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INDEPENDENT AUDITORS’ REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS
BASSED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Directors
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
Santa Cruz, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (the Commission), as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Commission’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 18, 2016.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Commission’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Commission’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.
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Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Moss, Rep & Haugreim LLP

Santa Maria, CA
March 18, 2016
### Recipient: U.S. Department of Transportation

#### Pass-Through Grant

- **California Department of Transportation**
  - **Highway Planning**
    - **Recipient:** SCCRTC/FHWA - Planning
    - **Federal Catalog Number:** 20.505
    - **Identifying Number:** CA-81-X-005
    - **Expenditures:** $65,000
  - **Highway Planning**
    - **Recipient:** SCCRTC - Planning
    - **Federal Catalog Number:** 20.505
    - **Identifying Number:** DEMO6L-6149(070)
    - **Expenditures:** $182,260
  - **FTA 5304 Passenger Rail Study**
    - **Recipient:** SCCRTC - Planning
    - **Federal Catalog Number:** 20.505
    - **Identifying Number:** 199,097
  - **FHWA SPR Partnership Planning Funds**
    - **Recipient:** SCCRTC - Planning
    - **Federal Catalog Number:** 20.505
    - **Identifying Number:** 119,551

#### Total Expenditure of Federal Grants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Description</th>
<th>Federal Catalog Number</th>
<th>Identifying Number</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pass-Through Grant</td>
<td>20.505</td>
<td>CA-81-X-005</td>
<td>$65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass-Through Grant</td>
<td>20.505</td>
<td>DEMO6L-6149(070)</td>
<td>182,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass-Through Grant</td>
<td>20.505</td>
<td>199,097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass-Through Grant</td>
<td>20.505</td>
<td>119,551</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$565,908</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See note to the schedule of the expenditures of federal awards.
NOTE 1 — BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS’ REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND
ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Board of Directors
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
Santa Cruz, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission’s (the Commission) compliance with the types of
compliance requirements described in the OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect
on each of the Commission’s major federal programs for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015. The Commission’s major federal
programs are identified in the summary of auditor’s results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management’s Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal
programs.

Auditors’ Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the Commission’s major federal programs based on our audit of
the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing
standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government
Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local
Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to
obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could
have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about
the Commission’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the
circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our
audit does not provide a legal determination of the Commission’s compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission, complied, in all material respects, with the types of
compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for
the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.
Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Commission’s internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission’s internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditure of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Santa Cruz Regional Transportation Commission, as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements which collectively comprise the Santa Cruz Regional Transportation Commission’s basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon January XX, 2016, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financials statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Moss, Reu & Hurdle LLP
Santa Maria, CA
March 18, 2016
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
Section I — Summary of Auditors’ Results

Financial Statements

Type of auditors’ report issued  
Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:
Material weaknesses identified?  
_____ Yes  X Yes  No

Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses?
_____ Yes  X Yes  None reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?
_____ Yes  X Yes  No

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:
Material weaknesses identified?  
_____ Yes  X Yes  No

Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses?
_____ Yes  X Yes  None reported

Type of auditors’ report issued on compliance for major programs:  
Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Circular A-133, section .510 (a)
_____ Yes  X Yes  No

Identification of major programs:

CFDA Number(s)  
20.505

Name of Federal Program or Cluster  
Metropolitan Transportation Planning

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs:  
$ 300,000

Auditee qualify as low-risk auditee:
_____ X Yes  _____ No
Financial Statement Findings

There were no financial statement audit findings or questioned costs.
Federal Awards Findings

There were no federal award findings or questioned costs.
Financial Statement Findings

There were no prior fiscal year financial statement audit findings or questioned costs.
Federal Award Findings

There were no prior fiscal year federal award audit findings or questioned costs.