Public Workshop:
SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORTATION PLAN:
GOALS/TARGETS/POLICIES

Workshop Agenda
6:30 Presentation: Background and Context
6:50 Exercise #1: Feedback on Draft Transportation Plan Targets
7:10 Exercise #2: Feedback on Draft Transportation Plan Policies
7:30 Small Group Discussion: Policies
7:50 Next Steps
8:00 Adjourn

Santa Cruz County RTP
All transportation modes
Required to receive State & Federal $
Transportation Plan
Regional and local projects
Identify needs

Purpose
Engage public
Guide planning & funding decisions
Evaluate investments
Comprehensive & long-range planning

Building Blocks

Sustainability
Why and How
Transportation infrastructure investments connected to larger environmental, economic, and social systems
Sustainable transportation-transportation that contributes to sustainable development

people
planet
prosperity
How different from 2010 RTP?
- Sustainable outcomes
- Backcasting
- Trends
  - Demographic
  - Greenhouse gas emissions
  - Fuel prices
  - Vehicle Ownership
  - Transportation Financing
- AB32 & SB375
  - Greenhouse gas targets
  - Sustainable Communities Strategy

Greenhouse gas emissions
- AB32
  - Lower GHG to 1990 levels by 2030
  - All sectors
  - All strategies
    - For example, transportation
      - Vehicle efficiency
      - Low-carbon fuels
      - Vehicle miles traveled
      - System operations
      - Construction, maintenance, and agency operations
- SB375
  - Reduce GHG per capita by 5% by 2035 in Monterey Bay Region
  - Only transportation and land use sectors
  - Strategies to reach this target
    - Vehicle Miles Traveled
    - System Operations
    - Sustainable Communities Strategy

Sustainable Outcomes
STARS Sustainable Outcomes
- Access & Mobility
- Safety & Health
- Social Equity
- Economic Benefit
- Cost Effectiveness
- Climate & Energy
- Ecological Function

How it fits together
- Goals
- Targets
- Policies
- Strategies

Targets
- Per Capita GHG Emissions

- GHG reductions at a constant rate related to changes in VMT and other transportation categories.

- VMT reductions can be achieved by changes in VMT and other transportation categories.
Policies
- Provide direction about types of investments needed to achieve goals
- Maximize benefit to Triple Bottom Line

Performance Measures
- Vehicle Miles Traveled
- Speed Consistency
- Travel Time Reliability
- Mode Split
- Within 30 minute bike, walk, transit trip

Workshop Agenda
6:30 Presentation: Background and Context
6:40 Exercise #1: Feedback on Draft Transportation Plan Targets
7:00 Exercise #2: Feedback on Draft Transportation Plan Policies
7:30 Small Group Discussion: Policies
7:50 Next Steps
8:00 Adjourn

Next Steps
- Continue to solicit input on draft goals and policies
  - Online survey
  - Submit comments directly to RTC
- RTC Consider Approval of Draft Goals and Policies- Planned for May 3 RTC Mtg

Timeline
- Sustainability Framework: November 2012
- Draft Goals and Policies: Spring 2013
- Solicit Projects - Fall 2012
- Draft Project Lists - Summer 2013
- Adopt Transportation Plan - May 2014

Stay Involved
- RTC Website: www.sccrtc.org
- Send Email or Get E-news: Info@sccrtc.org
- RTC on TV: Transportation Cafe and televised board meetings
- RTC on Facebook: http://www.facebook.com/sccrtc
FACT SHEET - Supplemental Funds Request II
Highway 1/17 Merge Lanes
Prepared for the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
April 19, 2012

Project Timeline | Date | Cost
-----------------|------|---------
Construction Start | 3/06 | $48,545,000
Supplemental Funds Request I | 4/07 | $4,866,000 (CT Request $5.532M, CTC allocated $666K Less per RTC request)
Construction Complete | 11/08 | $53,411,000
12 Minor Claims Resolved | 9/09 | $802,560 (Claimed)/$102,486 (Paid)
1 Major Claim to Binding Arbitration | 3/12 | $4,026,387 (Claimed)/$2,408,287 (Awarded)
Supplemental Funds Request II | 5/12 | $673,000 needed to closeout project

Arbitration Summary

- Caltrans denied a $4m claim that the contractor appealed by taking into binding arbitration.
- The contractor claimed that conditions underground for drilling piles for the Branciforte and Carbonera Creek bridges was different than the information indicated for bidding the contract.
- Caltrans refuted the claim saying that information in the plans was sufficient for bidding and to prepare for encountering the subsurface conditions.
- Judge awarded in favor of the contractor in the amount of $2.37m; CT received notice of this decision on Mar 30.
- CT notified the RTC of the decision at the April 5 RTC meeting.

Contract Funding

- Original contract: $45,245,000 RIP*, $3.3M Soundwall Retrofit Program. Total $48,545,000
- Supplemental Funds added in April 2007: $4,866,000. Total $53,411,000
- Project Budget: $53,411,000
- Project Expenditures without Arbitration Claim: $51,674,819
- Project Contingency Available: $1,736,181
- Arbitration Claim awarded: $2,408,287
- Supplemental Funds of $673,000 needed to pay Arbitration Claim and Closeout project. Balance of the award from the RTC’s RTIP—taken as an adjustment from future (2014) County STIP shares
- Total Project Expenditure $54,084,000

*RIP = Regional Improvement Program, same as county share of STIP funds

For more information contact Luis Duazo, Caltrans Project Manager at (805) 542-4678.
SR 17 Access Locations

For discussion purposes. 4/17/12