa Cruz

The meeting was called to order by Chair Schiffrin at 3:05 pm

Members Present
Commissioner John Leopold
Commissioner Alternate Patrick Mulhearn
Commissioner Greg Caput
Commissioner Alternate Andy Schiffrin
Commissioner Alternate Daniel Dodge
Commissioner Bruce McPherson

RTC Staff
George Dondero
Luis Mendez
Yesenia Parra
Daniel Nikuna

1. Introductions-Self introductions were made.

2. Additions or changes to consent and regular agenda-none

3. Oral communications-none

CONSENT AGENDA
(Dodge, Mulhearn)

4. Approved minutes of the April 11, 2013 meeting

5. Accepted third quarter FY12-13 warrants and credit card reports

6. Accepted TDA report
REGULAR AGENDA

7. Amendments to the fiscal year (FY) 2013-14 Budget

Deputy Director Luis Mendez said that RTC staff met with the AMBAG Executive Director and the Santa Cruz METRO General Manager to discuss the $75,000 contribution requested by AMBAG for the environmental impact report and TDA funding. AMBAG agreed to reduce the contribution amount to $57,500 and the Santa Cruz METRO and RTC Staff agreed that funding this contribution with anticipated TDA surplus revenues from FY12-13 would be the only option. Also included in the amendment are adjustments to TDA apportionments based on new population figures.

Mr. Mendez noted that at the May Commission Meeting, the Chair of the Bicycle Committee requested that an additional quarter time staff time be allocated to the Bicycle Committee and bicycle projects. The RTC already integrates all of its bicycle planning into its general project planning process and that due to funding allocation reductions from AMBAG; it would be difficult to allocate a quarter time staff member to a specific project. The RTC does have staff time already allocated to the Bicycle Committee, the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail Network project and bicycle related projects under the Commute Solutions program.

Commissioner Leopold moved and Commissioner McPherson seconded to recommend that the Santa Cruz County Regional transportation Commission (RTC) amend the FY12-13 RTC budget and to delay the discussion regarding the request for the quarter time staff person for bicycle projects until the fall budget amendment discussion. Staff was asked to inform the Bicycle Committee Chair about the discussion well in advance of the meeting.

Motion passed unanimously.

8. Fiscal year (FY) 2013-14 Work Program

Responding to a question, Deputy Director Mendez said that a draft plan for the bike signage project was produced and distributed to the jurisdictions for feedback. The current budget does include funding to have signage installed during the FY13-14 year.

Commissioner Leopold moved and Commission Alternate seconded to recommend that the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) approve the attached FY 2013-14 RTC Work Program
9. Adjourned at 3:45 pm

The next Budget and Administration/Personnel Committee meeting is scheduled for Thursday, August 8, 2013 at 3:00 p.m. in the RTC conference room, 1523 Pacific Ave, Santa Cruz, CA

Respectfully submitted,

Yesenia Parra, Administrative Services Officer

ATTENDEES

Les White SCMTD
DRAFT MINUTES

Thursday, June 20, 2013
1:30 p.m.
SCCRTC Conference Room
1523 Pacific Ave, Santa Cruz, CA

ITAC MEMBERS PRESENT
Anais Schenk, AMBAG
Taylor Bateman, City of Scotts Valley Planning
Teresa Buika, UCSC
Mark Dettle, City of Santa Cruz Public Works and Planning Proxy
Murray Fontes, City of Watsonville Planning Proxy
Steve Jesberg, City of Capitola Public Works and Planning Proxy
Paia Levine, County Planning
Mark McCumsey, Caltrans District 5
Maria Esther Rodriguez, City of Watsonville Public Works
Alan Romero, MBUAPCD
Steve Wiesner, County Public Works
Majid Yamin, City of Scotts Valley Public Works

STAFF PRESENT
Grace Blakeslee
Ginger Dykaar
Rachel Moriconi
Karena Pushnik

OTHERS PRESENT
Russell Chen, County Public Works – alternate
Sarah Neuse, Santa Cruz County Planning
Adam Spickler, Senator Monning staff

1. Call to Order – Vice Chair Steve Jesberg called the meeting to order at 1:33pm.

2. Introductions – Self introductions were made.

3. Oral communications – None

4. Additions to consent and regular agendas – Handouts were distributed for items 6 and 7

CONSENT AGENDA (Buika/ Wiesner) approved

5. Approved minutes of the May 16, 2013 ITAC meeting (Jesberg abstained)
REGULAR AGENDA

6. Status of ongoing transportation projects, programs, studies and planning documents - Verbal updates from project sponsors

UCSC: Teresa Buika reported that electric vehicle charging stations at the Core West Parking Lot are under construction. Due to low bids, the university is able to add four additional spaces.

County of Santa Cruz: Steve Wiesner and Russell Chen reported that the 41st Avenue/Gladys/Nobel bulbout and sidewalk project has been awarded. Chip seals for several roads are nearing completion, including San Andreas, Casserly, Felton-Empire Grade. Storm damage repairs on Empire Grade are scheduled for completion by the end of July; Eureka Canyon will be under construction starting mid-July; Alba Road repairs start June 24; construction on Branciforte is scheduled to start in September. Emergency repairs to the section of Green Valley Road that is closed are expected the last week of June. The Cabrillo College pedestrian bridge seismic project will begin this summer. Bridge preventative maintenance projects, including four concrete deck repairs and poly concrete overlays, are planned at twelve sites countywide. The Corralitos Road left turn at Bradley Elementary School project is scheduled to start construction in mid-July.

Watsonville: Murray Fontes reported that the City of Watsonville will be opening bids for resurfacing Airport Boulevard and several slurry seal projects. The City continues to evaluate options for the Highway 1/Harkins Slough Road interchange and Main Street/Green Valley Road intersection.

Air District: Alan Romero reminded members that AB2766 grant applications are due June 28.

Caltrans: Mark McCumsey reported that the guardrail on Highway 17 at Santa's Village Road was recently completed. Guardrail upgrades on Highway 17 between Scotts Valley and the county line, including new retaining walls and shoulder improvements, are underway, with construction scheduled for completion in February 2014. Starting July 1, Caltrans will be under the new State Transportation Agency, replacing Business, Transportation, and Housing – BT&H. The new California Freight Advisory Committee is developing the State Freight Plan. New Transportation Development Act guidelines were recently released and are available at www.dot.ca.gov/hq/MassTrans/State-TDA.html.

Capitola: Steve Jesberg reported they are opening bids for the parking lot behind City Hall and applying slurry seals on several roads this summer.

Santa Cruz: Mark Dettle reported that the Safe Routes to Schools construction project near Gault Elementary School will be completed in June. Bids were opened for a $1 million cape seal project for residential roadways on the Westside of Santa Cruz, with
construction scheduled to begin mid-July. EV charging station installation at parking lots is complete and the downtown parking garage at Riverside/Front is being converted to a pay lot. Locust Street Parking Garage bathrooms are being remodeled. Arana Gulch/Broadway-Brommer multiuse path is going out to bid in July.

RTC: Rachel Moriconi reported that the Highway 1 Soquel-Morrissey Auxiliary Lanes construction is about 70% done. The draft environmental document for the Highway 1 Corridor is scheduled for public release in early 2014. Comments on the Environmental Impact Report for the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail (MBSST) are due July 22. The RTC is re-advertising the rail line bridge repair contract.

Legislation: Adam Spickler of Senator Monning’s office provided an update on recent state legislative actions, including a summary of the state budget and transportation-related bills. He encouraged agencies to contact the Senator’s office regarding legislative priorities or issues. Anais Schenk expressed concern about AB1290 which would result in another unfunded mandate for reporting.

7. Provided input on contents of the Monterey Bay Area Complete Streets Guidelines

Grace Blakeslee reported that Monterey Bay Area Complete Streets Guidelines are being developed to identify strategies for transitioning auto-oriented streets into complete streets and guidance for incorporating complete streets policies into general plan circulation elements as required by state law (AB1358). She summarized content of the guidelines and requested input from the ITAC on the preliminary draft document and sample project checklist.

Mark Dettle volunteered Chris Schneiter of his staff to provide detailed input on what content would be most helpful to project sponsors. Mr. Dettle noted that some residents express concerns about establishing green zones and moving sidewalks closer to their homes and that an education component is needed to explain the benefits of green zones. He noted that adding a green zone to an entire block at a time, rather than parcel-by-parcel, works best. He also noted concerns expressed by the public at removing parking in order to add bike lanes and that there are trade offs for prioritizing one component of a complete street over another within limited right-of-way. He noted that in Boulder, CO, the city is making pedestrians the highest priority when designing projects–prioritizing shared path over green zones and bike lanes, signal priority for pedestrians, etc.

Teresa Buika asked if everyone in the tri-county region would have to agree on the design features and priorities. Ms. Blakeslee noted that it is a guide for local jurisdictions and project sponsors to consider and each jurisdiction would not have to do the same thing. Steve Wiesner stated that transitioning existing infrastructure into complete streets is significantly more difficult than designing new infrastructure/roads as complete streets. Ms. Blakeslee noted that the guidelines recognize environmental and right-of-way constraints may limit the type of complete features included in an area. Steve Jesberg noted that implementing complete streets on major arterials, such as 41st
Ave, could be difficult; but that it may be easier to implement on parallel roadways. Mark Dettle suggested that the guidelines include different case study/examples of existing roadways, tradeoffs of different complete street features, and how jurisdictions worked through issues. Ms. Blakeslee noted that she will return to the ITAC with the draft document for additional comments in August with RTC review scheduled for September.

8. Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) Scenarios

Anais Schenk presented five scenarios developed to assess how future land use and transportation changes could affect the regional transportation system as well as travel demands or needs. The five scenarios were updated since the last TAC meeting based on input received and initial modeling work. The scenarios reflect “what ifs” for different employment, housing and transportation scenarios. Next hybrid scenarios will be developed which may combine features of each of the initial scenarios and the hybrid in Santa Cruz County may differ from that in Monterey or San Benito Counties; and then a final preferred scenario defined, to become the Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) – a chapter within the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP). AMBAG is hosting public workshops on the scenarios throughout the region in July and will have an online survey as a way to receive input on the scenarios.

9. Scenario Planning for 2014 Transportation Plans – STARS Transportation Investment Analysis

Ginger Dykaar provided information on the results of the scenario analysis done on transportation projects in Santa Cruz County and requested input on transportation investments to include in the hybrid scenarios to be analyzed for the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS). She noted that approximately 75% of projected revenues are dedicated for certain projects or types of projects which will be included in all scenarios. The analysis focused on how much RTP targets would be advanced under different investment scenarios for remaining flexible/discretionary funds.

ITAC members provided input on the initial analysis and possible hybrid scenarios, highlighting challenges with combining land use and transportation scenarios and the challenge of focusing on the future, beyond current conditions and needs - being more proactive (rather than reactive) with land use and transportation decisions. Pia Levine noted that the County’s Transit Corridor plan focuses on providing more connectivity where it currently does not exist, which may include new local streets linking areas north and south of the highway and rail line. After the land use scenarios are better defined new projects might be identified as needed to accommodate growth in those areas.

AMBAG staff noted that analysis for the SCS is being done on a tri-county basis and that TAZ level analysis is not possible in this cycle; however, modeling is getting better and will make it easier to measure performance of different scenarios in the future.
10. Received 2014 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) update

Rachel Moriconi reported that Caltrans and the California Transportation Commission have released the preliminary draft Fund Estimate for the 2014 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and that approximately $4 million in new funds may be available through FY19. Based on the low amount, ITAC members indicated interest in combining the Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) and State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) funding cycles. Staff will return with a recommended process for programming funds at the next ITAC meeting.

11. Next meeting: The ITAC agreed to move the next meeting one week later to August 22, 2013 at 1:30 PM at the RTC Conference Room in Santa Cruz. The meeting adjourned at 3:15pm.

Minutes prepared by: Rachel Moriconi
TO: Regional Transportation Commission
FROM: George Dondero, Executive Director
RE: Scott Creek Bridge Replacement

RECOMMENDATIONS
Staff recommends that the Regional Transportation Commission accept the fully executed MOU for preparation of Project Concept Report for the replacement of the Highway 1 Bridge over Scott Creek in Santa Cruz County.

BACKGROUND
Work on this project began as a bridge maintenance project in 1999 to look at bridges on Highway 1 at both Waddell Creek and Scott Creek. Caltrans convened a stakeholder group which met several times to discuss the project. A lagoon study was commissioned, that was later determined to be inadequate. Caltrans wanted to develop good scientific information to support design of the replacement bridges and that was accomplished with a special lagoon function study. While Caltrans was ready to proceed with an alternatives analysis, they also realized that the maintenance program was not the right tool to fund and implement a project that had grown beyond a simple bridge repair or replacement, and would likely include habitat restoration and other improvements. Caltrans sent a letter out to the stakeholders explaining that it was time to take a step back and look again at the projects and the possibility of developing partnerships to implement the project. Discussions with RTC staff suggested that the RTC could assist in re-starting the project, working as facilitator to work in a very collaborative setting with the interested parties.

DISCUSSION
In September 2012 the RTC hosted a meeting with Caltrans, the County of Santa Cruz, the Resource Conservation District of Santa Cruz, Cal Poly Swanton Pacific Ranch (a major land owner on Scott Creek), and seven agencies having various regulatory and other roles in maintaining and improving watershed and habitat quality at both the state and federal level. RTC Chair and County Supervisor Neal Coonerty has attended all meetings, and is strongly supportive of the project.

It was decided to have just five agencies as signatories on the MOU to expedite execution of the MOU in a timely manner. The remaining agencies were designated as members of the Advisory Committee in the spirit that all twelve entities will
contribute staff time toward defining the project through the first phase, with the prime deliverable being described as a “Project Concept Report”. It was also decided that initially the focus would be on the Scott Creek Bridge only, as it encompasses the most critical habitat issues supporting continued health of Coho salmon south of the Golden Gate Bridge.

The RTC has contributed staff time to attend and lead the meetings, and provides a project website to house documents relevant to the project including meeting agendas, meeting notes, research reports, and discussions among the contributing agencies. The RTC Executive Director attends meetings and is co-chair with Donna Meyers, acting Executive Director of the Resource Conservation District.

One of the key reasons that this collaborative approach was taken is to encourage interested parties to bring funding to the project. Caltrans is not able to use maintenance funds for the extensive habitat restoration or enhancement work that is expected to become part of the project. All participants are dedicated and enthusiastic about this approach to a very important project which is multidimensional and crosses traditional boundaries of funding, design, construction and environmental enhancement.

**SUMMARY**

Twelve agencies have come together to work collaboratively to find solutions to the design, funding and construction of a replacement bridge on Highway 1 at Scott Creek in northern Santa Cruz County. Five of the entities have signed an MOU to accomplish the work. Staff recommends that the RTC accept the MOU.

**Attachment 1**: Scott Creek Bridge Replacement and Environmental Enhancement Project MOU to prepare a Project Concept Report.
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SCOTT CREEK BRIDGE REPLACEMENT
AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING
FOR PREPARATION OF A
PROJECT CONCEPT REPORT

This Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") by and between the California Department of Transportation ("Caltrans"), County of Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz Regional Transportation Commission, Resource Conservation District of Santa Cruz County, and Cal Poly Swanton Pacific Ranch (collectively, the "Signatory Agencies") is hereby entered into in order to develop a Project Concept Report ("Report") for the replacement of the California State Highway 1 ("Highway 1") bridge over Scott Creek in Santa Cruz County.

RECITALS:

WHEREAS, Highway 1 provides a critical transportation link between Santa Cruz and points north. CalTrans has identified the need to replace the Highway 1 bridge over Scott Creek in order to ensure continued reliable and safe transportation for people, goods and services along Highway 1.

WHEREAS, Scott Creek is among the most biologically significant watersheds in Santa Cruz County and supports both coho salmon and steelhead. Coho salmon are listed as endangered under the Federal and State Endangered Species Act ("ESA") and steelhead are listed as threatened under the Federal ESA. The lagoon at Scott Creek is critical rearing habitat for listed steelhead and coho salmon, as well as habitat for Federally threatened California red legged frogs, western pond turtles, Federally endangered tide water gobies, and other sensitive wildlife, including Federally threatened snowy plovers that use the beach areas.

WHEREAS, the existing Highway 1 bridge over Scott Creek and its armored approach restricts the natural function of the Scott Creek lagoon. The loss of meanders and stream length reduces substantially the rearing and smolting habitat for listed Coho salmon and steelhead. In addition, the current short-span bridge and its location adversely affects the timing of sandbar formation that creates the lagoon.

WHEREAS, the Signatory Agencies intend to work together to create a plan for the replacement of the Highway 1 bridge over Scott Creek which preserves and enhances this critical transportation link, and enhances the critical habitat and function of the Scott Creek lagoon.

WHEREAS, the Signatory Agencies intend to work with an Advisory Committee to identify, analyze and recommend alternatives for bridge replacement and environmental enhancement. The Advisory Committee will include natural resource agencies and regulatory agencies.
NOW THEREFORE, the Signatory Agencies agree as follows:

1. **Goal of the MOU.** The goal of this MOU is to produce a Report that identifies two or more bridge replacement options, natural resource opportunities and constraints and a funding strategy for the Scott Creek Highway 1 Bridge. The Report will consider a range of options, including lengthening the bridge, moving the location of the bridge, and physical habitat restoration and enhancement. The funding strategy will include a list of specific funding options and identify agencies and/or individuals who will pursue those options. The Signatory Agencies intend to produce a Draft Report by June 1, 2014. The Signatory Agencies understand that the Report is conceptual in nature only and that any actual construction project would be required to obtain all necessary local, State and Federal permits and approvals.

The Signatory Agencies and the Advisory Committee will cooperatively develop a Work Program that outlines the roles, responsibilities, milestones, and key objectives for completing the Report.

2. **Advisory Committee.** To develop the Report, the Signatory Agencies will consult, collaborate with, and seek information from an Advisory Committee. The Advisory Committee is composed of natural resource and permitting agencies. The Advisory Committee will meet regularly with the Signatory Agencies, help in the preparation of the Report and the funding strategy. The Advisory Committee will include representatives from each of the following agencies:

- United States Army Corps of Engineers
- National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS)
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW)
- Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB)
- California Coastal Commission
- State Coastal Conservancy

The Signatory Agencies and the Advisory Committee may elect to add additional members to the Advisory Committee. Such election may be made upon consensus based decision by the Signatory Agencies and the then-current Advisory Committee.

3. **Stakeholder Group:** The Stakeholder Group will include local interested groups, landowners and agencies that are interested in the Scott Creek Highway 1 Bridge. The Stakeholder Group will include the Scott Creek Watershed Council, Central Coast Wetlands Group, and the Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary. Additional groups may be added by consensus among the Signatory Agencies and the Advisory Committee. The Signatory Agencies and the Advisory Committee will meet with the Stakeholder Group on at least one occasion in order to share ideas and strategies, and to get input from the Stakeholder Group and the public on priorities, alternatives, and local concerns and/or issues relating to the bridge replacement project.
4. **Collaboration.** The Signatory Agencies and the Advisory Committee will collaborate to identify the best options for transportation, environmental benefits and cost, and identify potential funding sources for the project. This collaborative process will include participation in meetings, cooperating to secure any necessary funding, sharing data and information, and working together to identify two or more alternatives that would result in replacing the Highway 1 bridge at Scott Creek and concurrently enhancing habitat for the multiple sensitive species that use the estuary/lagoon, wetland and beach habitats.

5. **Costs.** Recognizing that there is no specific or dedicated funding for the purpose of developing this Report, each Signatory Agency and each member of the Advisory Committee will bear its own costs for participation and in helping to prepare the Report. However, each Signatory Agency and each member of the Advisory Committee agrees to assist in seeking funds for the Report and for future phases of the bridge replacement and environmental enhancement project.

6. **Decision Making.** The Signatory Agencies will seek consensus among themselves and with the Advisory Committee on decision making. The Signatory Agencies will provide a lead role in the decision making process and coordinating input from the Advisory Committee and the Stakeholder Group. As needed, the Signatory Agencies will ratify decisions by majority vote. Amendments resulting in material financial implications will be ratified by a majority of the Signatory Agencies. Votes will be recorded as one vote per Signatory Agency.

**SIGNATORIES TO THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING**

We, the undersigned representatives of our respective agencies, acknowledge the above as our understanding of how the Report for the Scott Creek Highway 1 bridge replacement and environmental enhancement project will be developed.

Each party has full power and authority to enter into and perform this MOU and the person signing this MOU on behalf of each party is authorized and empowered to enter into this MOU. Each party further acknowledges that it has read this MOU, understands it and agrees to it.

The Signatory Agencies acknowledge that this MOU is not an obligation of funds, nor does it constitute a legally binding commitment by any Signatory Agency or create any rights in any third party.

**California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)**

[Signature]

Timothy M. Gubbins
District Director

[Signature]

Date
County of Santa Cruz

Neal Coonerty
Chair, Board of Supervisors

Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission

George Dondero
Executive Director

Resource Conservation District of Santa Cruz County

Karen Christensen
Executive Director

05/16/13

Cal Poly Swanton Pacific Ranch

David Wehner, Dean
College of Agriculture, Food, and Environmental Sciences

Date
County of Santa Cruz

Neal Coonerty
Chair, Board of Supervisors

Date

Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission

George Dondero
Executive Director

June 11, 2013
Date

Resource Conservation District of Santa Cruz County

Karen Christensen
Executive Director

Date

Cal Poly Swanton Pacific Ranch

David Wehner, Dean
College of Agriculture, Food, and Environmental Sciences

Date
County of Santa Cruz

Neal Coonerty
Chair, Board of Supervisors

Date

Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission

George Dondero
Executive Director

Date

Resource Conservation District of Santa Cruz County

Karen Christensen
Executive Director

Date

Cal Poly Swanton Pacific Ranch

David Wehner, Dean
College of Agriculture, Food, and Environmental Sciences

Date

Cal Poly Corporation 5-15-13

Staff
Assoc Exec Director
County of Santa Cruz

[Signature]
Neal Coonerty
Chair, Board of Supervisors

6-11-13
Date

Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission

[Signature]
George Dondero
Executive Director

Date

Resource Conservation District of Santa Cruz County

[Signature]
Karen Christensen
Executive Director

Date

Cal Poly Swanton Pacific Ranch

[Signature]
David Wehner, Dean
College of Agriculture, Food, and Environmental Sciences

Date
## SANTA CRUZ COUNTY REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
### TDA REVENUE REPORT
#### FY 2012-2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONTH</th>
<th>FY11-12 ACTUAL REVENUE</th>
<th>FY12-13 ESTIMATE REVENUE</th>
<th>FY12-13 ACTUAL REVENUE</th>
<th>DIFFERENCE</th>
<th>DIFFERENCE AS % OF PROJECTION</th>
<th>CUMULATIVE % OF ACTUAL TO PROJECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>499,800</td>
<td>499,800</td>
<td>533,900</td>
<td>34,100</td>
<td>6.82%</td>
<td>106.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>666,400</td>
<td>666,400</td>
<td>711,800</td>
<td>45,400</td>
<td>6.81%</td>
<td>106.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>699,895</td>
<td>699,895</td>
<td>718,257</td>
<td>18,362</td>
<td>2.62%</td>
<td>105.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>486,400</td>
<td>486,400</td>
<td>556,500</td>
<td>70,100</td>
<td>14.41%</td>
<td>107.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>648,500</td>
<td>648,500</td>
<td>742,000</td>
<td>93,500</td>
<td>14.42%</td>
<td>108.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>804,308</td>
<td>804,308</td>
<td>733,930</td>
<td>-70,378</td>
<td>-8.75%</td>
<td>105.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>510,100</td>
<td>488,844</td>
<td>534,300</td>
<td>45,456</td>
<td>9.30%</td>
<td>105.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>680,100</td>
<td>651,792</td>
<td>712,400</td>
<td>60,608</td>
<td>9.30%</td>
<td>106.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>625,667</td>
<td>638,135</td>
<td>632,278</td>
<td>-5,857</td>
<td>-0.92%</td>
<td>105.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>441,300</td>
<td>404,586</td>
<td>475,600</td>
<td>71,014</td>
<td>17.55%</td>
<td>106.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>588,400</td>
<td>591,173</td>
<td>634,100</td>
<td>42,927</td>
<td>7.26%</td>
<td>106.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>756,557</td>
<td>636,515</td>
<td>759,038</td>
<td>122,523</td>
<td>19.25%</td>
<td>107.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>7,407,427</td>
<td>7,216,348</td>
<td>7,744,102</td>
<td>527,754</td>
<td>7.31%</td>
<td>107%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**

I:\FISCAL\TDA\MonthlyReceipts\FY12-13.xlsx\FY2012
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONTH</th>
<th>FY12-13 ACTUAL REVENUE</th>
<th>FY13 - 14 ESTIMATE REVENUE</th>
<th>FY13 - 14 ACTUAL REVENUE</th>
<th>DIFFERENCE AS % OF PROJECTION</th>
<th>CUMULATIVE % OF ACTUAL TO PROJECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>533,900</td>
<td>560,595</td>
<td>556,100</td>
<td>-4,495</td>
<td>-0.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>711,800</td>
<td>747,390</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>718,257</td>
<td>754,170</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>556,500</td>
<td>584,325</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>742,000</td>
<td>779,100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>733,930</td>
<td>770,626</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>534,300</td>
<td>561,015</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>712,400</td>
<td>749,739</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>632,278</td>
<td>689,732</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>475,600</td>
<td>486,487</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>634,100</td>
<td>648,649</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>759,038</td>
<td>834,025</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>7,744,102</td>
<td>8,165,853</td>
<td>556,100</td>
<td>-4,495</td>
<td>-0.06%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
I:\FISCAL\TDA\MonthlyReceipts\FY13 - 14.xlsx\FY2012
## Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
### THREE MONTH MEETING SCHEDULE

**August 2013**
**Through**
**October 2013**

All meetings are subject to cancellation when there are no action items to be considered by the board or committee.

Please visit our website for meeting agendas and locations [www.sccrtc.org/meetings/](http://www.sccrtc.org/meetings/)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting Date</th>
<th>Meeting Day</th>
<th>Meeting Type</th>
<th>Meeting Time</th>
<th>Meeting Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/1/13</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Regional Transportation Commission</td>
<td>9:00 am</td>
<td>Scotts Valley City Council Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/13/13</td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>Elderly &amp; Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee</td>
<td>1:30 pm</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/15/13</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Transportation Policy Workshop</td>
<td>9:00 am</td>
<td>Watsonville City Council Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/19/13</td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>Bicycle Committee <em>(note special date and time)</em></td>
<td>6:00 pm</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/22/13</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Interagency Technical Advisory Committee <em>(note date change)</em></td>
<td>1:30 pm</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/5/13</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Regional Transportation Commission <em>(note location change)</em></td>
<td>9:00 am</td>
<td>Watsonville City Council Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/12/13</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Budget &amp; Administration/Personnel Committee</td>
<td>3:00 pm</td>
<td>CAO Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/13</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Transportation Policy Workshop</td>
<td>9:00 am</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/19/13</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Interagency Technical Advisory Committee</td>
<td>1:30 pm</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/23/13</td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>Bicycle Committee <em>(note special date and time)</em></td>
<td>6:00 pm</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/3/13</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Regional Transportation Commission</td>
<td>9:00 am</td>
<td>Board of Supervisors Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/14/13</td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>Elderly &amp; Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee</td>
<td>1:30 pm</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/17/13</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Transportation Policy Workshop</td>
<td>9:00 am</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/17/13</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Interagency Technical Advisory Committee</td>
<td>1:30 pm</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/21/13</td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>Bicycle Committee <em>(note special date and time)</em></td>
<td>6:00 pm</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Commission Offices** - 1523 Pacific Ave- Santa Cruz, CA

**RTC Watsonville Offices-275 Main St Ste 450- Watsonville, CA**

**Board of Supervisors Chambers/CAO/RDA Conference room-701 Ocean St-5th floor- Santa Cruz, CA**

**City of Capitola-Council Chambers- 420 Capitola Ave- Capitola, CA**

**City of Santa Cruz-Council Chambers-809 Center St- Santa Cruz, CA**

**City of Scotts Valley-Council Chamber- 1 Civic Center Dr- Scotts Valley, CA**

**City of Watsonville-Council Chambers-275 Main St Ste 400- Watsonville, CA**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Letter Rec'd/Sent</th>
<th>Format</th>
<th>Incoming/Outgoing</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Last</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Last</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/21/12</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>Mike</td>
<td>Appley</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Last Chance Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/14/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>Barry</td>
<td>Phillips</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Noise Pollution-Train Horn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/19/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>KP</td>
<td>Menglian</td>
<td>Gu</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>STARS Project for Railroad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/23/13</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>George</td>
<td>Caltrans, District 5</td>
<td>Aileen K</td>
<td>Loe</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/23/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>KP</td>
<td>Art</td>
<td>Alfaro</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Highway 1 Mar Vista Bicycle/Pedestrian Bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/23/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>JL</td>
<td>Karen</td>
<td>Fucanan</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mann Urrutia Nelson CPA's &amp; Associates, LLP</td>
<td>Questions Regarding RFP for Auditing Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/30/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>LM</td>
<td>Ingrid</td>
<td>Vierra</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Central Coast Builders Association</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Branch Line Bridge Supports, Repairs &amp; Member Replacement Bid Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Letter Rec'd/Sent</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Incoming/Outgoing</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/30/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>Kim</td>
<td>Shultz</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Paul</td>
<td>Marcelin</td>
<td>Highway 1 Soquel/Morrissey Auxiliary Lane Update</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/30/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>Bob</td>
<td>Campos</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Therese Dominic Linus</td>
<td>Johannesson</td>
<td>Highway 1 Soquel/Morrissey Auxiliary Lane Update</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/31/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>KP</td>
<td>05/31/13</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Sherwyn</td>
<td>Gilliland</td>
<td>Caltrans, District 4</td>
<td>Highway 1 Soquel/Morrissey Auxiliary Lane Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/03/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>KP</td>
<td>Sean P</td>
<td>Horan</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Suntan Special</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/05/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>LM</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Brian</td>
<td>Peoples</td>
<td>Questions Regarding Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/06/13</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>George</td>
<td>Dondero</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Optional Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) Federal Exchange Program for FY 2012/2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Correspondence Log
### August 1, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Letter Rec’d/Sent</th>
<th>Format</th>
<th>Incoming/Outgoing</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Last</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Last</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/06/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Dee</td>
<td>Woods</td>
<td></td>
<td>MBSST Network Draft Environmental Impact Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/06/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Leslie</td>
<td>Dwyer</td>
<td></td>
<td>MBSST Network Draft Environmental Impact Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/06/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Diane K Vailancourt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MBSST Network Draft Environmental Impact Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/06/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Diane Levin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MBSST Network Draft Environmental Impact Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/07/13</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td>Luis</td>
<td>Duazo</td>
<td>Caltrans, District 5</td>
<td>Luis</td>
<td>Mendez</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Invoice #17 Bond-Corridor Mobility Improvement (CMI) and STIP/RIP Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/11/13</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td>John</td>
<td>Presleigh</td>
<td>County of Santa Cruz</td>
<td>David Casterson</td>
<td>SCCRTC Chair, Bicycle Committee</td>
<td>East Cliff Drive Parkway Improvement Recommendations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/11/13</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td>John</td>
<td>Presleigh</td>
<td>County of Santa Cruz</td>
<td>David Casterson</td>
<td>SCCRTC Chair, Bicycle Committee</td>
<td>County’s Capital Improvement Program Recommendations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Letter Rec’d/Sent</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Incoming/Outgoing</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>TO First</td>
<td>TO Last</td>
<td>TO Organization</td>
<td>FROM First</td>
<td>FROM Last</td>
<td>FROM Organization</td>
<td>Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/11/13 Letter O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>William</td>
<td>Gilmartin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Proven Management, Inc.</td>
<td>Luis</td>
<td>Mendez</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Bid for Santa Cruz County Branch Line Bridge Supports, Repairs and Member Replacements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/11/13 Letter O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jeffrey C</td>
<td>Lessman</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shimmick Construction Company, Inc.</td>
<td>Luis</td>
<td>Mendez</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Bid for Santa Cruz County Branch Line Bridge Supports, Repairs and Member Replacements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/12/13 Email I</td>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>CJ 06/13/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Linda</td>
<td>Miranda</td>
<td></td>
<td>Big Trees Railroad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/13/13 Letter O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R.J. Fambrini and Co.</td>
<td>Luis</td>
<td>Mendez</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Ownership of Lease of Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line Premises with Assigned Lease Audit No. 194399 for Agricultural Purposes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/13/13 Letter O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jon Bombaci</td>
<td>City of Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Luis</td>
<td>Mendez</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rental Invoice for Property #209914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/15/13 Email I</td>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>KP 06/18/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Ronald W</td>
<td>Busenhart</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hwy 1 Soquel/Morrissey Auxiliary Lanes Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/17/13 Letter O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tricia</td>
<td>Proctor</td>
<td>Seabright Station Partnership</td>
<td>Luis</td>
<td>Mendez</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td></td>
<td>New Ownership of Lease of Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line Premises with Assigned Lease Audit No. 210084 for Beautification Purposes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Letter Rec’d/Sent</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Incoming/Outgoing</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/18/13</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>Mondo</td>
<td>Luis</td>
<td>Mendez</td>
<td>GCCRTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Ownership of Lease of Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line Premises with Assigned Lease Audit No. 179286 for Storage and Handling Purposes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/18/13</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>George</td>
<td>Dondero</td>
<td>Steve</td>
<td>Palmisano</td>
<td>City of Watsonville</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hwy 1/Harks Slough Road Project Update, PPNO 413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/18/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>06/19/13</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Scott</td>
<td>Nixon</td>
<td></td>
<td>Completion Date of La Fonda Bridge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/19/13</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Marilyn</td>
<td>Garrett</td>
<td>Karena</td>
<td>Pushnik</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Traffic Operations Systems in Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/19/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>06/19/13</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Sandra L</td>
<td>Cohen</td>
<td></td>
<td>MBSS Network Draft Environmental Impact Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/21/13</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Malcolm</td>
<td>Dougherty</td>
<td>Luis</td>
<td>Mendez</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td></td>
<td>2013 Performance Audit Certification and Request for Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/25/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>KP</td>
<td>06/25/13</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Therese</td>
<td>Johannesson</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hwy 1 Soquel/Morrissey Auxiliary Lanes Update</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Letter Rec’d/Sent</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Incoming/Outgoing</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/01/13</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>Vincent</td>
<td>CHP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHP Officer A. Donald Hoover Memorial Freeway Event Date Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/02/13</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Veronica</td>
<td>Elsea</td>
<td></td>
<td>Karena George Pushnik Dondero</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Appreciation for Serving as E&amp;D TAC Vice Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/02/13</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>Daugherty</td>
<td></td>
<td>Karena George Pushnik Dondero</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Appreciation for Serving as E&amp;D TAC Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/08/13</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Daniel</td>
<td>Nikuna</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Laurie</td>
<td>Bowers</td>
<td>County of Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Escheatment of Unclaimed Stale-dated Warrants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/11/13</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Maura</td>
<td>Twomey</td>
<td></td>
<td>AMBAG</td>
<td>Yesenia</td>
<td>Parra</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>FY2011/12 and FY2012/13 Complete Streets Assessment Invoices and the Narrative Progress Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/16/13</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Ron A</td>
<td>Levy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Moss, Levy &amp; Hartzheim LLP</td>
<td>Luis</td>
<td>Mendez</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Fiscal Audit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/16/13</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>George</td>
<td>Dondero</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Aileen K</td>
<td>Loe</td>
<td>Caltrans, District 5</td>
<td>State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Correspondence Log
### August 1, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Letter Rec'd/Sent</th>
<th>Format</th>
<th>Incoming/Outgoing</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Last</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Last</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07/17/13</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ralph J</td>
<td>Ricciardi</td>
<td>R.J. Ricciardi, Inc.</td>
<td>Luis</td>
<td>Mendez</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Fiscal Audit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/19/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Tim</td>
<td>Fortner</td>
<td>Soquel/Morrissey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/22/13</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Cory</td>
<td>Caletti</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>Otter</td>
<td>California Coastal Commission</td>
<td>Draft Environmental Impact Report, Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail Network Master Plan Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission, Jun 2013 (SCH#2012082075)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/22/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Brian C</td>
<td>Mathias</td>
<td>Law Offices of Timothy J. Morgan</td>
<td>Rail Trail, Draft EIR Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/22/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Jennifer</td>
<td>Calate</td>
<td>Caltrans, District 5</td>
<td>Comments on the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail Draft Environmental Impact Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/22/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Melissa</td>
<td>Farinha</td>
<td>California Department of Fish and Wildlife</td>
<td>Monterey Bay Sanctuary and Scenic Trail Master Plan Draft Environmental Impact Report SCH#2012082075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/22/13</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Felix</td>
<td>Ko</td>
<td>Rail Crossings Engineering Section</td>
<td>Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail Network Draft Master Plan DEIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Letter Rec’d/Sent</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Incoming/Outgoing</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>TO</td>
<td>FROM</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/23/13</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td>Maura Twomey</td>
<td>Luis Mendez</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td></td>
<td>FY2012/13 Invoice #5 - Fourth Quarter CMAQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
July 15, 2013

The Honorable Mark DeSaulnier, Chairperson
Senate Transportation and Housing Committee
State Capitol, Room 2209
Sacramento, CA 95814


Dear Chairperson DeSaulnier:

The Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) requests your support for SCA 4 (Liu) when it comes before the Senate Transportation and Housing Committee for a vote. SCA 4 calls for placing before the voters an amendment to the California Constitution to change the voter threshold for special local transportation tax measures from two-thirds to 55 percent.

Transportation funding levels continue to fall significantly below the levels required to implement projects that reduce congestion, improve safety, expand mobility, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and maintain the existing transportation system. Given that state and federal funding is not sufficient to meet all of California’s transportation needs, this measure would give local agencies the opportunity to address at least some of the significant backlog.

The existing two-thirds majority vote requirement to enact transportation taxes presents a significant hurdle and exacerbates the funding shortfalls that undermine efforts to restore our transportation system to a state of good repair. Making matters even more challenging, passage of Proposition 26 in 2010 applies the two-thirds vote requirement to virtually all forms of transportation revenue that might be pursued at the local level, including vehicle registration fees, by broadening the definition of a tax. The two-thirds vote requirement allows a small minority of voters to control transportation investment decisions. This amendment recognizes that our transportation systems need attention and it provides a fair and equitable option to address the ongoing revenue shortfalls.

Lowering the threshold for passage of local option transportation tax measures to 55% gives voters in all of California’s counties a realistic opportunity to generate funding to improve, repair and maintain the local and state transportation system. This is the same vote threshold required for educational bonds since 2004.

Thank you for your leadership on this important issue. If you have any questions, please contact me or Rachel Moriconi of my staff at (831) 460-3200.

Sincerely,

George Dondero
Executive Director

cc: Senator Liu, Senator Monning, Assemblymember Alejo, Assemblymember Stone; RTC

S:\LEGISLAT\2013\Corresp\SCA 4(Liu) 55pct Support2013jul15.docx
July 15, 2013

The Honorable Mark DeSaulnier, Chairperson
Senate Transportation and Housing Committee
State Capitol, Room 2209
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Support for SCA 8 (Corbett). Transportation projects: special taxes: voter approval.

Dear Chairperson DeSaulnier:

The Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) requests your support for SCA 8 (Corbett) when it comes before the Senate Transportation and Housing Committee for a vote. This proposed constitutional amendment reduces the voter threshold from two-thirds to 55 percent for passage of local sales taxes dedicated to transportation purposes.

Transportation funding levels continue to fall significantly below the levels required to implement projects that reduce congestion, improve safety, expand mobility, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and maintain the existing transportation system. Given that state and federal funding is not sufficient to meet all of California’s transportation needs, this measure would give local agencies the opportunity to address at least some of the significant backlog.

The existing two-thirds majority vote requirement to enact transportation taxes presents a significant hurdle and exacerbates the funding shortfalls that undermine efforts to restore our transportation system to a state of good repair. Making matters even more challenging, passage of Proposition 26 in 2010 applies the two-thirds vote requirement to virtually all forms of transportation revenue that might be pursued at the local level, including vehicle registration fees, by broadening the definition of a tax. The two-thirds vote requirement allows a small minority of voters to control transportation investment decisions. This amendment recognizes that our transportation systems need attention and it provides a fair and equitable option to address the ongoing revenue shortfalls.

Lowering the threshold for passage of local option transportation tax measures to 55% gives voters in all of California’s counties a realistic opportunity to generate funding to improve, repair and maintain the local and state transportation system. This is the same vote threshold required for educational bonds since 2004.

Thank you for your leadership on this important issue. If you have any questions, please contact me or Rachel Moriconi of my staff at (831) 460-3200.

Sincerely,

George Dondero
Executive Director

cc: Senator Corbett, Senator Monning, Assemblymember Alejo, Assemblymember Stone; RTC
June 11, 2013

John Presleigh, Director  
Department of Public Works  
County of Santa Cruz  
701 Ocean Street, Room 410  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

RE: East Cliff Drive Parkway improvement recommendations

Dear Mr. Presleigh:

I’m writing on behalf of the Bicycle Committee of the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) to forward bicycle related recommendations for improvements to the East Cliff Drive Parkway.

The RTC Bicycle Committee serves to assist in the development and maintenance of a complete, convenient and safe regional bicycle network. As such, the Committee reviews projects, preliminary designs or policy related initiatives and makes recommendations as needed. After complaints from the public and members’ own observations regarding unclear travel behavior and corresponding indicators, as well as deficiencies in serving west bound bicycle travelers on the new East Cliff Drive Parkway, the Committee concluded that improvements were needed. An Ad-Hoc Committee was formed to conduct observations, meet with Public Works staff and make recommendations. The Ad-Hoc Committee noted that the project represents a huge leap forward with respect to the aesthetic of East Cliff Drive and encourages active transportation and recreational opportunities. However, some clear deficiencies currently exist that could be addressed with minimal effort. The Bicycle Committee, through a motion that was passed unanimously, requests that the County Public Works Department consider the following improvements and process:

1. Re-configure the eastbound travel lane to include sharrows and any necessary signage
2. Install a westbound contra-flow bike lane using the remaining roadway area (which currently serves as an eastbound bicycle lane). Design the contra-flow bike lane to conform with established best design practices
3. Install signage, stenciling or striping to better inform pedestrians and bicyclists of shared path conditions and uses (directional arrows, center line and/or “Keep Right” stencils)
4. Modify path and roadway design at the entry and exit of the parkway to direct faster moving bicycle traffic to the shared roadway or contra-flow lane, and sightseeing or slower recreational bicyclists to the multiuse path facility
5. Until a contra-flow lane is installed, improve facility design and signage to make intended uses obvious and to discourage “wrong-way” riding on the roadway
6. Install stop signs or user activated controls at pedestrian crossing at 41st Ave end of parkway
7. Get design feedback from the Bicycle Committee, stakeholders and facility users prior to final design and construction

The Ad-Hoc Committee report, which was endorsed by the full Bicycle Committee, is attached for background information.
The Bicycle Committee believes that the facility has the potential to develop into a conflict free, valuable transportation and recreational resource for locals and tourists. The Committee urges you to make the recommended improvements to further enhance this community jewel.

Please feel free to contact the RTC’s Bicycle Coordinator and staff to the Bicycle Committee, Cory Caletti at (831) 460-3201 or by email at caletti@sccrtc.org, for this and any other Bicycle Committee related matters.

Sincerely,

David Casterson
Bicycle Committee Chair

Attachment 1: Ad-Hoc Committee Report regarding East Cliff Drive Parkway improvements

cc: Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
    Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission’s Bicycle Committee
    John Leopold, District 1 Supervisor
June 11, 2013

John Presleigh, Director  
Department of Public Works 
County of Santa Cruz 
701 Ocean Street, Room 410 
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

RE: County’s Capital Improvement Program recommendations

Dear Mr. Presleigh:

I’m writing on behalf of the Bicycle Committee of the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) to comment on the draft Capital Improvement Program (CIP) and suggest bicycle project modifications and additions.

The RTC Bicycle Committee appreciates Public Works staff’s partnership in developing and maintaining a complete, convenient and safe regional bicycle network that promotes active and sustainable transportation and recreational options. The Committee reviews pertinent plans, projects, preliminary designs and policy initiatives to further advance those objectives. In reviewing the County’s draft CIP, the Bicycle Committee, through a motion that was approved unanimously, recommends the following changes and additions:

- For the Green Valley Road Chip Seal project: include bike lanes where possible and sharrows otherwise in order to increase awareness of cyclists on this high-use corridor
- For the Boulder Creek Elementary School project: review bicycle lanes for possible inclusion as a jumping off block for further bicycle facilities to be constructed later that will provide safe avenues for parents and kids to get to school by bike
- Add all segments of the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail (as defined in the project’s Draft Master Plan) that are within the jurisdiction of the unincorporated county
- In general, support all projects that include bike facilities and seek Bicycle Committee input into preliminary design proposals

Please feel free to contact the RTC’s Bicycle Coordinator and staff to the Bicycle Committee, Cory Caletti at (831) 460-3201 or by email at ccaletti@sccrtc.org, for this and any other Bicycle Committee related matters.

Sincerely,

David Casterson  
Bicycle Committee Chair

cc: Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission 
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission’s Bicycle Committee
RTC,

I am very sorry not to be in town for the upcoming meeting April 18th, 2013. I wanted to speak about the train horn and the ill effects of noise pollution.

I believe I voted for the train all those years ago, along with the thousands of eco-minded students that voted, not being aware that noise pollution would not be an issue for the project or the city, most likely because of the cost to contain it (estimated 1 million per crossing according to my phone conversation with Iowa Pacific).

The train WITH the noise pollution is like a house, but with a bee hive in the hall. Yes we said we wanted a house, and yes we never said "without a bee hive", so it's become our (the voters) fault not to have known this would be an issue. It never occurred to me and I feel reasonably sure it did not occur to many or most voters.

Why am I writing? I just want to remind you that the horn is a huge issue for so many of us struggling with work-time-money, that live on the Westside, where the horn is practically continuous from the boardwalk up to New Leaf. The horn disrupts businesses, it wake napping infants, it physically increases stress. Every police officer I spoke with was on board with my complaint. One told me her napping baby was awakened by the horn and she was pretty upset by it.

I don't have the time or money to fight this train horn - I wish I did - I have been out of town for the two meetings I've been aware of and missed the one on April 18th as well, I felt I had to write.

Yes, I have a particular aversion of the horn as I am a sound engineer. Let me toot my own horn (oh why does that have to be the phrase?!?) and say I am a Grammy Award winning engineer. If the horn comes back as I'm sure it will, then I look to sell my house and move somewhere like Santa Cruz used to be, pre-noise, 6 months ago.

I assume money is the deciding factor, and I am sure I don't know all the stress involved with money and the County - I'd be surprised if the stress was not enormous. But the noise pollution with the train horn makes Santa Cruz NOT Santa Cruz anymore - it changes the city into something else - it is no longer home to me, it is a stranger (and a loud one) I am sorry to say.

Thank you for reading,

Barry Phillips

Dear Barry Phillips,

Thank you for your comments. They have been made available to the Commission for their review.

Please visit the SCCRTC website at www.sccrtc.org for information on the Commission and its activities.

Thank you,

Cathy Judd, Administrative Assistant
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
Santa Cruz 831.460.3200 | Watsonville 831.768.8012
1523 Pacific Avenue | Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Follow our social networks for the latest RTC news
From: Art Alfaro [mailto:sealifffra@cruzie.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 23, 2013 11:48 AM
To: info@sccrtc.org
Subject: Highway 1 Mar Vista Bicycle/Pedestrian Bridge

Ms. Karena Pushnik,
We are a senior mobile home park on Mar Vista about 150 yards from the proposed overpass. We are concerned about the amount of foot and bicycle traffic this will create. There are no sidewalks and streets need repair. We would like to be on your mailing & meeting list. What is your fiscal year. Example Construction plan for FY15-16? What is calendar dates?
Regards,
Art

Art Alfaro - Manager
Office Hours: Mon & Wed 9-2; Tues & Thurs 10-2; Fri 9-12PM
Seacliff Park Residents Association
2700 Mar Vista Drive
Aptos, CA 95003

SealiffPRA@cruzie.com
P-831-688-6824
F-831-688-0413

05/29/13
Hello Art Alfaro, Manager of the Seacliff Park Residents Association –

We received your email regarding the proposed Mar Vista Bicycle/Pedestrian Overcrossing and we will make it available for the Regional Transportation Commission board.

We anticipate the conceptual design/environmental review phase of the Overcrossing project to begin in late summer 2014. One of the first steps in the process will be a public meeting where we present an outline of the project and record the community’s interests and concerns with the project. That meeting will probably occur around January/February 2015. With the contact information you provided, and as a matter of full public outreach, you and all the residents of the Seacliff Park will be invited to attend and participate in the public meeting.

Funding has been allocated for all phases of the project, based on the preliminary design and environmental assessment. Future phases include environmental clearance, final design, right-of-way acquisition (if necessary), and construction. At this time, construction is anticipated to begin in spring of 2018.

The goal of this project is to improve pedestrian and bicycle access across Highway 1 as an alternative to the interchange at State Park Drive. Although not now included, we will look into the feasibility of including upgrades to sidewalks and bicycle lanes on both sides of Mar Vista Avenue as part of the project. Another option is to apply for separate funding to complete those improvements from sources such as the Safe Routes to School grants.

Thank you for your comments.
-----Original Message-----
From: marcelinsampson@gmail.com [mailto:marcelinsampson@gmail.com] On Behalf Of R. Paul Marcelin
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2013 5:02 PM
To: Kim Shultz
Subject: Re: RTC: Hwy 1 Soquel/Morrissey Aux Update- May 30

Hello, Kim.

I don't know if you'll remember me, but I was involved with various transportation-related committees before I left Santa Cruz six years ago.

I'm still on the RTC mailing list, and I wanted to congratulate you on the periodic Highway 1 messages, which I still enjoy reading! You give clear information about what has been done and what will be done. Often, these public information efforts fizzle after a few releases, but you are still giving useful information about the project.

All the best,

Paul Marcelin, MBA
Alameda, California

05/31/13

Hello Paul,

Thank you for your kind words and your continued interest in transportation issues in Santa Cruz County - 6 years after moving out of the area!!

We continue to learn very important lessons in the management of construction projects, but one thing I think the RTC can be very proud of is the team effort in the public outreach program and actions taken to minimize the impact of the construction process on the community.

Sincerely,
Kim

--------------------------------------
Kim Shultz, Highway 1 Project Manager/Senior Planner Regional Transportation Commission
831.460.3208
From: Therese Johannesson [mailto:theresemomsong@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2013 6:36 AM
To: Regional Transportation Commission
Subject: Re: RTC: Hwy 1 Soquel/Morrissey Aux Update- May 30

As usual, THANKS SO MUCH for keeping us informed and for doing such amazing work! It will be so great to have those extra lanes and a bridge much more biker and walker friendly! Therese, Dominic and Linus Johannesson

******************************************************************************

Dear Therese, Dominic and Linus Johannesson,

Thank you for your comments. They will be made available to the Commission for their review.

Please visit the SCCRTC website at www.sccrtc.org for information on the Commission and its activities.

Thank you,


Cathy Judd, Administrative Assistant
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
Santa Cruz 831.460.3200 | Watsonville 831.768.8012
1523 Pacific Avenue | Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Follow our social networks for the latest RTC news
From: Luis Mendez  
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2013 2:57 PM  
To: 'Peoples, Brian C'  
Cc: 'bruce.mcpherson@co.santa-cruz.ca.us'; Zach Friend; 'Patrick Mulhearn'; 'BDS058@co.santa-cruz.ca.us'; George Dondero; Karena Pushnik  
Subject: Questions Regarding Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line

Hello Mr. Peoples,

Below are the responses to your recent questions. RTC staff is also producing a list of questions and answers regarding the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line. The list of questions and answers should provide answers to questions that you have asked as well as questions posed by others. The list of questions and answers should be posted to the RTC website next week. If you have additional questions, feel free to contact me.

1. “What is the requirements on how the funding must be used for bridge structure improvements? Is there a requirement that the funding be used to bring structures up to freight / passenger railline codes? Our understanding is that the purchase price from UP was reduced by $5M based on required improvements, so it may not necessarily be outlined that the funding be specific to bring it up to the higher standards. Is there any specific wording in funding criteria that requires it be used to upgrade to railline codes?”

The Regional Transportation Commission’s requirement for bridge rehabilitation work on the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line is part of the negotiated purchase and sale agreement with Union Pacific. The agreement is available on the RTC website at [http://sccrtc.org/projects/rail/rail-line-purchase/rail-line-due-diligence/](http://sccrtc.org/projects/rail/rail-line-purchase/rail-line-due-diligence/). That agreement requires the RTC to use its best efforts to secure funding of $5 million to perform bridge rehabilitation work on the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line. The negotiated requirement resulted from engineering analyses of the structures’ ability to accommodate freight rail service operating at 25 miles per hour and with a weight of 268,000 and 286,000 lbs per car.

2. “Is RTC obligated to IP to upgrade all bridge structures to allow Tourist Train to make it to Davenport? If so, is RTC at risk of violating this agreement?”

The agreement between the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) and Santa Cruz & Monterey Bay Railway does not require the RTC to upgrade all bridge structures to allow tourist trains to make it to Davenport. However, the purchase and sale agreement between the RTC and Union Pacific requires the RTC to use its best efforts to secure funding of $5 million to perform bridge rehabilitation work on the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line. Since the RTC’s efforts resulted in securing funding for the bridge rehabilitation work, the RTC has the obligation to perform the rehabilitation work as outlined in the purchase and sale agreement to ensure that it is not in violation of the agreement. In addition, before approving the funding to purchase the rail line, the State reviewed the purchase and sale agreement and many other documents and approved the funding based on all of the information included in all of those documents. Therefore, if the RTC does not complete the bridge rehabilitation in accordance with the information provided to the State, the State could potentially claim that RTC is in violation of the funding approval.

3. “Is there any “violation” for a private organization to pay Iowa Pacific to close their Tourist Train business?”

Requirements for private business transactions are beyond the purview of the RTC.

4. “We talked with a person in our group who is a real estate/contract lawyer about the idea of “paying Iowa Pacific to go away” – at least for Tourist Train and use of track from La Selva to Davenport. Her thought was – Iowa Pacific is a “tenant” of the property and if their business shuts down, then maybe they would have some “lease” obligations. However, our understanding is that Iowa Pacific doesn’t pay rent? Is there any penalty clauses in the agreement between RTC and IP if IP ends Tourist Train operations? Are there any other obligations IP has that would need to be addressed if they just shutdown their Tourist Train operations?”

The rights and obligations between the RTC and Santa Cruz & Monterey Bay Railway are stipulated in the administration, coordination and license agreement entered into by the two entities. The agreement is available on the RTC website at [http://sccrtc.org/projects/rail/rail-line-purchase/rail-line-due-diligence/](http://sccrtc.org/projects/rail/rail-line-purchase/rail-line-due-diligence/).
http://sccrtc.org/projects/rail/rail-line-purchase/rail-line-due-diligence/. Anyone may review that agreement for specific clauses, rights and obligations that may be of interest. In addition, Santa Cruz & Monterey Bay Railway owns a 20-foot easement (10 feet from the centerline of any track) on the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line for freight railroad purposes and is the common carrier for the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line designated by the Surface Transportation Board.

5. “Can RTC begin “Railbanking” process for corridor, so when Tourist Train business ends, we will be in position to secure the easements?”(sic)

The RTC’s understanding is that railbanking is part of rail line abandonment proceedings that must go through a specific process through the Surface Transportation Board. It is also the RTC’s understanding that this is done by lawyers specifically qualified for these types of proceedings; therefore, the RTC does not have the legal knowledge or expertise required to provide an answer to this question.

6. “Is there any type of engineering analysis in the way of process flow analysis or ROI on increased mobility? RTC seems to be making their decisions on transportation plans based on “popular vote” rather than flow calculations.”(sic)

The RTC is the state designated agency for transportation planning in Santa Cruz County. To fully meet this responsibility the RTC regularly produces a regional transportation plan in accordance with state and federal requirements. In producing the regional transportation plan, the RTC considers a wide range of factors including existing transportation patterns, existing land use, anticipated future land use, anticipated future transportation needs, environmental impacts, sustainability, funding requirements, funding constraints, local priorities, state and federal requirements, public input, demographic trends, and mobility needs of the entire community. The RTC works with the Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG) and other transportation agencies in the region in the production of the regional transportation plan. Possible transportation plan scenarios are run through the regional travel demand model developed and maintained by AMBAG. The regional travel demand model uses data and mathematical calculations to provide potential results of different transportation planning scenarios. Throughout the development process of the regional transportation plan, the RTC provides many opportunities for public input through its regular meetings, special meetings and workshops, surveys, the internet, etc.

7. “Is there a clause in the agreement between Iowa Pacific and RTC that if the repair / maintenance costs are “unreasonable”, then RTC can opt out of the repairs and abandon the line and cancel the Iowa lease. I seem to remember Ellen Pierre mentioning this to me that there was an “op-out” clause if the repairs are not feasible economically to protect County Tax Payers.”(sic)

As stated above in the responses to questions 1 and 2, repair or rehabilitation obligations of the RTC are included in the purchase and sale agreement between the RTC and Union Pacific not in the agreement between RTC and Santa Cruz & Monterey Bay Railway (Iowa Pacific). The agreement between the RTC and Santa Cruz & Monterey Bay Railway addresses maintenance responsibilities and stipulates that Santa Cruz & Monterey Bay Railway is responsible for maintenance of the railroad infrastructure. Therefore, there is no “opt-out” clause for the RTC due to “unreasonable” maintenance costs. Both agreements are available on the RTC website at http://sccrtc.org/projects/rail/rail-line-purchase/rail-line-due-diligence/.

---

Luis Pavel Mendez, Deputy Director
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
1523 Pacific Avenue | Santa Cruz, CA 95060
Main Office 831.460.3200 | Watsonville 831.768.8012
Direct 831.460.3212 | Mobile 408.838.2392

Follow our social networks for the latest RTC news
I would like to know if you are going to do Landscaping and planting of the Morrissey Blvd. overpass also on the Rooney Street side also.

Ronald W. Busenhart
231 Rooney Street
Santa Cruz, Calf 95065

E Mail -- RB1937Ford@aol.com

06/18/13

Ronald W. Busenhart –

Your email regarding landscaping for the Highway 1 Soquel/Morrissey Auxiliary Lanes project was received and will be forwarded to the Regional Transportation Commission board for consideration.

The planting plan approved with the project plans in 2011 includes the following:

- Planting inside the state highway area on the Morrissey Boulevard northbound onramp loop on the mountain side of the highway between the Rooney/Pacheco/Morrissey intersection and the highway
- Planting in the triangle shaped state highway area between Marnell Avenue and the Morrissey Boulevard onramp loop on the mountain side of the highway.
- The Soquel/Morrissey Auxiliary Lanes project does not include landscaping north of Morrissey Boulevard along the Rooney Street side of the interchange.

The plans are available in our office, and you are welcome to come by during business hours to review them in person.

Thank you.

Karena Pushnik, Senior Planner/Public Information Coordinator
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
Santa Cruz Office (main) 831.460.3210 | Watsonville 831.768.8012
1523 Pacific Avenue | Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Follow our social networks for the latest RTC news
From: Therese Johannesson [mailto:theresemomsong@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 25, 2013 5:38 PM
To: Regional Transportation Commission
Subject: Re: RTC: Hwy 1 Soquel/Morrissey Aux Update- June 25

You guys are awesome. Thank you, thank you, thank you for your incredible work!
The Johannesson Family

----------------------------------

Thank you for your appreciation!
I’ll make sure your supportive words are forwarded to the construction team and the RTC Board, the entity managing this project.

Karena Pushnik, Senior Planner/Public Information Coordinator
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
Santa Cruz Office (main) 831.460.3210 | Watsonville 831.768.8012
1523 Pacific Avenue | Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Follow our social networks for the latest RTC news
NEWSWORTHY

- Governor Brown recently appointed three agency secretaries' in response to the major state government reorganization. He appointed Marybel Batjer of Reno, Secretary of the Government Operations Agency; Anna Caballero of Salinas, Secretary of the Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency; and Brian Kelly of Sacramento, Secretary of the Transportation Agency (formerly the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency). This reorganization reduces the number of state agencies from 12 to 10 and eliminates, or consolidates, dozens of departments and entities. The changes are expected to improve coordination and efficiency, and make government more responsive to the public. More information is available at:
  http://gov.ca.gov/docs/Cover_Letter_and_Summary.pdf

- The Transportation Agency recently formed the California Freight Advisory Committee in response to MAP-21. Caltrans is currently developing the California Freight Mobility Plan, an update to the 2007 Goods Movement Action Plan. The revised plan will address current freight conditions and issues across all modes and regions in the state and identify important trends. It will also discuss community impacts, trucking, new legislation, regional differences and linkages, and greenhouse gas emission reduction strategies. More information is available at:
  http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/tpp/offices/ogm/california_freight_mobility_plan.html

- Caltrans recently updated its Public Participation Plan for gathering input on statewide planning and programming efforts, including the California Transportation Plan and the Federal Statewide Transportation Improvement Program. MAP-21 emphasizes providing early and continuous opportunities for public comment. The document is available at:
  http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/tpp/offices/osp/ppp_files/CTPE_PPP_Final_052913_dq_29.pdf#?rzoom=75

- Caltrans recently released the County-Level Economic Forecast 2012-2040. This document will assist local and regional agencies in their planning and travel forecasting efforts. Updated annually, the report provides a consistent set of long-term socio-economic forecasts for each county in California. The full report is available at:

LOCAL HIGHLIGHTS

The California Transportation Commission (CTC) recently allocated $1 billion toward 153 projects statewide to improve transportation and spur economic growth. The allocations include nearly $541 million from Proposition 1B, a 2006 voter-approved transportation bond. These projects include the following:

- SCCRTC – $2.4 million for the Broadway-Brommer Street Bike/Pedestrian Path in Santa Cruz.
- Caltrans – $382,000 to upgrade metal beam guardrail, construct concrete barriers and upgrade drainage features at various locations on Highway 1 from the South Aptos underpass to just north of Highway 9 in Santa Cruz County. This project will help reduce the number and severity of traffic collisions.
- City of Santa Cruz – $450,000 to install a traffic signal and construct protected left-turn lanes at the Soquel Avenue/Park Way intersection in Santa Cruz. This project will reduce collisions, delays, travel times, widen the sidewalk and improve access to transit.

Please Submit Maintenance Service Requests at the Following Link: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/maint/msrs_submit/
## Completed Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Construction Timeline</th>
<th>Construction Cost</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Implementing Agency</th>
<th>Project Manager (Resident Engineer)</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hwy. 1 Watsonville (CAPM) Rehab. (0M7504)</td>
<td>Hwy I (PM 0.0-10.2) In Santa Cruz County in Watsonville and Aptos from Pajaro River Bridge to North Aptos Underpass</td>
<td>Pavement Rehabilitation (hot mix asphalt on existing pavement)</td>
<td>April 15, 2012- June 13, 2013</td>
<td>$12M</td>
<td>SHOPP</td>
<td>Caltrans</td>
<td>Luis Duazo (BR)</td>
<td>Pavex Construction Division, Watsonville</td>
<td>Work was completed and accepted on June 13, 2013.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Construction Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Construction Timeline</th>
<th>Construction Cost</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Implementing Agency</th>
<th>Project Manager (Resident Engineer)</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hwy. 1 Guardrail/Crash Cushions (0M9704)</td>
<td>Highway 1, various locations from San Lorenzo R. Bridge to Waddell Creek (PM 17.4-26.0)</td>
<td>Upgrade guard rail, end treatments</td>
<td>Summer 2013/Fall 2013</td>
<td>Total $2.8M</td>
<td>SHOPP</td>
<td>Caltrans</td>
<td>Doug Hessing (BR)</td>
<td>Coral Construction Company, Wilsonville, Oregon</td>
<td>Project Awarded on 2/14/13; Project approved on March 1. Project schedule to begin late June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Construction Timeline</td>
<td>Construction Cost</td>
<td>Funding Source</td>
<td>Implementing Agency</td>
<td>Project Manager (Resident Engineer)</td>
<td>Contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Hwy. 1 Laguna Road Guardrail Upgrade (OM9804)</td>
<td>Hwy. 1 at various locations from 0.9 mile N. of Laguna Rd. to Wadell Creek Br. (PM 26.8-36.3)</td>
<td>Install MBGR and upgrade drainage systems</td>
<td>May 9 – Fall 2013</td>
<td>$1.6M</td>
<td>SHOPP</td>
<td>Caltrans</td>
<td>Steve DiGrazia (BR)</td>
<td>Dreambuilder, Placentia, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Hwy. 9 Holiday Lane Improvements (OK2304)</td>
<td>Highway 9 between Ben Lomond and the Highland Co. Park; S. of Holiday Lane (PM 8.4-8.6)</td>
<td>Construct Viaduct, Upgrade guard rail</td>
<td>Summer 2012 – Summer 2013</td>
<td>$1.3 M</td>
<td>SHOPP</td>
<td>Caltrans</td>
<td>Steve DiGrazia (AN)</td>
<td>Pavex Construction Div., Watsonville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Hwy. 17 Summit Slide Repair (1A7104)</td>
<td>In Santa Cruz County near Scotts Valley at 0.2 mile north of Glenwood Dr. (PM 11.0)</td>
<td>Construct retaining wall with concrete slab &amp; barrier, HMA pave</td>
<td>Spring 2013 – Fall 2014</td>
<td>$2 Million</td>
<td>SHOPP</td>
<td>Caltrans</td>
<td>Steve DiGrazia (PD)</td>
<td>Condon-Johnson &amp; Associates Inc., Oakland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Hwy. 17 Guardrail Upgrade (0L7014)</td>
<td>In Santa Cruz County near Scotts Valley at various locations from Santa’s Village Road to the Santa Clara County Line (PM 6.0-12.6)</td>
<td>Upgrade guardrail</td>
<td>Summer 2013-Fall 2014</td>
<td>$10 Million</td>
<td>SHOPP</td>
<td>Caltrans</td>
<td>Steve DiGrazia (PD)</td>
<td>Pavex Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Construction Timeline</td>
<td>Construction Cost</td>
<td>Funding Source</td>
<td>Implementing Agency</td>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>Phase</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Hwy. 1 Guardrail Upgrade, Concrete Barrier, Retaining Wall (05-0R9101) | Highway 1 from S of South Aptos Underpass to .1 Mi N. of Rt 9 (PM 9.0-17.6) | Upgrade Metal Beam Guard Rail, other improvements | Fall/ Winter 2013 | $2.3 M | SHOPP | Caltrans | Doug Hessing | PS&E/RW | Scheduled to be advertised summer 2013.
## Programmed/Funded SHOPP Projects
### in Santa Cruz County

**July 2013 Semi-Annual List**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Post Miles</th>
<th>EA Project Identifier</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Current Project Phase</th>
<th>Ready To List (Target)</th>
<th>Project Manager Phone #</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Cost ($1,000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.0/12.6</td>
<td>0L70U 0500000151</td>
<td>Near Scotts Valley at various locations from Santa's Village Road to the Santa Clara County line. Construct new guardrail and retaining walls and upgrade guardrail, crash cushions, and end treatments. NOTE: Contractor defaulted. Replacement project is EA 05-0L701.</td>
<td>SCR 17 Guard Rail Upgrades</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>7/28/2009(A)*</td>
<td>Steve Digrazia 805-549-3437 <a href="mailto:steve.digrazia@dot.ca.gov">steve.digrazia@dot.ca.gov</a></td>
<td>$6,160 Award/$17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.1/6.6</td>
<td>0G400 0500000059</td>
<td>Near Scotts Valley north of Santa's Village Road to south of Crescent Drive. Construct concrete guard rail.</td>
<td>Santa's Village Road Guard Rail</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>3/25/2010(A)*</td>
<td>Doug Hessing 805-549-3386 <a href="mailto:doug.hessing@dot.ca.gov">doug.hessing@dot.ca.gov</a></td>
<td>$1,568 Award/$113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>R0.0/10.2</td>
<td>0M750 0500020234</td>
<td>Near the city of Santa Cruz, from Pajaro River Bridge to North Aptos Underpass. Rehabilitate pavement.</td>
<td>Watsonville CAPM</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>6/15/11(A)*</td>
<td>Luis Duazo 805-542-4678 <a href="mailto:luis.duazo@dot.ca.gov">luis.duazo@dot.ca.gov</a></td>
<td>$14,414 Award/$15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.4/8.6</td>
<td>0K230 0500000108</td>
<td>Near Ben Lomond, from 0.2 mile north of Glen Arbor Rd to Highland Country Park. Upgrade guardrail and stabilize shoulder. (Project includes additional $900k of OTS funds.)</td>
<td>Holiday Lane Viaduct</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>12/21/11(A)*</td>
<td>Steve Digrazia 805-549-3437 <a href="mailto:steve.digrazia@dot.ca.gov">steve.digrazia@dot.ca.gov</a></td>
<td>$217 Award/$4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.4/26.0</td>
<td>0M970 0500000203</td>
<td>Near the city of Santa Cruz from the San Lorenzo River Bridge to Laguna Road. Install guardrail and crash cushions.</td>
<td>Santa Cruz 1 Guardrail Upgrade</td>
<td>PS&amp;E/RW</td>
<td>2/24/2012(A)*</td>
<td>Doug Hessing 805-549-3386 <a href="mailto:doug.hessing@dot.ca.gov">doug.hessing@dot.ca.gov</a></td>
<td>$2,164 Award/$14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>26.8/36.3</td>
<td>0M980 0500000204</td>
<td>Near the city of Santa Cruz from Laguna Road to Waddell Creek Bridge. Upgrade guardrail and drainage.</td>
<td>Laguna Road Guardrail Upgrade</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>11/15/12(A)*</td>
<td>Steve Digrazia 805-549-3437 <a href="mailto:steve.digrazia@dot.ca.gov">steve.digrazia@dot.ca.gov</a></td>
<td>$1,215 Award/$14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.7/17.6</td>
<td>0R910 0500000387</td>
<td>Near Aptos, from 0.1 mile south of South Aptos Underpass to 0.10 mile north of Route 9. Upgrade guardrail, guardrail end treatments, and drainage features. (Project also includes additional $1.5 million OTS funds.)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz 1, ENV, RR, Guardrails</td>
<td>PS&amp;E/RW</td>
<td>3/7/2013(A)*</td>
<td>Doug Hessing 805-549-3386 <a href="mailto:doug.hessing@dot.ca.gov">doug.hessing@dot.ca.gov</a></td>
<td>$382 Vote/$75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>1A710 0512000010</td>
<td>Near Scotts Valley, north of Glenwood Drive. Construct retaining wall.</td>
<td>Summit Slide Repair</td>
<td>CON</td>
<td>3/1/2012(A)*</td>
<td>Steve Digrazia 805-549-3437 <a href="mailto:steve.digrazia@dot.ca.gov">steve.digrazia@dot.ca.gov</a></td>
<td>$3,475 Award/$25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Programmed in 09/10 FY**

**Programmed in 10/11 FY**

**Programmed in 11/12 FY**

**Programmed in 12/13 FY**

---

**NOTE:** For general information about the SHOPP program contact Lindsay Leichtfuss at (805) 549-3788 or by email at lindsay.leichtfuss@dot.ca.gov

*List is provided in January and July of each year.*

*Updated: July 2013*
## PROGRAMMED/FUNDED SHOPP PROJECTS in Santa Cruz County

### July 2013 Semi-Annual List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Post Miles</th>
<th>Project Identifier</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Current Project Phase</th>
<th>Ready To List (Target)</th>
<th>Project Manager</th>
<th>Phone #</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Cost ($1,000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.0/17.5</td>
<td>0C901 0500000029</td>
<td>Near Santa Cruz, from 0.4 mile south of Freedom Blvd to 0.4 mile north of Ocean Street, Install CCTV and signs.</td>
<td>SCR Traffic Surveillance Station-CCTV</td>
<td>PS&amp;E/RW</td>
<td>4/12/2013</td>
<td>Luis Duazo 805-542-4678</td>
<td><a href="mailto:luis.duazo@dot.ca.gov">luis.duazo@dot.ca.gov</a></td>
<td>$2,956/$13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.8/19.7</td>
<td>0Q590 0500000031</td>
<td>Near the city of Santa Cruz at various locations from 3.7 miles north of Junction Route 1 to 5.7 miles north of South Junction Route 236. Pollution source control.</td>
<td>SCR 9 San Lorenzo River Source Control</td>
<td>PS&amp;E/RW</td>
<td>12/1/2013</td>
<td>Doug Hessing 805-549-3386</td>
<td><a href="mailto:doug.hessing@dot.ca.gov">doug.hessing@dot.ca.gov</a></td>
<td>$2,543/$46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>9.4/10.1</td>
<td>1C180 0512000077</td>
<td>Near Scotts Valley, from north of Tucker Road to south of Glenwood Drive. Widen shoulder and add safety barrier.</td>
<td>Laurel Curve NB Shoulder Widening</td>
<td>PS&amp;E/RW</td>
<td>1/1/2014</td>
<td>Steve Digrizia 805-549-3437</td>
<td><a href="mailto:steve.digrizia@dot.ca.gov">steve.digrizia@dot.ca.gov</a></td>
<td>$3,558/$30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Programmed in 14/15 FY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VAR</th>
<th>Post Miles</th>
<th>Project Identifier</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Current Project Phase</th>
<th>Ready To List (Target)</th>
<th>Project Manager</th>
<th>Phone #</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Cost ($1,000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>8.2/10.1</td>
<td>0T980 0500002244</td>
<td>Near Glenwood, from 0.9 mile north of Vinehill Road and 0.5 mile south of Glenwood Drive. Shoulder widening and concrete guardrail.</td>
<td>Santa Cruz 17 Shoulder Widening and Concrete Guardrail</td>
<td>PS&amp;E/RW</td>
<td>1/21/2015</td>
<td>Doug Hessing 805-549-3386</td>
<td><a href="mailto:doug.hessing@dot.ca.gov">doug.hessing@dot.ca.gov</a></td>
<td>$6,968/$75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.4/30.6</td>
<td>1C310 0512000117</td>
<td>Near the city of Santa Cruz, from Shaffer Road to Swanton Road. Install rumble strips.</td>
<td>Santa Cruz/Davenport Rumble Strips</td>
<td>PA&amp;ED</td>
<td>7/15/2014</td>
<td>Doug Hessing 805-549-3386</td>
<td><a href="mailto:doug.hessing@dot.ca.gov">doug.hessing@dot.ca.gov</a></td>
<td>$607/$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.9/17.1</td>
<td>1A870 051200034</td>
<td>In the city of Santa Cruz, from the northbound on-ramp from southbound Route 17 to the northbound off-ramp to Ocean Street. Restripe and widen shoulders.</td>
<td>Santa Cruz 1/17 Shoulder Widening</td>
<td>PA&amp;ED</td>
<td>8/1/2014</td>
<td>Luis Duazo 805-542-4678</td>
<td><a href="mailto:luis.duazo@dot.ca.gov">luis.duazo@dot.ca.gov</a></td>
<td>$955/$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>9.5/10.0</td>
<td>0T540 0500000857</td>
<td>In Santa Cruz County, west of Chittenden Road. Improve roadway alignment.</td>
<td>Hwy 129 Curve Realignment</td>
<td>PA&amp;ED</td>
<td>7/1/2014</td>
<td>Doug Hessing 805-549-3386</td>
<td><a href="mailto:doug.hessing@dot.ca.gov">doug.hessing@dot.ca.gov</a></td>
<td>$11,790/$101</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Programmed in 15/16 FY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VAR</th>
<th>Post Miles</th>
<th>Project Identifier</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Current Project Phase</th>
<th>Ready To List (Target)</th>
<th>Project Manager</th>
<th>Phone #</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Cost ($1,000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>31.6/36.3</td>
<td>0F990 0500000053</td>
<td>Near Davenport, at Scott Creek Bridge #36-0031 and Waddell Creek Bridge #36-0065. Bridge rehabilitation. (Note: Former Long Lead project)</td>
<td>Scott Creek and Waddell Creek Bridge Rehabilitation</td>
<td>PA&amp;ED</td>
<td>10/1/2015</td>
<td>Steve Digrizia 805-549-3437</td>
<td><a href="mailto:steve.digrizia@dot.ca.gov">steve.digrizia@dot.ca.gov</a></td>
<td>$20,369/$340</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7/2.2</td>
<td>00600 0500020290</td>
<td>In Santa Cruz, from 0.7 mile north of Route 1/17 Separation to north of Simms Road. Storm water mitigation.</td>
<td>Hwy 17 Sediment Source Control</td>
<td>PA&amp;ED</td>
<td>4/1/2016</td>
<td>Doug Hessing 805-549-3386</td>
<td><a href="mailto:doug.hessing@dot.ca.gov">doug.hessing@dot.ca.gov</a></td>
<td>$9,905/$1,107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.5/17.4</td>
<td>1C100 0512000074</td>
<td>In and near the city of Santa Cruz, on Routes 1 and 17 at various locations. Construct roadside paving, access gates, weed barriers and relocate facilities.</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Worker Safety</td>
<td>PA&amp;ED</td>
<td>6/1/2016</td>
<td>Luis Duazo 805-542-4678</td>
<td><a href="mailto:luis.duazo@dot.ca.gov">luis.duazo@dot.ca.gov</a></td>
<td>$1,222/$5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) = Actual date RTL was achieved.  
Note: Construction Award or Vote costs are actuals; otherwise Construction costs are estimates.

NOTE: For general information about the SHOPP program contact Lindsay Leichtfuss at (805) 549-3788 or by email at lindsay.leichtfuss@dot.ca.gov.  
*List is provided in January and July of each year.*

July_13_SCCRTC_SHOPP_071213  
Updated: July 2013
TO: Regional Transportation Commission
FROM: Kim Shultz, Senior Transportation Planner
RE: Highway 1 Soquel/Morrissey Auxiliary Lanes Project

RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff recommends that the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) receive the monthly report on construction activities for the Highway 1 Soquel/Morrissey Auxiliary Lanes Project.

BACKGROUND

On January 5, 2012, the RTC authorized a construction contract for work to begin on the Highway 1 Soquel/Morrissey Auxiliary Lanes project. A Notice to Proceed was issued to the contractor on February 3, 2012, following receipt of performance bonds and insurance certificates.

DISCUSSION

Since the last briefing in June significant progress has been made in all aspects of the project, including the new La Fonda Avenue Overcrossing, sound wall construction, and the landscape work. The most dramatic event during this period was the removal of the false work supporting construction of the new bridge. Disassembling the heavy lumber and steel I-beams is the most dangerous item of work on the project and required closing the northbound and southbound lanes on July 12th and 13th, respectively. Not only was this work successfully completed without incident, but the safety officer for the contractor’s insurance company completed a field inspection and reported excellent ratings for the job site. As previously discussed, this is a top priority of the project on behalf of construction crew, surrounding neighbors, and motorists driving through the project on a daily basis.

While an exact date for completion of the new La Fonda Avenue bridge is not known as of this writing, the construction team has committed to opening up the bridge to motorists, bicyclists and pedestrians before the start of the classes at Harbor High School on August 28th. Staff is planning a grand opening event for the bridge and RTC commissioners will be notified once an exact date is known.

Through this period, work has advanced on the sound walls and crews have been backfilling the area between the sound wall and the adjacent properties to match...
the grade of the adjacent property and ensure proper drainage in the area. The sound wall work will be completed in early August. Meanwhile, landscape work has begun at the Morrissey Boulevard Interchange and on the slope of the southbound side of the highway.

The construction team has determined that supplemental drainage work will be needed on the northbound side of the highway behind the existing retaining wall. As of this writing, the project team is evaluating design options and cost of this supplemental work. This work could not begin until after the sound wall is completed on the east side of the La Fonda Avenue Overcrossing and may extend completion of the overall project and opening of the new auxiliary lanes by a few weeks. There would be no delay to the completion and opening of the La Fonda Avenue Overcrossing.

As previously reported, the contractor has submitted a claim that differing site conditions required a more extensive shoring system than originally anticipated on the northside of the highway. RTC’s construction engineer has closely monitored the work to assist in resolving the claim of extra costs associated with this work.

Construction Financial Status

As of this writing, fifteen contract change orders (CCO’s) have been approved, seven of which were approved since the last staff report. Pursuant to the policy adopted by the RTC prior to the beginning of the project, following is a brief summary of the recently approved CCO’s and their cost:

CCO 9 – Cost $48,150
Install a new storm drain line from an existing inlet on the northbound side across the highway connecting to a new inlet on the southbound side of the highway. This work was done using quick drying concrete while the highway was closed for the demolition of the center column on the old La Fonda Avenue Overcrossing.

CCO 10 – Cost $22,610
A new utility pole had to be installed with conduits and equipment to restore street lighting on La Fonda Avenue from Harbor High School to Soquel Drive after electricity was cut off when the main utility lines were relocated prior to demolition of the La Fonda Avenue Overcrossing.

CCO 11 – Cost $15,000
Modify the alignment of the dry stack forms for the retaining wall on the northbound side of the highway at each footing step.

CCO 12 – Cost $17,750
A number of pines, an oak, and a small stand of coastal sequoias located adjacent to the right-of-way line of the highway were damaged during excavation of the foundation for the sound wall paralleling Oak Way. A mitigation program was developed to address the damage to the mature trees in coordination with the arborist for the City of Santa Cruz and private property owners.
CCO 13 – Cost $48,000
Unsuitable subgrade material (saturated or sandy soil) was found during excavation for the sound wall footing and shoulder sections of the highway. The contractor was directed to remove the unsuitable material and import new subgrade material.

CCO 14 – Cost $14,040
Base and surface material to be reused in construction of the auxiliary lanes could not be located in the field as identified in Caltrans as-built plans requiring the contractor to purchase additional aggregate material.

CCO 15 - Cost $20,000
In excavating the northbound shoulder for construction of the auxiliary lanes, electrical conduit not shown on the as-built plans was disturbed. The electrical lines in this conduit powered irrigation systems and main line loop detectors along the highway. This conduit and 2 pull boxes had to be reconstructed and 1 pull box relocated at the overhead sign structure on the southbound side of the highway.

The cost of the fifteen CCO’s approved to date total is $398,042. Funding for 3 of these CCO’s, in the amount of $108,000, is provided in the project’s Supplemental Budget for the Traffic Management Program and does not count against the contract contingency of $993,864. The drawdown of the remaining construction related CCO’s is $290,042 or 29% of the available contingency.

Through 17 months of construction activity, the approved progress payments total $7,718,552 or approximately 70% of the approved construction budget. The progress payment for the current period is still under review by the resident construction engineer and will be reported at the next meeting.

Following is the current contract cost accounting:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Updated Contract Amount</td>
<td>$10,336,681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency Balance</td>
<td>$ 703,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved Contract Budget</td>
<td>$11,040,503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progress Payments To Date</td>
<td>$ 7,718,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining Contract Budget</td>
<td>$ 3,321,951</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional CCO’s are anticipated in the coming weeks, including: special handling of existing water lines in La Fonda Avenue; the supplemental drainage work behind the northbound retaining wall; lighting modifications for the La Fonda Avenue Overcrossing; bridge mounted directional signs; and, irrigation and planting material. As previously mentioned, the RTC approved a set of policies for contract change orders prior to the start of construction and established a contract change orders ad-hoc committee composed of five Commissioners. It has not been necessary to convene the ad-hoc committee up to this point but if any contract change order is over $100,000, the ad-hoc committee will be convened.
SUMMARY

Work has continued to progress on the new La Fonda Avenue Overcrossing, sound wall construction, and the beginning of the landscape work. The construction crew successfully disassembled the false work supporting construction of the new bridge, described as the most dangerous item of work on the project. Staff is planning an informal neighborhood block party for the opening of the new La Fonda Avenue Overcrossing and RTC commissioners will be notified once an exact date known. A total of 15 contract change orders have been approved to date of which a total of $290,042 or 29% counts against the allowed contract contingency. Through 17 months of construction activity, the approved progress payments total $7,718,552 or approximately 70% of the approved construction budget. The project is on track to be completed within the 18-24 month construction period anticipated at the beginning of the project and within the allowed construction budget.
AGENDA: August 1, 2013
(Carried over from June 26, 2013 Policy Workshop)

TO: Regional Transportation Commission
FROM: Karena Pushnik, Senior Transportation Planner
RE: Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) Priorities and Preferences Telephone Survey

RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff recommends that the Regional Transportation Commission receive a presentation on the results of the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) Priorities and Preferences survey.

BACKGROUND

Development of the long range Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), federally-mandated tri-county Monterey Bay Area Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP), and state-mandated Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) component of the MTP includes a robust public outreach program. Public input is being sought on elements of these transportation planning efforts through online surveys, workshops, meetings with advisory committees and stakeholders, as well as a telephone survey.

The Association of Monterey Bay Governments convened all three transportation planning agencies in the region – the Regional Transportation Commission for Santa Cruz County, Transportation Agency for Monterey County and the San Benito Council of Governments -- to develop a survey to be used as one of the tools for engaging the public in evaluation of the regional Sustainable Communities Strategies (SCS). The SCS is required under state Senate Bill 375 for greenhouse gas emission reduction.

The firm of EMC Research was hired to perform the survey and staff from all four agencies worked together to develop questions. In addition, each county was able to tailor a few questions related to local projects. EMC Research also conducted a voter survey for the RTC in 2007.

DISCUSSION

A statistically representative sample of 450 voters from Santa Cruz County was surveyed by phone from May 28 to June 6, 2013. In addition, 450 voters were
surveyed in Monterey County and 301 in San Benito County. The margin of error for Santa Cruz county surveys is approximately +/- 4.6%.

The survey probes for information from the public regarding:

- Level of concern about community issues
- Use of the local transportation system
- Transportation infrastructure needs
- Proposed projects for transportation investment
- Themes or messages that may assist public information efforts

Representatives from EMC Research will present the results of the phone survey at this meeting. Information from the survey is one of the many elements being considered in development of the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS). It is important to note that this survey was not designed to assess the viability of a local funding measure to pay for transportation projects or programs and the results of this survey do not directly translate into tools for that purpose. Rather this survey was designed to understand what types of shift in individual transportation uses are possible that will enable the region to meet greenhouse gas emission and sustainability targets. Findings from the survey are attached (Attachment 1).

SUMMARY

As one of the tools to collect public input during development of long range transportation plans in the tri-county Monterey Bay region, a telephone survey of voters was conducted. The results will be presented at the meeting.

Attachment 1: Telephone Survey Results for Santa Cruz County

S:\RTC\TC2013\TC0813\SCSsurvey\SR_SCSsurvey_Aug13.docx
Three-County Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) - Priorities and Preferences

Telephone Survey Results for Santa Cruz County

Survey conducted May 28-June 6, 2013; 450 surveys in Santa Cruz County (1201 total)

Purpose: One of many tools for public engagement to develop the MTP/SCS/RTP

Key Findings:

- Results support development of a Sustainable Communities Strategy and Regional Transportation Plans that preserve open space and farmland, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and include transportation projects for all modes.

- There is agreement that long-term planning should be aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions and car usage, but cars and road improvements are also necessary.

- While there is no single solution that motivates voters to reduce vehicle miles traveled, voters indicate support for many options to reduce the amount they drive -- which would help the region achieve SB375 requirements to reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) per capita by at least 5%. Voters indicated they would especially drive a lot less/drive a little less under the following situations: if there were more stores and services near homes (23% a lot less/28% a little less); better public transit (20%/28%), and/or increases to the direct costs of driving (gas: 36%/31% and parking 18%/29%).

Support for SB 375/Sustainable Communities Strategy Principles

- Voters support long term planning that improves roads and highways (85%), but also reduces greenhouse gas emissions and car use (79%).

- The level of support for focusing new transportation spending and improvements in places where the most people will use them is 77%.

- Although reducing greenhouse gas is mandated by the state, opinions are mixed about whether it should be a priority: 55% indicate that it should be a high priority and 22% a low priority

Support for Specific Transportation Projects

- Voters support a wide range of projects, including:
  - Making it easier to walk to schools, stores and jobs: 80%
  - Transportation for seniors and people with disabilities: 77%
  - Road safety projects: 74%
- Road maintenance, repairing potholes: 72%
- Carpool/vanpool opportunities: 72%
- Highway projects that reduce traffic and travel times: 69%
- Highway 1 improvements that reduce spillover traffic on local roads and allow traffic to flow more smoothly: 68% (merge lanes 66%)
- Bike facilities: 66%
- Avoiding cuts to bus service: 65%

**Travel Behaviors**

- 72% of voters go to work, school or a volunteer position at least three times a week (commute)

- Commute Destinations:
  - Over 70% stay within Santa Cruz County
    - 42% to Santa Cruz area (includes Live Oak)
    - 11% Mid-county (Capitola-Aptos/La Selva)
    - 10% to Watsonville
    - 9% Scotts Valley/SLV
  - 17% travel north to Santa Clara County
  - 6% south to Monterey or San Benito Counties

- Commute Trip Length: Most commute less than 10 miles
  - 32% travel 5 miles or less
  - 24% travel 6-10 miles
  - Averages all commutes
    - Distance: 16 miles
    - Time: 31 minutes

- Commute Mode of Travel:
  - 72% drive alone
  - 12% carpool/vanpool
  - 5% bus
  - 5% walk
  - 3% bike
  - 2% motorcycle
  - 1% other

- Of all respondents, 75% use a variety of transportation modes other than drive alone (bicycle, walk, take the bus) on a regular basis

- Differences for long and short distance commuters (people who regularly go to school, work or volunteer destinations)
  - Short Distance Drivers
• in Santa Cruz and mid-County areas
• More likely (than long distance commuters) to drive less based on: cost of gas, traffic congestion, cost of parking, and more bike lanes
• More mixed in age and job status than long distance drivers
  o Long Distance Drivers –
    • Primarily under 50, full time employees
    • Slightly more likely (than short distance commuters) to drive less based on: location of goods/services, improved public transit, housing near jobs, more sidewalks or flexible work schedules

Other Findings

• Transportation is not at the top of mind for voters right now (10%, compared to 19% in 2007 identified as a top concern). Crime is currently a top concern (28% compared to 5% in 2007).

• Words matter: language can impact support levels.
  o “Climate change” versus “greenhouse gas emissions”
  o “Commuter train service” is slightly more popular than “local passenger rail service between Watsonville and Santa Cruz”

Staff Observations:

RTC staff looked at the findings from this poll as work on the Regional Transportation Plan approaches the closing phase. A few observations which tie the poll findings to the RTP can be offered:

• Survey results support inclusion of a range of transportation projects in the RTP.

• One size does not fit all. Long term transportation choices viewed differently by younger individuals, who may be less likely to see driving alone as necessary.

• Build upon support and economic value of walkability.

• Support for open space and farmland preservation complements compact, walkable land use patterns and shortening trip distance.
AGENDA

Thursday, August 1, 2013

Immediately following the completion of the RTC meeting

NOTE LOCATION THIS MONTH
Scotts Valley City Council Chambers
1 Civic Center Drive
Scotts Valley, CA

1. Oral communications

Any member of the public may address the SCCRTC Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies (SAFE) for a period not to exceed three minutes on any item within the jurisdiction of the SCCRTC SAFE that is not already on the agenda. The SCCRTC SAFE will listen to all communication, but in compliance with the State Law, will not take action on items that are not on the agenda.

Speakers are requested to sign the sign-in sheet so that their names can be accurately recorded in the minutes of the meeting.

2. Additions or deletions to consent and regular agendas

CONSENT AGENDA

All items appearing on the consent agenda are considered to be minor or non-controversial and will be acted upon in one motion if no member of the SCCRTC SAFE or public wishes an item be removed and discussed on the regular agenda. Members of the SCCRTC SAFE may raise questions, seek clarification or add directions to Consent Agenda items without removing the item from the Consent Agenda as long as no other SCCRTC SAFE member objects to the change.

MINUTES

3. Approve draft minutes of the June 6, 2013 Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies (SAFE) meeting
REGULAR AGENDA

4. Contract for CASE Systems, Inc. for Call Box Maintenance and ADA Improvements on Highway 1 & Highway 17
   (Amy Naranjo, Transportation Planner)

   a. Staff report
   b. Resolution
Minutes

Thursday, June 6, 2013

Watsonville City Council Chambers
275 Main St. Suite 400
Watsonville, CA

The meeting convened at 10:55 a.m. immediately following the completion of the RTC meeting.

1. Oral communications – none

2. Additions or deletions to consent and regular agendas - none

CONSENT AGENDA
(Lane, Leopold) Unanimous

3. Accepted 2012 Safe on 17 Annual Report

4. Approved execution of California Highway Patrol (CHP) Statewide SAFE Call Box Coordination Contract (Resolution 30-13)

REGULAR AGENDA

5. Contracts for Freeway Service Patrol (FSP) on Highway 1 and Highway 17

Transportation Planner Amy Naranjo reported that the Freeway Service Patrol (FSP) provides tow service to stranded motorists on two beats: Highway 1 from Highway 9 to State Park Drive and Highway 17 from Mount Hermon Road to Summit Road. This service occurs primarily during weekday commute periods and weekend high-traffic periods and is offered free to motorists. A request for proposals was released for FSP service on Highway 1 and Highway 17 for the contract period of September 2013–July 2017, and the evaluation committee and staff recommend awarding the 2013 FSP contracts on Highway 1 and Highway 17 to Ladd’s Towing.

Commissioner Leopold moved and Commissioner Robinson seconded to authorize the Executive Director to negotiate and enter into agreements with Ladd’s Towing for Freeway Service Patrol (FSP) towing service on Highway 1 and...
Highway 17 for the period of September 2013-July 2017. The motion (Resolution 31-13) passed unanimously.

6. Adjourned at 11:02 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Jason Laning, Staff
AGENDA: August 1, 2013

TO: Regional Transportation Commission serving as the Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies (SAFE)

FROM: Amy Naranjo, Transportation Planner

RE: Call Box Maintenance & Improvements Contract

RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff recommends that the Regional Transportation Commission serving as the Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies adopt the attached resolution (Attachment 1) authorizing the Executive Director to enter into a 5-year contract with CASE Systems, Inc. for maintenance and ADA improvements of the Santa Cruz County call box system.

BACKGROUND

The Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies (RTC SAFE) owns and operates a system of 122 call boxes along Hwy 1, 9, 17, 129, and 152. Motorists may use call boxes to request assistance or report incidents. The call box calls are answered by a call answering center that coordinates with the California Highway Patrol as necessary.

As the SAFE, the RTC levies a $1 annual fee on all vehicles registered in Santa Cruz County. The funds raised are used to develop a motorist aid system including the roadside call boxes in Santa Cruz County. The call boxes need to be maintained to keep them functioning properly. The maintenance of the call boxes includes preventative and corrective maintenance, site retrofits, system management and other work as listed below.

- Preventative maintenance includes visiting every call box every six months to inspect components, run comprehensive tests, and make any necessary repairs.
- Corrective maintenance involves responding to any operational problems system-wide or with individual call boxes such as failed electronic components or power supplies.
- Site Retrofits include reconfiguring or relocating call boxes to improve service.
• System management involves maintaining the callbox system specification database.
• Other work includes removal and reinstall; repairs needed due to vandalism, knockdowns, and damage due to natural causes.

CASE Systems, Inc. is the current contractor for call box maintenance and the contract is set to expire in September 2013.

DISCUSSION

Staff released a request for proposals (RFP) for a contractor to perform the call box maintenance work and site retrofits for a 5-year contract in March 2012. CASE Systems, Inc. and Republic ITS submitted proposals; however, both proposals were found non-responsive due to significant discrepancies in the price proposals and were rejected. Working with legal counsel, staff revised the proposal price forms and made minor changes to the RFP document according to suggestions from legal counsel. Staff re-released the RFP in April 2013 and received proposals from CASE Systems, Inc. and Siemens (formerly Republic ITS).

Proposal Evaluation

Proposals from CASE Systems, Inc. and Siemens met the minimum qualifications and proposal requirements. Each proposal was evaluated on the following criteria: qualifications and experience, staffing and organization, work plan, and cost.

CASE Systems, Inc. demonstrated an ability to perform the required monthly maintenance tasks in accordance with the Scope of Work and provided a superior plan for completing the call box site retrofits within the timeframe specified in the RFP. Furthermore, CASE Systems, Inc. submitted the lowest bid for the entire contract term (see Table 1), coming in at $90k less than the Siemens’ proposal. **Staff recommends awarding the 2013 Call Box Maintenance and Improvements contract to CASE Systems, Inc.**
Table 1: Proposed costs for Call Box Maintenance and ADA Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAINTENANCE</th>
<th>CASE</th>
<th>SIEMENS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Flat Fee per Call Box</td>
<td>$ 31.25</td>
<td>$ 45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Total for 122 call boxes</td>
<td>$ 3,812.50</td>
<td>$ 5,490.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Total Maintenance</td>
<td>$ 228,750.00</td>
<td>$ 329,400.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADA IMPROVEMENTS</th>
<th>CASE</th>
<th>SIEMENS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Task 1 Site Conversion</td>
<td>$ 17,041.76</td>
<td>$ 13,760.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2 Replace Pad</td>
<td>$ 34,672.00</td>
<td>$ 14,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3 Construct Asphalt Path</td>
<td>$ 43,400.00</td>
<td>$ 13,650.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 4 Relocate Call Box</td>
<td>$ 25,777.00</td>
<td>$ 67,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 5 Remove Retaining Structure</td>
<td>$ 396.32</td>
<td>$ 1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Total ADA Improvements</td>
<td>$ 121,287.08</td>
<td>$ 110,410.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5-YEAR CONTRACT TOTAL                  | $ 350,037.08| $ 439,810.00|

The current maintenance cost is $37.54 per call box per month and $4579.88 per month for the 122 call boxes in the system.

SUMMARY

The current contract for maintenance service on the call boxes will expire on September 30, 2012. Staff recommends that the Regional Transportation Commission serving as the Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies enter into a 5-year contract with CASE Systems, Inc. for call box maintenance and ADA improvements and adopt a resolution authorizing the Executive Director to enter into an agreement with CASE.

Attachments:
1. Call Box Maintenance and ADA Improvements Resolution
RESOLUTION NO.__________

Adopted by the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
on the date of August 1, 2013
on the motion of Commissioner
duly seconded by Commissioner

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH CASE SYSTEMS, INC FOR MAINTENANCE AND ADA SITE IMPROVEMENTS OF THE SANTA CRUZ COUNTY CALL BOX SYSTEM

WHEREAS the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (SCCRTC) Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies (SAFE) established a highway call box system which benefits Santa Cruz County motorists and visitors; and

WHEREAS the Santa Cruz County call box system requires preventative maintenance, corrective maintenance and repairs on ongoing basis; and

WHEREAS the current maintenance contract expires on September 30, 2013; and,

WHEREAS the new contract will include call box site retrofits for ADA compliance.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SANTA CRUZ COUNTY REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION SERVICE AUTHORITY FOR FREEWAY EMERGENCIES:

1. The Executive Director is authorized to enter into a contract with CASE Systems, Inc for maintenance of the Santa Cruz County call boxes for a five year period at a rate of $375 per year per box for the first year increased annually in September according to the Consumer Price Index for the State of California, and to expend $121,287 for ADA site improvements

2. The Executive Director is authorized to approve maintenance and site improvement expenses not covered by the contracted rates to address unforeseen maintenance and/or retrofit needs as long as the costs are within the approved SCCRTC budget.
3. The Executive Director is authorized to execute any necessary amendments to the call box maintenance and improvement contract with CASE Systems, Inc. to ensure continued maintenance to the call box system as long as the amendment is consistent with the approved SCCRTC budget.

AYES: COMMISSIONERS

NOES: COMMISSIONERS

ABSENT: COMMISSIONERS

ABSTAIN: COMMISSIONERS

__________________________________
Neal Coonerty, Chair

ATTEST:

_____________________________
George Dondero, Secretary

Distribution: RTC Fiscal
Transportation Planner -AN
CASE Systems, Inc.