1. Call to Order
2. Introductions
3. Oral Communications
   The Committee will receive oral communications during this time on items not on today’s agenda. Presentations must be within the jurisdiction of the Committee, and may be limited in time at the discretion of the Chair. Committee members will not take action or respond immediately to any Oral Communications presented, but may choose to follow up at a later time, either individually, or on a subsequent Committee agenda.
4. Additions or deletions to consent and regular agendas

CONSENT AGENDA
All items appearing on the consent agenda are considered to be minor or non-controversial and will be acted upon in one motion if no member of the E&D TAC or public wishes an item be removed and discussed on the regular agenda. Members of the E&D TAC may raise questions, seek clarification or add directions to Consent Agenda items without removing the item from the Consent Agenda as long as no other E&D TAC member objects to the change.

5. Approve Minutes from April 13, 2010 meeting (p. 3)
6. Receive Transportation Development Act Revenues Report as of June 2010 (p. 9)
7. Receive RTC Highlights from May - June 2010 (p. 10)
10. Letter from E&D TAC to United Way dated 5/4/10 regarding support of the 2-1-1 Information and Referral Service (p. 14)
11. Letter from E&D TAC to Governor Schwarzenegger dated 5/4/10 regarding Retention of Social Services by Closing Corporate Loopholes (p. 15)
12. Approve application for Sheryl Hagemann for Social Service Provider – Disabled as alternate position for Sally French (p. 16)
13. Receive Final Annual Report/Unmet Needs List (to be distributed at meeting)
14. Receive Progress Report on 2-1-1 Information and Referral System (p. 19)
15. Receive Agency Updates (other than items on the regular agenda) (p. 21)
   a. Volunteer Center
      - FY 09-10 Third Quarter Report
   b. Community Bridges/CTSA
      - FY 09-10 Second Quarter Lift Line Program Report
   c. Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (METRO)
      - ParaCruz Operations Status Report: May 2010
      - Purchase of 5 new Paratransit Minivans using federal Recovery funds
      - ParaCruz subcontractors
   d. Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
      - Final 2010 Regional Transportation Plan and Supplemental EIR
        (http://www.sccrtc.org/rtp.html)
   e. Private Operators

REGULAR AGENDA

16. Elect Chair/Vice Chair

17. Consider Recommendations to RTC for New E&D TAC Applications Received – Chair
    (p. 34)

18. METRO’s Public Notice regarding the proposed Disadvantaged Business Enterprise
    (DBE) Goal for Federal Fiscal Year 2011 (FFY11) – Santa Cruz Metro Staff (p. 41)

19. Update on Fixed Route Service Cuts (oral update) – Santa Cruz Metro staff
    a. See overview presentation at:

20. Overview of Bus Stop Upgrade Efforts (oral report) – Santa Cruz Metro staff


22. Support H.R. 4722 Active Community Transportation Act of 2010 – RTC Staff
    (p. 46)

23. Adjourn

Next meeting: Tues, Aug 10, 2010, 1:30 pm, RTC office, 1523 Pacific Ave, Santa Cruz

HOW TO REACH US
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
1523 Pacific Avenue, Santa Cruz, CA 95060
Phone: (831) 460-3200, Email: info@sccrtc.org, website: www.sccrtc.org

ACCOMMODATIONS FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES
The Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission does not discriminate on the basis of disability and no person shall, by reason of a disability, be denied the benefits of its services, programs, or activities. This meeting location is an accessible facility. If you wish to attend this meeting and require special assistance in order to participate, please contact RTC staff at 460-3200 (CRS 800/735-2929) at least three working days in advance of this meeting to make arrangements. People with disabilities may request a copy of the agenda in an alternative format. As a courtesy to those person affected, please attend the meeting smoke and scent-free.

SERVICIOS DE TRADUCCIÓN/TRANSLATION SERVICES
Si gusta estar presente o participar en esta junta de la Comisión Regional de Transporte del condado de Santa Cruz y necesita información o servicios de traducción al español por favor llame por lo menos con tres días laborables de anticipó al (831) 460-3200 para hacer los arreglos necesarios. (Spanish language translation is available on an as needed basis. Please make advance arrangements (at least three days in advance by calling (831) 460-3200.
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission’s
Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee
Social Service Transportation Advisory Council
Paratransit Advisory Council Meeting

MINUTES-DRAFT

Tuesday, April 13, 2010

1. Call to Order

John Daugherty called the meeting to order at 1:37 pm

2. Introductions

Members Present:
Hal Anjo, Social Service Provider-Seniors (County)
Debbi Brooks, Volunteer Center
Lisa Berkowitz, CTSA-Community Bridges
Tom Crain, Potential Transit User (Disabled)
John Daugherty, Metro
Veronica Elsea, 3rd District
Sally French, Soc. Serv. Prov. -Disabled (Hope Services)
Clay Kempf, Seniors Council
Mike Molesky, Social Service Provider - Disabled
Catherine Patterson Valdez, Community Bridges

Excused Absences:
Sharon Barbour, 5th District

Others Present:
Ciro Aguirre, Metro
Carolyn Derwing, Metro
Charlie Dixon, Member of the Public
Peg Gallagher, Metro
MaryLou Goeke, United Way
Michael Lewis, 1st District Applicant
Doug Patrick, Pedestrian Safety Work Group
Cheryl Schmitt, City of Santa Cruz
Patti Shevlin, 1st District Applicant
Tad Sterling, United Way Volunteer

Staff Present:
Cathy Judd
Karena Pushnik

Alternates Present
Sandra Coley, 4th District
April Warnock, Metro

3. Oral Communications

John Daugherty voiced his thanks to the United Way staff for providing their conference room for use for this meeting of the E&D TAC.
Karena Pushnik announced that the RTC will be holding a public hearing Wednesday, April 14th at 7:00 pm at the Board of Supervisors Chambers, Santa Cruz County Building on the Rail Acquisition and encouraged public comment at the meeting or in writing.
Clay Kempf announced the 2nd Caregiver University event free to all participants to be held at Inner Light Ministries on Saturday, May 15, 2010.

4. Additions and Deletions

None
CONSENT AGENDA

Action: The motion (Kempf/Brooks) to approve and accept the consent agenda carried unanimously.

5. Approved Minutes from February 9, 2010 meeting

6. Received Transportation Development Act Revenues Report as of March 2010

7. Received RTC Highlights from February - April 2010

8. Approved letter from E&D TAC to City of Capitola regarding support of their Caltrans grant application for pedestrian, bicycle and transit planning

9. Approved renewal application for Catherine Patterson Valdez for Community Bridges/Lift Line member position

10. Approved application for Kirk Ance for Community Bridges/Lift Line alternate position

11. Information Items

12. Received Agency Updates

   a. Volunteer Center
      - FY 09-10 Second Quarter Report

   b. Community Bridges/CTSA
      - March 2010 (all) Lift Line Program Report
      - 3/10/10 Letter regarding Out of County Medical Program vehicles

   c. Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (METRO)
      - ParaCruz Operations Status Report: March 2010
      - ParaCruz Taxi Subcontractor report
      - ParaCruz New Vehicle Contract report

   d. Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission

   e. Private Operators

REGULAR AGENDA

13. Support for 211 System - United Way Staff

Mary Lou Goekge Executive Director of the United Way gave an overview of the promise and potential of the 211 System which would connect people to essential community information and referral services. The United Way in Santa Cruz is the lead agency to develop the local 211 phone service that will be a public utility and be governed at the Federal level by the Federal Communications Commission and in California by the Public Utilities Commission. Based on the Federal and State requirements, the United Way has applied for license to operate the 211 System. She is hopeful that the 211 System will be available to the public on July 1, 2010. Mary Lou requested a letter of support for the United Way's 211 System from the E&D TAC and also asked that the E&D TAC appoint a representative to its 211 steering committee.
Tad Sterling, a United Way volunteer and lead staff person for the 211 System development, spoke about services and resources people can access in their communities benefits of the 211 System and the 211 implementation happening county-by-county as well as pre and post disaster assistance.

April Warnock asked if Mary Lou has heard about the Nixel System that individuals can sign up for that can send community or traffic alerts as a text to a cell phone and she suggested that this service could also tell recipients to call 211 for additional information when they get an alert.

Members asked if the 211 System will be a non-profit service, how it will be promoted, and if the service accommodates bilingual aid. Mary Lou said that this system is available for non-profit services only. In terms of promotion, KSBW has committed to $100,000 worth of ads and she is also requesting agencies and companies to help with the outreach effort by promoting it on their websites, trucks and buses, etc. Mary Lou said that the call center can accommodate English, Spanish, Cantonese and Mandarin and has a resource to the AT&T language line for 127 languages and the TTY service.

A member felt that the establishment of this new system will take funding away from existing programs and Mary Lou let members know that the funding source to support the new 211 System will not be the same funding sources as are available for existing services.

**Action:** The motion (French/Elsea) to write a letter of support for the 211 System to the United Way carries with abstentions from Clay Kempf and John Daugherty.

Catherine Patterson Valdez volunteered to represent the E&D TAC on the United Way’s 211 System steering committee.


Veronica Elsea, Chair for this sub-committee, thanked all the members who helped and served on this sub-committee and contributed their expertise in producing the Draft Pedestrian Maintenance Report. She discussed the goals of the committee, shared pictures showing sidewalk issues that need attention, discussed the responsibility of the property owner for repair of sidewalks and talked about the information received pertaining to current practices the committee received from the five local jurisdictions to inventory and make progress on sidewalk conditions.

Veronica said that the committee surveyed the 5 local jurisdictions and other jurisdictions across the nation and came up with 7 components the committee felt vital to achieve their goals.

- Conduct network-wide audits – local jurisdictions check their areas by a percentage of total sidewalks or responding to specific complaints
- Report sidewalk network status at regularly defined intervals
- Implement process that assures prompt resolution of safety and access issues
- Promotion of community value of property owners maintaining sidewalks
- Communication to residents about jurisdiction’s program for ensuring sidewalk maintenance
- Create highly visible campaign for reporting sidewalk issues
- Develop information and support resources for property owners seeking to address unsafe sidewalk conditions
Members voiced their thanks and approval of all the hard work put forth by this sub-committee and discussed aspects of the findings and how those findings affect mobility.

Veronica asked members to get additional comments or suggestions to her by Monday, April 19th to be presented at the next sub-committee meeting on Wednesday, April 21st. She mentioned that the Draft Pedestrian Maintenance Report will be presented to the Commission at its June 3rd meeting.

**Action:** The motion (Patterson Valdez/Kempf) for the E&D TAC to accept and forward the Draft Pedestrian Maintenance Report and appendices to the Commission for their consideration and send it to the 5 local jurisdictions and the Redevelopment Agencies as well passes unanimously.

### 14.a METRO’s Revised Discount Fare Policy - Metro Staff

Peggy Gallagher informed members that the triennial audit of Metro’s Discount Fare Policy revealed that minor revisions were needed to be in compliance with the Federal Transit Administration’s Regulations. She said the policy has been revised to create 3 distinct categories:

1. Senior Citizen;
2. Disabled Persons; and
3. Medicare Card Holder

Other minor revisions to the policy include the purchase of bus passes on Metro’s new website which launched on March 18, 2010. Peggy said to qualify for a discount fare; a qualified individual must present one of the required items of identification to the bus operator, transit center ticket agent or at a Metro pass outlet when paying a fixed route fare which is spelled out under section IV, Eligibility, 4.01 through 4.04 of the Policy and Regulations document.

She requested support of the Policy and Regulations recommendations from the E&D TAC that Metro staff will be bringing back to its board.

Members asked for three changes. The first to have Medicare eligibility explained more clearly, the second to clarify wording with regard to forms of identification, and the third to add Marriage and Family Therapists to the list of approved entities.

**Action:** The motion (Berkowitz/Kempf) to approve Metro’s revised Discount Fare Program with amendments including MFT (Marriage & Family Therapists) passes unanimously.

### 15. Approve Volunteer Center’s FY 2010-2011 Transportation Development Act Claim – Volunteer Center Staff

Karena Pushnik gave a detailed overview for all three TDA claims -- Volunteer Center, Community Bridges, and Metro Transit District -- saying that the RTC allocates Transportation Development Act funds from the region’s share of the 1/4 cent sales tax according to established formulas in the Commission’s Rules and Regulations.

Debbi Brooks gave an overview of the Volunteer Center’s goal to provide 4,600 one-way trips to eligible clients over FY 2010-11. Because this program uses volunteer drivers, it is a highly cost effective method of providing rides to many in the county who are ineligible for ParaCruz services.
Action: The motion (Elsea/Patterson Valdez) that the E&D TAC recommend to the RTC approval of the TDA funding request from the City of Santa Cruz on behalf of the Volunteer Center for $51,754 passes unanimously.

16. Approve Community Bridges' FY 2010-2011 Transportation Development Act Claim – Community Bridges Staff

Catherine Patterson Valdez gave an overview of the Community Bridges TDA claim along with a handout saying that the agency is changing the process of taxi script and considering using a one-way coupon. She provided a sample of the coupon they intend to use which she says will allow more thorough tracking of rides. She said that taxi scrip rides are down 65% or their original goal due to current scrip value based on past taxi rates. She also discussed all the other claim elements including the number of rides, distance traveled and the cost for services. Members discussed ride requirements and restrictions.

Action: The motion (Elsea/French) that the E&D TAC recommend to the RTC approval of the Community Bridges TDA funding claim for $488,721 passes unanimously.

17. Approve Metro Transit District's FY 2010-2011 Transportation Development Act Claim – Metro Staff

Ciro Aguirre informed members that, due to the current economic situation, Metro would need to raise the cost of the Highway 17 Express fares, reduce service on some fixed routes and shift funds from capital projects to operations. Carolyn Derwing explained what routes and schedule times would change and assured members that even though these changes would take effect, all areas would still have service but that service timetables and route numbers would change. This translates into no service area changes for ParaCruZ. She provided a handout that explained all cuts in service. Ciro let members know that fare increases would be implemented by June 10, 2010. He also let members know that Metro would hold a public hearing in September and will accept comments until June 11, 2010. Any additional service cuts will be discussed at the June E&D TAC meeting.

Veronica let Metro know that she is concerned that more disabled riders would be left behind due to full buses and also mentioned that the sight impaired do not have the best access to Metro’s website. Ciro noted that bus drivers should not discriminate on riders left behind due to full buses.

Action: The motion (Kempf/Molesky) that the E&D TAC recommend to the RTC approval of Metro’s TDA funding claim for $4,974,478 passes with John Daugherty abstaining.

Clay Kempf voiced his appreciation that the service cuts do not affect the service area and therefore do not impact ParaCruZ service.

Action: The motion (Patterson Valdez/Elsea) to endorse Metro’s retaining the entire service area including ParaCruZ passes unanimously.

17. a Emergency Add-On Item: State Budget/Funding Loopholes

Clay Kempf requested that an emergency item be added to this E&D TAC agenda due to the impending Governor's budget revise due out in May.

Action: The motion (Kempf/Anjo) to add this item to today’s agenda passed unanimously.
Clay voiced his concern that large corporations are being allowed to retain their ability not to be taxed and said that if tax loopholes were closed funding for vital services would increase allowing fewer service cuts for those most in need.

**Action:** The motion (Kempf/Molesky) to recommend that the E&D TAC send a letter to Governor Schwarzenegger to close corporate tax loopholes to retain vital funding for services passes with John Daugherty abstaining.


Karena Pushnik provided a brief overview of the draft 2009 Annual Report for the E&D TAC, which highlights the committee’s activities over the past year. In addition, she noted that the Unmet Needs List was amended to show comments received and projects completed. Both the Annual Report and Unmet Needs will be presented to the RTC at their June meeting. Comments will be received before and at the meeting.

19. **Review Draft 2010 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) – RTC staff**

Karena Pushnik reminded the committee that comments on the Draft 2010 RTP and SEIR are due to the RTC by April 19. Executive summaries of both documents were included in the packet and are posted on the RTC website. These documents are used as the “blueprint” to determine which projects should have priority as funding becomes available. The RTC will review comments on the documents at their May meeting and will adopt them at their June meeting.

20. **Consider Recommendations to RTC for New E&D TAC Member/Alternate Applications Received – Chair**

The floor was opened for new applicants to introduce themselves for consideration as members of the E&D TAC. Michael Lewis and Patty Shevlin introduced themselves and spoke of their experiences.

**Action:** The motion (Elsea/Anjo) to recommend that the Regional Transportation Commission approve Patty Shevlin as the representative for the 1st District and Michael Lewis as the alternate passes unanimously.

21. **Adjournment - 4:45pm**

Prepared by: Cathy Judd, SCCRTC Staff
### SANTA CRUZ COUNTY REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
### TDA REVENUE REPORT
### FY09-10
### REVISED 5/19/10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONTH</th>
<th>FY08-09 ACTUAL REVENUE</th>
<th>FY09-10 ESTIMATE REVENUE</th>
<th>FY09-10 ACTUAL REVENUE</th>
<th>DIFFERENCE</th>
<th>DIFFERENCE AS % OF PROJECTION</th>
<th>CUMULATIVE % OF ACTUAL TO PROJECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>570,200</td>
<td>454,800</td>
<td>454,800</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>760,200</td>
<td>539,000</td>
<td>539,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>634,334</td>
<td>719,093</td>
<td>719,093</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>567,100</td>
<td>490,500</td>
<td>490,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>756,100</td>
<td>555,900</td>
<td>555,900</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>700,859</td>
<td>625,786</td>
<td>625,785</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>538,600</td>
<td>538,600</td>
<td>465,300</td>
<td>-73,300</td>
<td>-13.61%</td>
<td>98.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>590,700</td>
<td>590,700</td>
<td>620,400</td>
<td>29,700</td>
<td>5.03%</td>
<td>99.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>578,624</td>
<td>578,624</td>
<td>607,400</td>
<td>28,776</td>
<td>4.97%</td>
<td>99.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>432,400</td>
<td>432,400</td>
<td>385,100</td>
<td>-47,300</td>
<td>-10.94%</td>
<td>98.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>464,400</td>
<td>464,400</td>
<td>562,700</td>
<td>98,300</td>
<td>21.17%</td>
<td>100.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>606,615</td>
<td>606,615</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>7,200,133</td>
<td>6,596,418</td>
<td>6,025,978</td>
<td>36,175</td>
<td>0.55%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**

\FISCAL\TDA\MonthlyReceipts\[FY09-10revised.xls]FY09-10
April 1, 2010 Meeting Highlights

Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line purchase recommended and due diligence reports released:
After a comprehensive, thorough and detailed assessment of the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line, the RTC unanimously approved entering into a purchase and sale agreement with Union Pacific to acquire the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line for a total cost of $19.2 million which includes $14.2 million to the property owner and at least $5 million to fund improvements to the Branch Line. The purpose of the acquisition is to preserve the corridor for future transportation uses including freight and passenger rail service, and transit and bicycle/pedestrian trails. With the recommendation of the RTC Executive Director to pursue acquisition of the rail corridor, the RTC was permitted to release draft agreements and the remainder of the due diligence reports, with the exception of the lease analysis report and agreements still under negotiation with the short line operator, for a 30-day public review. A public hearing will be held on Wednesday, April 14, 2010 at 7:00 pm at the Board of Supervisors Chambers, 701 Ocean St., Fifth Fl., Santa Cruz, CA. Documents are available at the RTC office or on the RTC website www.sccrtc.org. The RTC will make a final decision on the purchase of the rail corridor at their May 6 meeting.

Public hearing on draft 2010 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) held:
The RTC held a public hearing on the draft RTP and draft SEIR and received input on project priorities, goals and policies. Public comment will continue to be taken until April 19, 2010 and will be brought to the RTC at its May meeting. The final documents are expected to be adopted at the June RTC meeting.

May 6, 2010 Meeting Highlights

Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line purchase unanimously approved:
After more than nine years of negotiations and assessments of the property’s condition, the RTC unanimously agreed to purchase the 32-mile Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line from Union Pacific for a negotiated price of $14.2 million with a commitment to invest $5 million for improvements. In addition, the project was supported by unanimous votes of the Capitola and Watsonville City Councils, as well as extensive support from the public and local business. Next steps for the RTC include finalization of the Purchase and Sale Agreement between the RTC and Union Pacific, completing the agreement with the short line operator Sierra Northern, entering into a funding agreement with the state, establishing an escrow account and filing necessary documents with the Federal Surface Transportation Board.
Funding designated for the project is $10.2 million in Proposition 116 funds approved by the voters solely for rail purposes in 1990 and $10 million of the region's share of State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) funds which the State has not made available for local road maintenance purposes in recent years.

**Transportation Development Act (TDA) funding for bicycle and pedestrian safety programs approved:**
The RTC approved $43,777 in TDA funds for the Community Traffic Safety Coalition (CTSC) and $42,287 in TDA funds for the Ride 'n' Stride Bicycle and Pedestrian School Education Program. The CTSC is a community based coalition that brings various agencies together to address bicycle and pedestrian safety issues. The Ride 'n' Stride Program is an elementary school-based bike and pedestrian education program. In addition, the RTC approved $34,427 in TDA funds for the Bike to Work Program which has been sponsoring events and providing incentives to increase bicycle use for 23 years.

**Preliminary Recommendations for the final 2010 Regional Transportation Plan approved:**
The RTC approved updates to policies and project lists for the final 2010 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) as well as an expansion of the chapter on greenhouse gas emissions. The 2010 Regional Transportation Plan is a minor update to the 2005 RTP with a more extensive update planned for 2012. The RTC is scheduled to adopt the final 2010 RTP at its June 3rd meeting.

**2010 Regional Transportation Improvement Program amended:**
The RTC approved updates to the 2010 Regional Transportation Improvement Program as requested by project sponsors. These updates include combining road improvement projects to more effectively use funding, postponing a request for additional funding for the Soquel Morrissey Auxiliary Lanes project and shifting previously programmed funding for the rail line acquisition from Right of Way to engineering and construction.

**Transportation Development Act (TDA) funding for Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (SCMTD) approved and annual report received:**
The RTC approved $4,974,478 in TDA funding to the SCMTD, consistent with RTC Rules and regulations and the FY 10-11 RTC budget. These funds can be used for either operations or capital projects. The SCMTD annual report showed increased ridership but declining sales-tax revenues.

**Joint Request for Proposals for SAFE Call Box Answering Service released:**
The RTC approved the release of a joint Request for Proposals- between the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, the Transportation Agency for Monterey County and the San Luis Obispo Council of Governments - to procure a call box call answering service for the Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies (SAFE).
May 4, 2010

Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District
Board of Directors
110 Vernon Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

RE: Metro’s FY 2010-11 Transportation Development Act Claim and Service Cut Proposal

Dear Chair Pirie:

The Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee (E&D TAC) advises the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (RTC), the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (METRO), and other service providers on transportation needs for people with disabilities, seniors and persons with limited means.

At their April 13 meeting, the E&D TAC recommended that the RTC approve Metro’s TDA claim. In addition, the E&D TAC heard from Metro Staff the preliminary plan for service reductions. The E&D TAC expressed appreciation for the Metro’s efforts to avoid impacts to the service area and also to ParaCruz. The committee passed the following motion:

The E&D TAC endorses Metro’s effort to retain the countywide service area for the fixed route and ParaCruz as they move forward with service cuts due to losses in revenues.

Thank you for requesting input from the E/D TAC on this important issue.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

A. John Daugherty, Chair
Elderly and Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee

cc: Naomi Gunther, Chair, MAC
May 4, 2010

Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District
Board of Directors
110 Vernon St.
Santa Cruz, CA  95060

RE: METRO Request for Input on Senior/Disabled Discount Bus Pass Policy

Dear Chair Pirie:

The Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee (E/D TAC) advises the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (SCCRTC), the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (METRO), and other service providers on transportation needs for people with disabilities, seniors and persons with limited means.

At their April 13 meeting, the E/D TAC approved the following motion relative to the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District’s request for input on changes to the Discounted Bus Pass policy for Seniors and People with Disabilities:

Approve Metro’s revised Discount Fare Program with amendments to explain Medicare eligibility more clearly, to clarify wording with regard to forms of identification, and to include Marriage and Family Therapists (MFT) to the list of approved licensed professional.

Thank you for requesting input from the E/D TAC on this important issue.

Sincerely,

A. John Daugherty, Chair
Elderly and Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee

cc: Peggy Gallagher, METRO
    Naomi Gunther, Chair, MAC
May 4, 2010

Mary Lou Goeke  
Executive Director  
United Way of Santa Cruz County  
1220 41st Avenue, Suite C  
Capitola, CA 95010

RE: Support of United Way’s 2-1-1

Dear Ms. Goeke:

The Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission’s Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee (E&D TAC) advises transportation service providers and planning agencies on issues related to the provision of specialized transportation for people with disabilities, seniors and persons with limited means. This includes endorsements of programs, projects and grant funding applications within their purview.

At their April 13, 2010 meeting, the E&D TAC took action to support the United Way of Santa Cruz County’s 2-1-1 phone service project that will connect people to essential community information and referral services, and assist with emergency and disaster response.

The E&D TAC sees the value in this personalized telephone access of social services and was reassured by the commitment that new funding sources will be found for this system that would not supplant funding for other social services in the community. Catherine Patterson Valdez, transportation director for Community Bridges’ Lift Line program was appointed to represent the E&D TAC on the 211 Steering Committee.

Sincerely,

John Daugherty, Chair  
Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee
May 5, 2010

Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger
State Capitol Building
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Retain Social Service Funding by Closing Corporate Loopholes

Dear Governor Schwarzenegger:

The Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission’s Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee (E&D TAC) advises transportation service providers and planning agencies on issues related to the provision of specialized transportation for people with disabilities, seniors and persons with limited means.

At their April 13, 2010 meeting, the E&D TAC considered specialized transportation service cuts and took the following action:

The Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee requests that vital state funding is retained for transportation serving seniors and people with disabilities by rolling back corporate tax breaks.

Thank you for your consideration of this important issue that greatly affects the life, mobility and independence of our community.

Sincerely,

John Daugherty, Chair
Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee

cc: Area Agency on Aging, Santa Cruz County
Central Coast Center for Independent Living
Commission on Disabilities, Santa Cruz County
Senior Network Services
Seniors Commission, Santa Cruz County
COMMITTEE APPOINTMENT APPLICATION

Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (SCCRTC)
Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee (E/D TAC)

Meetings are scheduled for the second Tuesday of every other month at 1:30 p.m. in the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission conference room, located at 1523 Pacific Avenue in downtown Santa Cruz. At least one meeting each year is scheduled for an alternate location. Please refer to the Committee description, bylaws and recruitment process for more information.

If you are interested in serving on this committee, please complete this application, and return it to the Regional Transportation Commission office.

PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY

Name: SHERRY HAGEMANN

Home address: 150 HEUTEL AVENUE SANTA CRUZ 95062

Mailing address (if different):

Phone: (home) (831) 345-6256 (business/message) (831) 800-1503

E-mail: shagemann@hope-services.org

Length of residence in Santa Cruz County: APPROX. 9 YRS.

Position(s) I am applying for: □ Any appropriate position

☑ ALTERNATE FOR SALLY FRENCH

Previous experience on a government commission or committee (please specify)

- ANNUAL ACCESS TO EMPLOYMENT (or fair)
  - COMMITTEE MEMBER FOR 2 YRS. & CONTINUING
  - SUB-COMICTEE LEAD FOR AGENCIES
- ANNUAL EMPLOYER RECOGNITION EVENT
  - ACTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER FOR 2 YRS. & CONTINUING
- COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE (C.A.C.) FOR OUR LOCAL SCHOOLS
  [12-1]

- VARIOUS OTHER COMMITTEE INVOLVEMENT, SUCH AS DISTRICT LEVEL FUNDRAISER & DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE WITH HOME OWNERS
# Relevant Work or Volunteer Experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Town or Address</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HOPE SERVICES</td>
<td>220 WILCOX STREET</td>
<td>MANAGER OF VOCATIONAL</td>
<td>11/05-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SANTA CRUZ 95060</td>
<td>PROGRAMS</td>
<td>CURRENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>COORDINATOR OF</td>
<td>07/04-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>COMMUNITY EMPLOYMENT</td>
<td>10/05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PERSONAL SOCIAL</td>
<td>04/04-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ADJUSTMENT &amp; JOB COACH</td>
<td>06/04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statement of Qualifications:** Please attach a brief statement indicating why you are interested in serving on this committee and why you are qualified for the appointment. If you have served on this committee in the past, please summarize your accomplishments on the committee and indicate which of the committee’s potential future endeavors most interest you.

**Certification:** I certify that the above information is true and correct and I authorize the verification of the information in the application in the event I am a finalist for the appointment.

**Return Application to:** SCCRTC
Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee
1523 Pacific Avenue
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
fax: 460-3215 email: kpushnik@sccrtc.org

**Questions or Comments:** (831) 460-3200
Wednesday, December 9th, 2009

Re: application for E/D TAC alternate committee member service

To whom it may concern:

I am very interested in serving on the Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee as an alternate for Committee Member Sally French. She has recommended me for the position because of my work experience, community involvement and your committee’s need.

I have worked full-time for HOPE Services in a variety of positions for the past 5 years and currently hold the position of Manager II overseeing our Vocational Programs and our Community Integration Training Program. My background working with and advocating for people with disabilities has garnered me with experience that would be valuable to serving on your committee.

As a manager at a non-profit, I would offer the committee input from the perspective of a social services provider striving to be the voice of an often marginalized group of our community.

Furthermore, my service as alternate would ensure that committee member counts are reached to allow for a quorum.

Please refer to my application for additional details about my work experience and current involvement with various committees.

I will gladly provide any further information if necessary. And I thank you in advance for your consideration of adding me as alternate committee member.

Respectfully,

Sheryl Hagemann
Manager of Vocational Program
HOPE Services
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Progress Report on the 2-1-1 Health and Human Services 
and Disaster Response Information and Referral System

The California Public Utilities Commission approved the application submitted by the 
United Way of Santa Cruz County to provide 2-1-1 service for Santa Cruz County on 
May 20, 2010. This provides us with the license to use the toll-free 2-1-1 telephone 
number in Santa Cruz County.

We have secured our carrier agreement with AT&T and are in the process of securing 
carrier agreements with all the other telephone companies including all cellular 
telephone carriers.

We are completing the fundraising for the 2-1-1 service at this time. If the four cities and 
other requested funders grant our 2-1-1 requests we are within $25,000 of reaching our 
complete fundraising goal. The remaining $25,000 has been requested from individual 
donors and corporations. We are confident we will complete the fundraising before our 
start date of July 1, 2010.

The web based resource database listing of non-profit and government health and 
human services is being built at this time. The training of the local health and human 
services agency personnel on how to work with the 2-1-1 call center staff has begun.

We will have our “soft launch” on July 1, 2010. We will have our public launch event on 
July 30, 2010. It will be at 11:00am at the Cabrillo College Horticulture Center on the 
Aptos campus followed by lunch at noon. I hope you will attend.

The month of July will be our testing and correcting period in which 2-1-1 calls will be 
placed and any technical adjustments and quality issues can be resolved.

A robust public information campaign will begin July 30, 2010. It will be multifaceted, 
bilingual and will include TV, radio, print media and social networking sites. It will rely 
heavily on the assistance of the organizations represented on the 2-1-1 Steering 
Committee (attached), human service agencies, disaster response organizations and 
government departments. It is estimated that county residents will need to see and hear 
17 different references to 2-1-1 before they know, understand and begin to use 2-1-1.

I deeply appreciate your support and look forward to hearing from you about your own 
experiences and evaluation of the 2-1-1 service in Santa Cruz County.

Please feel free to call me at 831.465.2202 with any questions you may have.

Respectfully submitted,

Mary Lou Goeke
Santa Cruz County 2-1-1 Steering Committee

American Red Cross
Applied Survey Research
Applied Survey Research
City of Capitola
City of Santa Cruz
City of Santa Cruz Parks & Recreation
City of Scotts Valley
City of Watsonville
Community Bridges
Community Foundation of Santa Cruz County
Community Volunteer
Community Volunteer
Community Volunteer
County of Santa Cruz
County of Santa Cruz Emergency Services
County of Santa Cruz-Sheriff Coronar's Office
Dominican Hospital
Emergency Management Council
Fire Chiefs Association of Santa Cruz County
First 5 Santa Cruz County
HELPLINK/United Way of the Bay Area
Housing Authority of the County of Santa Cruz
Imagine Supported Living Services
Pajaro Valley Community Health Trust
PG&E
Santa Cruz County Office of Education
Santa Cruz Regional 9-1-1
Santa Cruz Regional 9-1-1
Santa Cruz County Medical Reserve Corps
SCCRTC: E&D TAC
Second Harvest Food Bank
Senior Network Services
United Way of Santa Cruz County Board of Trustees
Women's Crisis Support/Defensa de Mujeres

Dave Wright
Susan Brutschy
Deanna Zachary
Richard Hill
Richard Wilson
Dannette Shoemaker
Steve Ando
Jackie Ventura
Sam Storey
Christina Cuevas
Shebreh Kalantari
Tad Sterling
Robert Hallbauer
Madeline Noya
Paul Horvat
Sheriff Phil Wowak
Martina O'Sullivan
Bob Kennedy
Pat O'Connell
Susan True
Ed Schoenberger
Ken Cole
Julie Reinhardt
Kathleen King
Wendy Sarsfield
Nohemi Macias
Scotty Douglass
Amethyst Uchida
Dave McNutt
Catherine Patterson Valdez
Willy Elliott-McCrea
Brenda Moss
Donna Odyna
Laura Segura
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D. Transportation:
Making a Difference:

Despite funding cuts over the last year, the volunteers with the Transportation Program have gone above and beyond to support this program. This quarter, because of the dedication of the drivers and our desk workers, we had only one ride that went unfilled due to a lack of a driver and that was a short notice appointment.

The Transportation program provides a wonderful direct service to its low-income and disabled seniors. We also work closely with agencies that deal with seniors. A great example of this partnership is our local food bank. There are many occasions when our homebound or disabled seniors are unable to get to town to pick up their weekly food supplements. This is where our Volunteer drivers come in. They go to the food bank and deliver the food directly to our clients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>This Quarter</th>
<th>YTD</th>
<th>Goal For Year</th>
<th>% of Goal To Date</th>
<th>YTD Prior Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABOUT VOLUNTEERS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Volunteers:</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz Volunteers:</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLV Volunteers:</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watsonville Vols:</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Volunteer Hours:</td>
<td>2,642</td>
<td>7,135</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7,156</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| ABOUT CLIENTS:         |              |     |               |                   |               |
| Total Clients:         | 133          | 182 | 235           | 77%               | 207           |
| Santa Cruz Clients:    | 61           | 97  | 100           | 97%               | 98            |
| SLV Clients:           | 58           | 71  | 90            | 79%               | 87            |
| Watsonville Clients:   | 14           | 14  | 45            | 31%               | 22            |

| KEY SERVICE INDICATORS|              |     |               |                   |               |
| Total Rides:          | 1,137        | 3,439 | 5,392       | 64%               | 4,112         |
| Santa Cruz Rides:     | 770          | 2,414 | 2,800       | 86%               | 2,462         |
| SLV Rides:            | 259          | 803  | 1,592        | 50%               | 1,061         |
| Watsonville Rides:    | 108          | 222  | 1,000        | 22%               | 589           |

Satisfaction surveys:
Recruit and retain volunteers to provide 5,500 rides to essential destinations for 250 low income seniors and people with disabilities that have no other means of transportation. 97% of requests for rides are filled. 85% of rides are to obtain food or medical treatment essential to maintaining independent living.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Vols#</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Clients#</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Target %</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Vols#</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Clients#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Santa Cruz City</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African Amer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scotts Valley City</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>San Lorenzo Valley</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Capitola City</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nat Amer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Watsonville City</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pac Island</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mid County Uninc.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>So. County Uninc.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declined</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>No. County Uninc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Out of County</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total        | 83    | 100 | 182      | 100 | 100      |                      | 83    | 100 | 182      | 100 |

**Santa Cruz Center:** We are on track for goals this year and running equal with the 3rd quarter in 08/09. We are at 86% of this year’s goal in the number of rides and 97% of goal for the number of clients served. 99% of the rides were for essential appoints, medical and food. Funding is once again a challenge. Our funding is based on tax revenue for the County of Santa Cruz, which has declined over the last two years. Funds have decreased over 30% from FY 08/09.

**San Lorenzo Valley Center:** We are on target to make our goals. We left flyers at Doctor’s offices throughout the San Lorenzo Valley area as well as put brochures for new drivers at the local libraries.
April 14, 2010

Mr. Jack Dilles  
Director of Finance  
City of Santa Cruz Finance Department  
809 Center St., Rm. 8  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

RE: Second Quarter Report for 09/10  
(contract between “City of Santa Cruz and Community Bridges/Consolidated Transportation Services Agency”)

Dear Mr. Dilles:

Enclosed please find the TDA Quarterly Report for the period beginning October 1, 2009 and ending December 31, 2009.

If you would like additional information or have any questions, please contact me at 831/688-8840, ext. 204.

Sincerely,

Michael Robins  
Chief Operating Officer

encl.  
cc: Karena Pushnik, Senior Transportation Planner, SCCRTC, 1523 Pacific Ave., S.C., CA 95060  
Catherine Patterson, Division Director, CTSA: Lift Line
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Performance Measures to be Included in Quarterly Reports</th>
<th>TDA Medical</th>
<th>Meals on Wheels</th>
<th>Taxi Service</th>
<th>Elderly</th>
<th>Out of County Medical</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>Qtr</td>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>Nov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Untimmediatelyed Passengers per Month</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Total Passenger trips (Units of Service) per Month</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>1,079</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td>920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Number of Incidents per Month</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Number of Accidents per Month</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Number of Mechanical Failures (including lift failures) per Month</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Number of No-Shows per Month</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Number of Turnovers/Referrals per Month</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Total Donations per Month</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$70.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Operating Cost per Passenger Trip</td>
<td>$24.84</td>
<td>$1.77</td>
<td>$11.53</td>
<td>$11.81</td>
<td>$14.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Operating Cost per Vehicle Service Hour</td>
<td>$47.49</td>
<td>$82.98</td>
<td>$67.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Passengers per Vehicle Service Hour</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Passengers per Vehicle Service Mile</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Van Mileage per Program</td>
<td>8,340</td>
<td>7,797</td>
<td>30,769</td>
<td>3,004</td>
<td>5,326</td>
<td>1,663</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Footnote: Line 9 includes both tax and Lift Line costs and units of service combined. Lines 10 through 13 reflect Lift Line data only and excludes taxi costs and units of service.
DATE: May 28, 2010

TO: Board of Directors

FROM: April Warnock, Paratransit Superintendent

SUBJECT: METRO PARACRUZ OPERATIONS STATUS REPORT

I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

This report is for information only - no action requested

II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- METRO ParaCruz is the federally mandated ADA complementary paratransit program of the Transit District, providing shared ride, door-to-door demand-response transportation to customers certified as having disabilities that prevent them from independently using the fixed route bus.

- METRO assumed direct operation of paratransit services November 1, 2004. This service had been delivered under contract since 1992.

- Discussion of ParaCruz Operations Status Report.

- Attachment A: On-time Performance Chart displays the percentage of pick-ups within the “ready window” and a breakdown in 5-minute increments for pick-ups beyond the “ready window”. The monthly Customer Service Reports summary is included.

- Attachment B: Report of ParaCruz’ operating statistics. Performance Averages and Performance Goals are reflected in the Comparative Operating Statistics Table in order to establish and compare actual performance measures, as performance is a critical indicator as to ParaCruz’ efficiency.

- Attachments C, D, E, F, G: ParaCruz Performance Charts display trends in rider-ship and mileage spanning a period of three years. Graph G is a graphical display reporting use of sub-contracted taxi companies for each month.

- Attachment H: Current calendar year’s statistical information on the number of ParaCruz in-person eligibility assessments, including a comparison to past years, since implementation in August of 2002.
III. DISCUSSION

In the month of March 2010, ParaCruz rides rose by 1420 rides from February 2010, and 105 rides from March 2009. Trends show that ParaCruz ridership typically rises in the month of March. This trend is driven primarily by attendance at the Cabrillo College Stroke and Acquired Disability Center. In addition to the typical trending pattern, February 2010 had 20 weekdays of service, while March had 23. Weekdays for March averaged 390 rides a day, while week-ends averaged 151 rides a day.

The VOIP telephone system installation is completed for ParaCruz, and the reports are now available. However, fiscal ‘year to date’ statistics are not available for the remainder of this fiscal year, as the system was not in place at the beginning of this fiscal year.

IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

NONE

V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: ParaCruz On-time Performance Charts
Attachment B: Comparative Operating Statistics Tables
Attachment C: Number of Rides Comparison Chart
Attachment D: Shared vs. Total Rides Chart
Attachment E: Mileage Comparison Chart
Attachment F: Year To Date Mileage Chart
Attachment G: Daily Drivers vs. Subcontractor Rides Charts
Attachment H: Eligibility Chart
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mar 09</th>
<th>Mar 10</th>
<th>Fiscal 08-09</th>
<th>Fiscal 09-10</th>
<th>Performance Averages</th>
<th>Performance Goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requested</td>
<td>9049</td>
<td>9579</td>
<td>74,422</td>
<td>76026</td>
<td>8513</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performed</td>
<td>8615</td>
<td>8720</td>
<td>69,184</td>
<td>69802</td>
<td>7826</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancels</td>
<td>16.61%</td>
<td>19.34%</td>
<td>17.88%</td>
<td>20.01%</td>
<td>18.57%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Shows</td>
<td>2.25%</td>
<td>2.70%</td>
<td>2.73%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>3.01%</td>
<td>Less than 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total miles</td>
<td>60,393</td>
<td>48,463</td>
<td>471,186</td>
<td>456,573</td>
<td>51,185</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Av trip miles</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within ready window</td>
<td>93.87%</td>
<td>94.79%</td>
<td>93.95%</td>
<td>95.40%</td>
<td>94.94%</td>
<td>92.00% or better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessively late/missed trips</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Zero (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call center volume</td>
<td>6523</td>
<td>6199</td>
<td>53,632</td>
<td>Avail July 2010</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call average seconds to answer</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Avail July 2010</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Less than 2 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hold times less than 2 minutes</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>Avail July 2010</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Greater than 90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distinct riders</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>1,605</td>
<td>1,639</td>
<td>810</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most frequent rider</td>
<td>58 rides</td>
<td>67 rides</td>
<td>300 rides</td>
<td>278 rides</td>
<td>49 rides</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared rides</td>
<td>62.0%</td>
<td>61.9%</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
<td>60.3%</td>
<td>63.33%</td>
<td>Greater than 60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passengers per rev hour</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>Greater than 1.6 passengers/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rides by supplemental providers</td>
<td>7.59%</td>
<td>9.40%</td>
<td>8.48%</td>
<td>12.76%</td>
<td>11.46%</td>
<td>No more than 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor cost per ride</td>
<td>$22.09</td>
<td>$20.83</td>
<td>$22.82</td>
<td>$25.27</td>
<td>$23.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ParaCruz driver cost per ride (estimated)</td>
<td>$23.54</td>
<td>$20.65</td>
<td>$24.42</td>
<td>$25.67</td>
<td>$23.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rides &lt; 10 miles</td>
<td>68.58%</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
<td>70.62%</td>
<td>69.86%</td>
<td>69.87%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rides &gt; 10</td>
<td>31.42%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>29.37%</td>
<td>30.14%</td>
<td>30.13%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ParaCruz On-time Performance Report</td>
<td>March 2009</td>
<td>March 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total pick ups</td>
<td>8615</td>
<td>8720</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent in “ready window”</td>
<td>93.87%</td>
<td>94.79%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 5 minutes late</td>
<td>2.61%</td>
<td>2.22%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 to 10 minutes late</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 to 15 minutes late</td>
<td>.94%</td>
<td>.67%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 to 20 minutes late</td>
<td>.55%</td>
<td>.33%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 to 25 minutes late</td>
<td>.21%</td>
<td>.32%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 to 30 minutes late</td>
<td>.17%</td>
<td>.14%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 to 35 minutes late</td>
<td>.10%</td>
<td>.08%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 to 40 minutes late</td>
<td>.02%</td>
<td>.01%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 or more minutes late (excessively late/missed trips)</td>
<td>.02%</td>
<td>.03%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total beyond “ready window”</td>
<td>6.13%</td>
<td>5.21%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the month of March 2010, ParaCruz received nine (9) Customer Service Reports. Two of the reports were valid complaints. Two (2) of the reports were not valid or verifiable complaints. Five (5) reports were compliments.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UNRESTRICTED</th>
<th>RESTRICTED</th>
<th>RESTRICTED</th>
<th>TEMPORARY</th>
<th>DENIED</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CONDITIONAL</td>
<td>TRIP BY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH 2009</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL 2009</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY 2009</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE 2009</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY 2009</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST 2009</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER 2009</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER 2009</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER 2009</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER 2009</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY 2010</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY 2010</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH 2010</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>ACTIVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>5336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>5315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>4820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>4895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>5291</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT

DATE: May 28, 2010
TO: Board of Directors
FROM: Ciro Aguirre, Operations Manager

SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF AWARD OF CONTRACT WITH CREATIVE BUS SALES, INC. FOR PURCHASE OF FIVE (5) PARATRANSIT MINI-VANS FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $231,077

I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to execute a contract with Creative Bus Sales, Inc. for the purchase of five (5) paratransit mini-vans for an amount not to exceed $231,077.

II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Staff has determined that five Paratransit mini-vans are required to service areas that pose maneuvering issues encountered in some of the rural parts of Santa Cruz County.

- A paratransit vehicle request for proposal was conducted by the California Association for Coordinated Transportation and Morongo Basin Transit Authority to solicit proposals from qualified firms for the purchase of paratransit vehicles.

- Santa Cruz METRO can purchase five (5) mini-vans from this contract as a piggyback procurement allowed under Federal Transit Administration Third Party Contracting Guidance Circular C 4220.1F.

III. DISCUSSION

The fleet of mini-vans currently in operation for METRO ParaCruz has reached the end of its useful life and in need of replacement. Twenty-two (22) raised top vans will be purchased to replace the bulk of the current ParaCruz mini-van fleet. Staff has reviewed maneuvering issues encountered throughout certain areas of Santa Cruz County and have determined that five (5) mini-vans will be required to service those areas. American Reinvestment Recovery Act (ARRA) funds will be used for this procurement.

In the past, Santa Cruz METRO has purchased paratransit mini-vans using the State of California Department of General Services' (DGS) paratransit vehicle contract. Since the fall of 2009, the State DGS has failed to award a contract on two separate occasions for these types of vehicles citing problems with the submitted bids.

A competitive procurement was conducted to solicit bids from qualified firms from the California Association for Coordinated Transportation (CalACT) and the Morongo Basin Transit Authority.
Authority (MBTA). This procurement was audited by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) which concluded that all Federal Transit Administration (FTA) required contract terms and conditions have been complied with. Santa Cruz METRO will be charged a 1.5% contract fee from CalACT/MBTA for the use of this contract.

Santa Cruz METRO can purchase mini-vans from this contract as a piggy-back procurement allowed under FTA Third Party Contracting Guidance Circular C 4220.1F. The purchase of five (5) mini-vans will include the following options: Low Floor configuration, Freedman rear lowered bench seat, cloth seat covers, installation of custom graphics (ParaCruz provided decals), and a power sliding door with ramp access.

Staff recommends that the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to execute a contract with Creative Bus Sales, Inc. for the purchase of five (5) paratransit mini-vans for an amount not to exceed $231,077.

IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

American Reinvestment Recovery Act Funds to support this contract are included in the FY10 Capital budget under Grant Funded Projects.

V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Contract Procurement Costs Summary
Attachment B: Contract with Creative Bus Sales

Prepared By: Lloyd Longnecker, Purchasing Agent
Date Prepared: May 18, 2010

Note: The CalACT/MBTA Contract is available for review at the Administration Office of Santa Cruz METRO or online at www.scmtd.com
DATE: May 28, 2010

TO: Board of Directors

FROM: Ciro Aguirre, Operations Manager
April Warnock, Paratransit Superintendent

SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF AMENDING THE NOT TO EXCEED CONTRACT AMOUNTS WITH WATSONVILLE TRANSPORTATION INC. AND SANTA CRUZ TRANSPORTATION, LLC FOR SUPPLEMENTAL PARATRANSIT SERVICES FROM $350,000 TO $475,000.

I. RECOMMENDED ACTION

That the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to execute amendments to the contracts with Watsonville Transportation, Inc. and Santa Cruz Transportation, LLC for supplemental paratransit services to increase the not to exceed contract amount of each contract from $350,000 to $475,000.

II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES

- Santa Cruz METRO entered into contracts for supplemental paratransit services with Watsonville Transportation, Inc. and Santa Cruz Transportation, LLC on May 1, 2007.
- Each contract had an initial three-year term with a total contract amount not to exceed $350,000.
- The contracts were recently extended through April 30, 2011.
- The maximum amount payable for each contract needs to be increased by $125,000 to $475,000 for the new contract period.

III. DISCUSSION

Santa Cruz METRO entered into contracts for supplemental paratransit services with Watsonville Transportation, Inc. and Santa Cruz Transportation, LLC on May 1, 2007 for a three year contract period. Both contracts were recently extended through April 30, 2011.

The maximum amount payable for each contract had a not to exceed amount of $350,000. This amount was sufficient for the first three-years of the contract. As both contracts have been recently extended for one additional year, the not to exceed amount of each contract needs to be increased by $125,000 for a new not to exceed amount of $475,000 for expenditures during the new contract period.
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Staff recommends that the Board of Directors authorize the General Manager to execute amendments to the contracts with Watsonville Transportation, Inc. and Santa Cruz Transportation, LLC for supplemental paratransit services to increase the not to exceed contract amounts of each contract from $350,000 to $475,000.

IV. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Funds to support this amendment are included in the ParaCruz FY10- FY11 budget item Contract/Paratransit.

V. ATTACHMENTS

None.

Prepared By: April Warnock, Paratransit Superintendent
Date Prepared: May 19, 2010
AGENDA:  June 8, 2010

TO:    Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee

FROM:  Karena Pushnik, SCCRTC Staff

RE:    Committee Member/Alternate for 5th Supervisors District

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee (E&D TAC) recommend that Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) approve Robert White for the member and move Sharon Barbour to the alternate position representing the Fifth Supervisors District.

BACKGROUND

The E&D TAC strives to have full membership in order to ensure that there is a quorum at meetings in order to conduct the committee’s business.

DISCUSSION

The RTC placed ads and public service announcements in local media regarding vacancies in the committee. Robert White responded to the outreach and submitted the attached application for membership (Attachment 1). Although Sharon Barbour is currently the member representing the Scotts and San Lorenzo Valley area, her job regularly prevents her from attending meetings. Ms. Barbour attended two of the six meetings in 2009 and one of the two meetings in 2010.

Mr. White plans to attend the June E&D TAC meeting.

Staff recommends that the E&D TAC recommend that the RTC approve Robert White as the member and Sharon Barbour as the alternate representing the Fifth Supervisors District. Attached is the proposed roster (Attachment 2). The Commission will consider the E&D TAC’s recommendation at their August meeting (no meetings are held in July).

SUMMARY

Nominations are sought for the vacant E/D TAC member and alternate positions. In addition, renewals or new members are sought for those expiring in 2009.

Attachments:
1. Application from Robert White
2. Proposed E/D TAC Roster
COMMITTEE APPOINTMENT APPLICATION

Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (SCCRTC)
Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee (E/D TAC)

Meetings are scheduled for the second Tuesday of every other month at 1:30 p.m. in the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission conference room, located at 1523 Pacific Avenue in downtown Santa Cruz. At least one meeting each year is scheduled for an alternate location. Please refer to the Committee description, bylaws and recruitment process for more information.

If you are interested in serving on this committee, please complete this application, and return it to the Regional Transportation Commission office.

PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY

Name: Robert White
Home address: 308 Silverwood Drive Scotts Valley, CA. 95066
Mailing address (if different):

Phone: (home) 831-440-9647 (Cell) 831-588-5364
E-mail: motox8r@comcast.net

Length of residence in Santa Cruz County: 40

Position(s) I am applying for: E&D TAC □ Any appropriate position
□ ____________________________  □ ____________________________

Previous experience on a government commission or committee (please specify)
City of Scotts Valley ADA Advisory Committee member
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Town or Address</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CASA OF Santa Cruz County</td>
<td>Watsonville</td>
<td>CASA Advocate</td>
<td>2005-Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz Yacht Club</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Member of Board of Directors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabrillo Stroke Center</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Mentor to Stroke Patients</td>
<td>2009-Present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statement of Qualifications:** Please attach a brief statement indicating why you are interested in serving on this committee and why you are qualified for the appointment. If you have served on this committee in the past, please summarize your accomplishments on the committee and indicate which of the committee’s potential future endeavors most interest you.

**Certification:** I certify that the above information is true and correct and I authorize the verification of the information in the application in the event I am a finalist for the appointment.

Signature: _W. R. White_ ___________________________ Date 5/6/10

**Return Application to:** SCCRTC
Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee
1523 Pacific Avenue
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
fax: 460-3215 email: kpushnik@sccrtc.org

**Questions or Comments:** (831) 460-3200
Scotts Valley’s ADA Committee –Member: Robert White

The City of Scotts Valley’s ADA Committee has been organized for 9 months. During this time there have been a number of issues raised and discussed at the Committee meetings. Some issues have been resolved and some are still ongoing.

1. The ADA Committee raised the issue of problems with the handicapped parking spaces in some Scotts Valley shopping centers which do not meet the new code requirements for handicapped parking. Particularly there is a problem with providing signage for van spaces and access to the sidewalks within the shopping center.

   The City’s Building Inspector did a survey, developed a binder of information and contacted the seven major centers in town to discuss the lay out, signage and stripping of their handicapped parking spaces. The centers were Safeway, Scotts Valley Junction, Kings Village, Graham Plaza, Scotts Valley Corners, and Scotts Valley Square.

   The City does not have the authority to require changes unless the shopping center is applying to the City for other permits. K-Mart Center restriped and resigned their spaces to conform to the new requirements as part of a remodeling permit. The other centers have been notified of what changes will be required to be made with any future City applications.

2. The ADA Committee has worked with local realtors regarding the problem of placing “Open House” signs in the path of travel on the sidewalks. The Committee is also working with the Sign Subcommittee to revise Scotts Valley’s sign ordinance to help with the “Open House” sign problems. The ADA Committee would like to see Scott Valley’s sign ordinance be similar to Capitola’s sign ordinance.

3. The ADA Committee has made recommendations about access improvements needed at City Hall. These improvements have been made.

4. The ADA Committee is working on developing a list of businesses to receive an award from the City Council for providing good service to persons with disabilities. Awards will be presented during the summer of 2010.

5. The ADA Committee is putting together a list of Public Works projects which are needed to improve access to persons with disabilities. The Committee has developed criteria to prioritize this list. This will allow the Committee to make budget recommendations to the City Council.
6. The ADA Committee is working with the Building Department to develop a booklet to be available at the building counter on “Accessible Home Design and Remodel Checklist”. The Committee will be reviewing the final draft at their April meeting.

7. The ADA Committee is reviewing planning applications for larger projects. (Commercial or more than four units.) The next project to be review is an application to develop 51 homes and 18,000 sq. ft. of commercial on Scotts Valley Drive at the April meeting.

8. An ADA Committee representative met with Planning Department and Building Department staff to go over procedures for providing service to persons with disabilities.

9. The ADA Committee presented a plan to have two sidewalk improvements made in the area of the Vineyards Development. The City Council has funded one of those improvements.

10. The ADA Committee has provided information to the City regarding sidewalks which need to be cleared of vegetation so they can be more easily used by persons with disabilities. Public Works is clearing the Scotts Valley Drive sidewalk.

11. The ADA Committee worked with Safeway Grocery Store to clear their sidewalk of shopping carts so that persons with disabilities can safely pass in front of their store. Safeway has implemented an on-going plan to keep their sidewalks free of shopping carts.

12. The ADA Committee made recommendations to the 4th of July parade organizers to provide an assessable, shaded space for viewing the parade. The ADA Committee’s recommendations were implemented.

13. The ADA Committee will be reviewing the remodeling plans for CineLux Scotts Valley Cinema.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Members</th>
<th>Representing</th>
<th>Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clay Kempf (2011)</td>
<td>Social Service Provider - Seniors</td>
<td>Patty Talbot (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hal Anjo (2013)</td>
<td>Social Service Provider - Seniors (County)</td>
<td>vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sally French (2012)</td>
<td>Social Service Provider - Disabled</td>
<td>vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Molesky (2014)</td>
<td>Social Service Provider - Disabled (County)</td>
<td>vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Berkowitz (2011)</td>
<td>CTSA (Community Bridges)</td>
<td>Bonnie McDonald (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Daugherty, Chair (2012)</td>
<td>SCMTD (Metro)</td>
<td>Kirk Ance (2014 pending approval)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donella Bloebaum (2012)</td>
<td>Potential Transit User (60+)</td>
<td>vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Crain, Vice Chair (2009)</td>
<td>Potential Transit User (Disabled)</td>
<td>vacant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Year in Parentheses) = Membership Expiration Date
**Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission's**  
**ELDERLY & DISABLED TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (ED/TAC)**  
and **SOCIAL SERVICES TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COUNCIL (SSTAC)**

Membership Roster (June 2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membership</th>
<th>Representing</th>
<th>Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supervisory District</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representatives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patti Lou Shevlin</td>
<td>1st District (Leopold)</td>
<td>Michael Lewis (2014 pending approval)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2014 pending approval)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>2nd District (Pirie)</td>
<td>vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veronica Elsea</td>
<td>3rd District (Coonerty)</td>
<td>Thom Onan (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2012)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vacant</td>
<td>4th District (Campos)</td>
<td>Sandra Coley (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert White</td>
<td>5th District (Stone)</td>
<td>Sharon Barbour (2014, pending approval)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2014, pending approval)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Staff**

Karena Pushnik, Transportation Planner, RTC, 460-3210, kpushnik@sccrtc.org
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DATE: June 8, 2010
TO: E&D TAC Members
FROM: Margaret Gallagher, District Counsel
SUBJECT: METRO’s Public Notice regarding the proposed Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Goal for Federal Fiscal Year 2011 (FY11)

Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Goal for FY11

METRO has set a Public Hearing for June 25, 2010, for review and consideration of METRO’s proposed Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Goal for FY11.

As an eligible recipient of federal-aid funding, the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (METRO) is required to comply with Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 26 (49 CFR Part 26), which states that grantees must establish and implement a DBE program and annually set DBE participation targets. As part of this program, METRO must establish an annual target for DBE participation in all new contracts that are eligible to be funded with federal funds. A DBE firm is defined as a for-profit “small business concern” that is at least 51 percent owned and controlled by one or more socially and economically disadvantaged individuals. California DBE firms are certified as such through the California United Certification Program (CUCP).

The purpose of the DBE program is to increase the opportunities for minority and women-owned small businesses to participate in federally funded projects. The primary objective of the DBE program is to provide a level playing field on which DBEs can compete fairly for Department of Transportation (DOT) assisted contracts. Establishing a level-playing field helps to guarantee nondiscrimination in the award and administration of DOT-assisted contracts. The CUCP certifies that only firms that fully meet 49 CFR Part 26 eligibility standards are permitted to participate as DBEs in California.

It is the policy of METRO to ensure that DBEs have an equal opportunity to receive and participate in DOT-assisted contracts. METRO will never exclude any person from participating in, deny any person the benefits of, or otherwise discriminate against anyone in connection with the award and performance of any contract covered by 49 CFR Part 26 on the basis of race, color, sex, national origin, disability or sexual orientation.

In previous years, METRO used the Federal Transit Administration’s (FTA) methodology to establish its DBE goal. In the Spring of 2009, the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) published methodology for calculating the Annual Anticipated DBE Percentage Level (AADPL), which includes Underutilized DBEs (UDBE). UDBEs are DBE classes that have
been determined in the 2007 Caltrans Disparity Study to have a statistically significant disparity in their utilization in previously awarded transportation contracts. UDBEs include Black Americans, Native Americans, Asian Pacific Americans, and females. METRO executed a contract with Caltrans, which required METRO to follow the Caltrans methodology to calculate its DBE goal for FFY10 and future years.

**Calculation of AADPL Percentage**

To attain METRO’s AADPL for FFY11, Staff had to begin by determining the projects that METRO anticipates awarding in the upcoming federal fiscal year. The DBE spreadsheet (Attachment A) contains a list of the projects and the 6-digit North American Industry Classification (NAIC) category which corresponds to that particular project. Caltrans has identified its certified DBE vendors by county using the NAIC System, which corresponds exactly to the classification system used by the U.S. Census Bureau’s County Business Pattern data.

Secondly, Staff had to determine the market area from which DBE consultants would likely be chosen. Since it is conceivable that a consultant may come from as far north as Napa or Sonoma for the award of a large contract, Staff included all of Caltrans Districts 04 and 05 when conducting its DBE calculation. The following fourteen counties fall within Districts 04 and 05, creating METRO’s market area:

- Alameda County
- Contra Costa County
- Marin County
- Monterey County
- Napa County
- San Benito County
- San Francisco County
- San Luis Obispo County
- San Mateo County
- Santa Barbara County
- Santa Clara County
- Santa Cruz County
- Solano County
- Sonoma County

In step three, Staff had to determine the total number of DBE firms within METRO’s market area that can perform the work for each NAIC work category. To accomplish this, Staff used the DOT’s statewide online directory of eligible DBE vendors within the California Unified Certification Program to estimate the number of DBEs which might be available in METRO’s market area.

In step four, Staff had to narrow down the number of DBE firms from the statewide directory to those that are located within METRO’s market area. This was accomplished by printing out the
list of DBE firms and simply crossing off any firms that were not within the fourteen counties that constituted METRO’s market area.

To calculate the percentage of DBEs, Staff divided the number of DBE firms listed in the relevant NAIC categories in METRO’s market area by the number of all firms in those counties in the same NAIC categories as counted by the U.S. Bureau of Census County Business Patterns for 2007.

In step six, to obtain the Race-Conscious portion of AADPL, Staff used the same method above, except they substituted UDBEs for DBEs in the above formula. In the final step, the Race-Neutral portion of AADPL is calculated by taking the overall AADPL minus the Race-Conscious portion.

METRO is proposing an overall DBE goal of 2.12% for U.S. DOT-assisted contracts for FFY11. Approximately 0.36% of which it projects to meet through Race-Neutral means and 1.76% through Race-Conscious means. Following the public comment period, METRO’s Board of Directors will be asked to adopt the DBE Goal for FFY11. Upon adoption by the Board, Staff will forward the Caltrans methodology with METRO’s DBE Goal for FFY11 to FTA for approval.

**Outreach/Public Comment Period**

METRO’s Public Notice opening the 45-day public comment period and announcing the Public Hearing on June 25, 2010, was published in the Santa Cruz Sentinel and the Watsonville Register Pajaronian. In addition, we requested the Public Notice to be published in Passenger Transport on May 24, 2010 and in LaGanga, a Spanish publication. METRO will accept comments on its proposed DBE Goal for FFY11 and the methodology used through July 16, 2010. The Board of Directors will review this methodology and all public comments at its regular meeting on July 23, 2010.

On May 28, 2010, METRO’s DBE Public Notice was posted on METRO’s website in English and Spanish under the “Public Hearings” section. Below is the link.

http://www.scmtd.com

Please review the DBE methodology and METRO’s proposed DBE Goal of 2.12%, and provide your comments and suggestions at the June 8, 2010 E&D TAC Meeting.

I look forward to your meeting on June 8, 2010, when we will have an opportunity to further discuss METRO’s proposed DBE Goal for FFY11.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contracts to be Awarded</th>
<th>NAIC</th>
<th>Category Description</th>
<th># of DBEs (Numerator)</th>
<th># of UDBEs (Denominator)</th>
<th>Total Establishments in Districts 04 &amp; 05</th>
<th>Project Cost</th>
<th>%DBE</th>
<th>%UDBE</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>AADPL</th>
<th>RC AADPL</th>
<th>RN AADPL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smartcard Farebox System (purchase)</td>
<td>334514</td>
<td>Totalizing Fluid Meter &amp; Couring Device Manufacturing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$2,267,000</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>55.76%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities Video Surveillance Project (install)</td>
<td>238210</td>
<td>Electrical Contractors &amp; other wiring Installation Contractors</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2,140</td>
<td>102,088</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities Video Surveillance Project (cameras &amp; equipment)</td>
<td>423410</td>
<td>Photographic Equipment &amp; Supplies</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>62,571</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Mobile Radio Project (Fleet)</td>
<td>334220</td>
<td>Radio Broadcasting &amp; Wireless Communications Equipment</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>143,370</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>0.11%</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automated Purchasing System Software</td>
<td>423430</td>
<td>Computer Equipment &amp; Software</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro Center Shelter Replacement (lane 4)</td>
<td>236220</td>
<td>Commercial &amp; Institutional Building Construction</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1,086</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
<td>0.09%</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair/Reseal parking lot at Operations</td>
<td>238990</td>
<td>All Other Specialty Trade Contractors</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental Insurance for Employees</td>
<td>524114</td>
<td>Direct Health &amp; Medical Insurance Carriers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>892,997</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
<td>0.28%</td>
<td>0.28%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Disability Insurance for Employees</td>
<td>524113</td>
<td>Direct Life insurance Carriers</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobbying Services</td>
<td>541820</td>
<td>Public Relations Agencies</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>64,000</td>
<td>42.8%</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>0.67%</td>
<td>0.64%</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of Tires</td>
<td>423130</td>
<td>Tire &amp; Tube Merchant Wholesalers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>0.13%</td>
<td>0.13%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Finishing (Golf Club Drive)</td>
<td>238110</td>
<td>Poured Concrete Foundation &amp; Structure Contractors</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>99,948</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0.36%</td>
<td>0.19%</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$4,065,974  100.0%  2.12%  1.76%  0.36%
PUBLIC NOTICE
DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE
GOAL FOR FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2011(FFY11)

Notice is hereby given that the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (Santa Cruz METRO) is proposing an overall Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) goal of 2.12% (0.36% of which it projects to meet through race neutral means and 1.76% through race conscious means) for U.S. Department of Transportation assisted contracts for FFY11. This goal has been set based upon information currently available. As part of this program, Santa Cruz METRO must establish an annual target for DBE participation in all new contracts that are eligible to be funded with federal funds. A DBE firm is defined as a for-profit “small business concern” that is at least 51 percent owned and controlled by one or more socially and economically disadvantaged individuals. California DBE firms are certified as such through the California United Certification Program (CUCP).

It is the policy of Santa Cruz METRO to ensure nondiscrimination on the basis of race, color, sex, national origin, disability and/or sexual orientation in the award and administration of DOT-assisted contracts. It is the intention of Santa Cruz METRO to create a level playing field on which DBEs can compete fairly for DOT-assisted contracts.

The rationale for this goal and supporting information regarding Santa Cruz METRO’s DBE Program will be available for public inspection at Santa Cruz METRO’s Administrative Offices, 110 Vernon Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060. These documents are available for inspection from 8 am to 5 pm, Monday through Friday, from June 1, 2010 through July 16, 2010 at the above address, on METRO’s website www.sfmtd.com and at the Santa Cruz Central Branch Library, 224 Church Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060 and the Watsonville Main Library, 275 Main Street, Watsonville, CA 95076, during regular library hours.

Santa Cruz METRO and the Federal Transit Administration will accept written comments on Santa Cruz METRO’s proposed DBE goal and its rationale for 45 days from the date of this notice. Comments should be submitted to Angela Aitken, Finance Manager and Acting Assistant General Manager, at the address listed above, or by email: DBE@sfmtd.com or to the Federal Transit Administration, Civil Rights Office, Region IX, 201 Mission Street, Suite 1650, San Francisco, CA 94105.

Santa Cruz METRO will hold a Public Hearing on its FFY11 DBE goal at 9:00 am on June 25, 2010 at the Santa Cruz City Council Chambers at 809 Center Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060. Members of the public may address Santa Cruz METRO’s Board of Directors at this meeting.
AGENDA: June 8, 2010

TO: Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee
FROM: Karena Pushnik, Senior Transportation Planner
RE: Consider Support of H.R. 4722- Active Community Transportation Act

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee (E&D TAC) consider writing a letter in support of H.R. 4722, the Active Community Transportation Act of 2010.

BACKGROUND

The bylaws of the Elderly and Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee include duties related to the provision of accessible pedestrian facilities.

DISCUSSION

Federal bill H.R. 4722 was introduced in March of this year. The bill is in the early stages of the legislative process and the next steps are the consideration by the Senate and House of Representatives. As the bill progresses, there may be changes, but the intent is to encourage a mode shift to active transportation options by providing priority funding to bicycle and pedestrian projects. The text of the current bill is attached (Attachment 1).

Staff recommends that the E/D TAC consider sending a letter in support of the current bill and concept. Staff would also recommend that the bill be monitored and that the chair be authorized to send subsequent letters of support as the bill progresses, assuming that the intent is unchanged.

SUMMARY

A federal bill is under consideration that would encourage funding priorities for active transportation modes such as bicycle and pedestrian facilities.

Attachment 1: Current Text of H.R. 4722
H.R.4722 -- Active Community Transportation Act of 2010 (Introduced in House)

To direct the Secretary of Transportation to carry out an active transportation investment program to encourage a mode shift to active transportation within selected communities by providing safe and convenient options to bicycle and walk for routine travel, and for other purposes.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
This Act may be cited as the 'Active Community Transportation Act of 2010'.

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.
Congress finds the following:

(1) Nearly half of the trips taken in the United States are within a 20-minute bicycle ride, and a quarter of such trips are within a 20-minute walk.
(2) Approximately 90 percent of public transportation trips are accessed by walking or bicycling.
(3) More than 100 communities across the Nation have adopted complete streets policies, thereby proving the commitment of these communities to creating streets that are safe and convenient for users of all ages and abilities, including those who are walking, bicycling, taking public transportation, or driving.
(4) Communities that invest in active transportation infrastructure experience significant increases in bicycling and walking rates over time, and such investments are in strong demand because they enhance the livability of communities.
(5) The communities that perform best in encouraging active transportation create interconnected systems that make it convenient and safe to travel on foot or by bicycle to destinations on a routine basis.
(6) Achieving a mode shift to active transportation within a community requires intensive, concentrated funding of active transportation systems rather than discrete, piecemeal projects.
(7) Increased use of active transportation leads to reductions in traffic congestion, greenhouse gas emissions, vehicle miles traveled, oil dependence, air pollution, and obesity and diseases associated with physical inactivity.
(8) Given the contribution that active transportation makes to these national policy goals, and the opportunity active transportation provides to accommodate short trips at the least cost to the public and individuals, funding of active transportation is one of the most strategic and cost effective Federal transportation investments available.

SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.
In this Act, the following definitions apply:

(1) ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION- The term 'active transportation' means mobility options powered solely by human energy, such as bicycling and walking.
(2) INDIAN TRIBE- The term 'Indian tribe' has the meaning given that term in section 4(e) of Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 450b(e)).
(3) PROGRAM- The term 'program' means the active transportation investment program established under section 4.

SEC. 4. ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT PROGRAM.
(a) In General- The Secretary of Transportation shall carry out an active transportation investment program in accordance with the requirements of this section.
(b) Purpose- The purpose of the program shall be to encourage a mode shift to active transportation within selected communities by providing safe and convenient opportunities to bicycle and walk for routine travel.
(c) Selection of Communities-
(1) APPLICATIONS- A community seeking to participate in the program shall submit to the Secretary an application that is in such form and contains such information as the Secretary may require.

(2) INITIAL AND ADDITIONAL SELECTIONS-
   (A) INITIAL SELECTIONS- The Secretary shall select initial communities to participate in the program. Such communities shall participate in the program in each of fiscal years 2011 through 2015.
   (B) ADDITIONAL SELECTIONS- Following the initial selections under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall select additional communities to participate in the program. Such communities shall participate in the program in each of fiscal years 2013 through 2015.

(3) CRITERIA-
   (A) IN GENERAL- In selecting communities to participate in the program, the Secretary shall consider, at a minimum, the extent to which a community—
      (i) provides a plan for development of walking and bicycling infrastructure that is likely to contribute to a significant transportation mode shift to walking and bicycling;
      (ii) demonstrates broad community support that will facilitate successful and expeditious implementation;
      (iii) demonstrates a cohesive plan in which noninfrastructure elements, where proposed, reinforce achievement of the purpose of the program;
      (iv) provides evidence of regulatory or financial incentives or community design policies that facilitate significant increases in bicycling or walking; and
      (v) commits State, local, or eligible Federal matching funds, in addition to Federal funds made available under this section, to projects eligible for assistance under this section.
   (B) STRATEGIC PRIORITIES THAT FACILITATE SUCCESS- For purposes of subparagraph (A)(i), strategic priorities that facilitate success in increasing walking and bicycling include effective plans—
      (i) to create a network of active transportation facilities connecting neighborhoods with destinations such as workplaces, schools, residences, businesses, recreation areas, and other community activity centers;
      (ii) to integrate active transportation facilities with transit services, where available, to improve access to public transportation; and
      (iii) to deliver safe, convenient, cost-effective mobility via walking and bicycling.
   (C) INDICATORS OF COMMUNITY SUPPORT- For purposes of subparagraph (A)(ii), indicators of community support include—
      (i) the use of public input in the development of transportation plans; and
      (ii) the commitment of community leaders to the success and timely implementation of projects eligible for assistance under this section.

(d) Grants-
   (1) IN GENERAL- The Secretary shall make grants to each community selected to participate in the program.
   (2) RECIPIENTS- A recipient of a grant representing a community under the program shall be a local or regional governmental organization, multi-county special district, or Indian tribe that the Secretary determines is suitably equipped and organized to carry out the objectives and requirements of this section. Such organizations include metropolitan planning organizations and other regional planning organizations.
   (3) SUBRECIPIENTS- A recipient of a grant under the program may suballocate funds from the grant to a nonprofit organization to carry out the purposes of the program.
   (4) INCLUSION OF CERTAIN COMMUNITIES- To fulfill the Nation's need to achieve and document mode shift to bicycling and walking over time, the 4 communities that received pilot funding under section 1807 of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1460) may be among the communities selected by the Secretary under subsection (c).
   (5) GRANTS AMOUNTS-
      (A) IN GENERAL- The Secretary may make a grant as low as $5,000,000 and as high as $15,000,000 per fiscal year for a community participating in the program. The Secretary
shall ensure that grant awards under the program are sufficiently high to enable a mode shift to active transportation.

(B) JUSTIFICATION FOR LARGER GRANTS- Subject to the $15,000,000 per fiscal year limit set forth in subparagraph (A), the Secretary may justify a grant in a higher amount for a community under the program based on the population served, greater opportunities to shift trips to bicycling and walking, or use of innovative design features.

(e) Elgible Projects- Grants made to communities under this section shall be used for one or more of the following purposes:

(1) To carry out projects to construct networks of active transportation infrastructure facilities, including sidewalks, bikeways, and pedestrian and bicycle trails, that connect people with public transportation, workplaces, schools, residences, businesses, recreation areas, and other community activity centers.

(2) To carry out projects to provide for bicycle boxes, cycle tracks, bicycle boulevards, dual traffic signals, and bicycle sharing stations.

(3) To carry out projects to restore and upgrade current active transportation infrastructure facilities.

(4) To carry out projects to support educational activities, safety-oriented activities, and technical assistance to further the purpose of the program.

(f) Program Measures- In carrying out the program, the Secretary shall develop statistical information on changes in motor vehicle, active transportation, and public transportation usage in communities participating in the program and assess how the changes impact congestion and energy usage, impact the frequency of bicycling and walking, and impact health, safety, and the environment. In addition, the Secretary shall develop interim measures of progress, which may include indicators of public engagement, educational outcomes, and project advancement into planning and development.

(g) Deadlines-

(1) REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS- Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall publish in the Federal Register a request for applications pursuant to subsection (c)(1).

(2) SELECTION OF INITIAL COMMUNITIES- Not later than 180 days after such date of enactment, the Secretary shall select initial communities to participate in the program under subsection (c)(2)(A).

(3) SELECTION OF ADDITIONAL COMMUNITIES- Not later than September 30, 2012, the Secretary shall select additional communities to participate in the program under subsection (c)(2)(B).

(4) GRANTS- The Secretary shall make grants to selected to participate in the program under subsection (c)-

(A) for fiscal year 2011, not later than the later of--

(i) the 60th day after the date of the selection of communities under subsection (c)(2)(A); and

(ii) the 30th day of the fiscal year;

(B) for each of fiscal years 2012 through 2015, not later than 30th day of the fiscal year.

(h) Reports-

(1) IN GENERAL- The Secretary shall submit to Congress--

(A) an interim report on progress made under the program not later than September 30, 2014; and

(B) a final report on progress made under the program not later than September 30, 2016.

(2) CONTENTS- Each report submitted under paragraph (1) shall include the Secretary's findings concerning the best practices of communities participating in the program and the impediments experienced by such communities relating to program development and achieving a mode shift to active transportation.

(i) Funding-

(1) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS- There is authorized to be appropriated out of the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) to carry out this section--

(A) $300,000,000 for fiscal year 2011;

(B) $300,000,000 for fiscal year 2012;

(C) $466,666,666 for fiscal year 2013;
(D) $466,666,666 for fiscal year 2014; and
(E) $466,666,668 for fiscal year 2015.

(2) CONTRACT AUTHORITY- Funds authorized to be appropriated by this section shall be available for obligation and administered in the same manner as if the funds were apportioned under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code, except that the Federal share of the cost of a project carried out using the funds shall be 100 percent, and the funds shall remain available until expended and shall not be transferable.

(3) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS-
(A) SET ASIDE- Each fiscal year, the Secretary shall set aside not more than 1.5 percent of the funds made available to carry out this section to cover the costs of administrative, research, technical assistance, communications, and training activities under the program.
(B) CONTRACTS AND OTHER AGREEMENTS- The Secretary may enter into contracts with for-profit organizations, or contracts, partnerships, or cooperative agreements with other government agencies, institutions of higher learning, or nonprofit organizations, to perform activities with amounts set aside under subparagraph (A). The Federal share of the cost of such activities may be up to 100 percent.
(C) LIMITATION ON STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION- Nothing in this paragraph may be construed to prohibit a community from receiving research or other funds under title 23 or 49, United States Code.

(j) Treatment of Projects-
(1) NONINFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS- Noninfrastructure projects and infrastructure projects that do not involve or lead directly to construction assisted under this subsection shall not be treated as projects on a Federal-aid system under chapter 1 of title 23, United States Code.
(2) INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS- Not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall develop regulations or guidance (or both) for Federal-aid projects under this section that encourages the use of the programmatic categorical exclusion, expedited procurement techniques, and other best practices to facilitate productive and timely expenditure for projects that are small, low impact, and constructed within an existing built environment.
(3) STATE PROCESSES- The Secretary shall work with State departments of transportation to ensure that any guidance or regulation developed under paragraph (2) is being implemented by States and the Federal Highway Administration consistently to avoid unnecessary delays in implementing projects and to ensure the effective use of Federal dollars.

(k) Assistance to Indian Tribes- Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary may enter into grants agreements, self-determination contracts, and self-governance compacts under the authority of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 450 et seq.) with eligible Indian tribes to carry out the purposes of this Act, and such grant agreements, self-determination contracts, and self-governance compacts shall be administered in accordance with that Act.