AGENDA
1:30pm - 3:30pm
Tuesday, October 9, 2018

Regional Transportation Commission Santa Cruz Office
1523 Pacific Avenue, Santa Cruz, CA, 95062 (2nd Floor)

1. 1:30pm — Call to Order
2. 1:30pm — Introductions
3. 1:35pm — Oral communications
4. 1:40pm — Additions or deletions to the consent or regular agenda

1:42pm- CONSENT AGENDA

All items appearing on the consent agenda are considered to be minor or non-controversial and will be acted upon in one motion if no member of the E&D TAC or public wishes an item be removed and discussed on the regular agenda. Members of the E&D TAC may raise questions, seek clarification or add directions to Consent Agenda items without removing the item from the Consent Agenda as long as no other E&D TAC member objects to the change.

5. Approve Minutes from September 11, 2018 — pg. 4
6. Receive Transportation Development Act Revenues Report — pg. 10
7. Receive RTC Meeting Highlights — pg. 11
8. Accept correspondence from the public - None
   a. “Go Go Gazette,” Go Go Grandparent Newsletter, September 2018
   b. “Coast Line: Safe Routes to School Launches October 2,” Santa Cruz Sentinel, September 24, 2018
   c. Jessica York, “Scooter market grab ruffles feathers in Santa Cruz, city to weigh moratorium on e-scooters, electric bikes,” Santa Cruz Sentinel, September 25, 2018
REGULAR AGENDA

10. 1:55 pm — Receive Program Updates — pg. 19
   a. Volunteer Center — FY 2017-18 Transportation Program Final Report
   b. Community Bridges
   c. Santa Cruz Metro
   d. SCCRTC

11. 2:00 pm — City of Santa Cruz Transportation Development Act Claim-
    West Cliff Path and San Lorenzo Trestle Path — pg. 23

12. 2:15 pm — County of Santa Cruz Active Transportation Plan- Grant
    Application — pg. 33

13. 2:25 — AMBAG - Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services
    Transportation Plan — pg. 34

14. 2:40 pm — Unified Corridor Study – Draft Step 2 Scenario Analysis —
    pg. 134

15. 3:15 pm — Pedestrian Safety Work Group Update

16. 3:30 pm — Adjourn

Next meeting: 1:30 pm, December 11, 2018 @ RTC Office, Santa Cruz

HOW TO REACH US
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
1523 Pacific Avenue, Santa Cruz, CA 95060
Phone: (831) 460-3200 / fax (831) 460-3215
Email: info@sccrtc.org / website: www.sccrtc.org

ACCOMMODATIONS FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES
The Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission does not discriminate on the basis of disability and no person shall, by reason of a disability, be denied the benefits of its services, programs, or activities. This meeting location is an accessible facility. If you wish to attend this meeting and require special assistance in order to participate, please contact RTC staff at 460-3200 (CRS 800/735-2929) at least three working days in advance of this meeting to make arrangements. People with disabilities may request a copy of the agenda in an alternative format. As a courtesy to those person affected, please attend the meeting smoke and scent-free.
SERVICIOS DE TRADUCCIÓN/TRANSLATION SERVICES

Si gusta estar presente o participar en esta junta de la Comisión Regional de Transporte del condado de Santa Cruz y necesita información o servicios de traducción al español por favor llame por lo menos con tres días laborables de anticipo al (831) 460-3200 para hacer los arreglos necesarios. (Spanish language translation is available on an as needed basis. Please make advance arrangements (at least three days in advance by calling (831) 460-3200.

TILE VI NOTICE

The RTC operates its programs and services without regard to race, color and national origin in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act. Any person believing to have been aggrieved by the RTC under Title VI may file a complaint with RTC by contacting the RTC at (831) 460-3212 or 1523 Pacific Avenue, Santa Cruz, CA, 95060 or online at www.sccrtc.org. A complaint may also be filed directly with the Federal Transit Administration to the Office of Civil Rights, Attention: Title VI Program Coordinator, East Building, 5th Floor-TCR, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, Washington, DC 20590.
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee
(Also serves as the Social Service Transportation Advisory Council)

DRAFT MINUTES

Tuesday, September 11, 2018

Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission Office
1523 Pacific Avenue, Santa Cruz, CA 95060

1. Roll call

The meeting was called to order at 1:34 p.m.

Members present:
Kirk Ance, CTSA – Lift Line
Pam Arnsberger, 2nd District
Jon Bailiff, Social Services Provider – Disabled
Lisa Berkowitz, CTSA
John Daugherty, Metro
Veronica Elsea, 3rd District
Tara Ireland, Social Service Provider – Persons of Limited Means
Caroline Lamb, Potential Transit User

Alternates present:
Tom Duncanson, 2nd District

Unexcused absences:
None

Excused absences:
Clay Kempf, Social Service Provider – Seniors
Dulce Lizarraga-Chagolla, Social Services Provider – Seniors
Lori Welch-Bettencourt, 4th District

RTC staff present:
Grace Blakeslee
George Dondero
Joanna Edmonds
Brianna Goodman
Rachel Moriconi

Others present:
Amelia Conlen, Ecology Action
Kailash Mozumder, City of Capitola
2. Introductions

3. Oral communications

Tara Ireland shared information from a Seniors Council workshop organized by the Solutions Summit Loneliness and Isolation Team. Committee members discussed the impact transportation challenges can have on senior isolation and loneliness.

Kirk Ance communicated that Lift Line expanded their services to provide door-to-door transportation Monday-Saturday to Lounden Nelson Community Center. The expanded service is funded by Measure D. This service is in addition to the existing service provided by Lift Line to the Meals on Wheels lunch site at Lounden Nelson.

Jon Bailiff shared information from a webinar he attended which discussed transportation options during non-peak hours and to communities that are underserved by public transit.

Veronica Elsea, chair, thanked committee members for sharing and suggested members contact RTC staff if there are items they would like to add to a future E&D TAC agenda.

4. Additions or deletions to consent and regular agendas

Item 11 was moved to after item 15 and item 16 was moved to after item 10 to accommodate the presenter’s schedules.

None

CONSENT AGENDA

5. Approved minutes from June 12, 2018

6. Received Transportation Development Act Revenues Report

7. Received RTC Meeting Highlights

8. Recommended that the Regional Transportation Commission approve appointments to the E&D TAC Committee

9. Received Information Items

A motion (Berkowitz/Ireland) was made to approve the consent agenda. The motion passed with members Pam Arnsberger, Jon Bailiff, Lisa Berkowitz, Tom
**REGULAR AGENDA**

10. Received Program Updates

   a. Volunteer Center – FY 17/18 TDA 4th Quarter Report

   Tara Ireland reported that the Volunteer Center is conducting outreach to recruit volunteer drivers in San Lorenzo Valley and welcomed input on how to reach interested individuals.

   b. Community Bridges – FY 17/18 TDA 3rd Quarter Report

   No discussion.

   c. Santa Cruz Metro

   No discussion.

   d. SCCRTC

   Grace Blakeslee, Transportation Planner, announced that the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) will be provided with the Draft Unified Corridor Investment Study (UCIS) at their October 4th meeting. Ms. Blakeslee gave an overview of the process and timeline for action on the UCIS. Joanna Edmonds, Transportation Planning Technician, announced that the revised Guide to Specialized Transportation has been printed in English and the Spanish version is forthcoming. Copies of the English version were distributed to committee members.

   *No action taken.*

16. County of Santa Cruz Safe Routes to School Program – Ecology Action *(taken out of order to accommodate the presenter’s schedule)*

   Amelia Conlen, Ecology Action, provided information about the effort to develop two Safe Routes to Schools Plans, one in the City of Watsonville and one in unincorporated Santa Cruz County plus Scotts Valley. Ms. Conlen noted that there may be overlap between the needs identified to increase biking, walking and transit use to schools and the transportation needs of seniors and people living with disabilities. Ms. Conlen invited committee members to get involved by attending
public meetings and walking audits and welcomed input from the committee about how to best reach the disabled community. Committee members discussed strategies that could help reach disabled members of the public and parents of disabled school-aged children, as well as the opportunity to include safety messaging in the general outreach efforts.

*No action taken.*

12. Sonoma – Marin Area Rail Transit

George Dondero, Executive Director, presented information pertaining to the Sonoma-Martin Area Transit District (SMART) - Santa Cruz Chamber of Commerce organized educational field trip that he attended. Mr. Dondero explained that in 2017, SMART began regular passenger service on its 43-mile Initial Operating Segment, between the Sonoma County Airport in Santa Rosa and Downtown San Rafael, and will ultimately extend to serve a 70-mile corridor from Larkspur to Cloverdale. SMART is funded by Measure Q, a one-quarter cent sales tax approved by Sonoma and Marin voters in 2008. Committee members discussed how SMART has provided a new transportation option for seniors and people living with disabilities, helped reduce senior isolation and loneliness in the areas it serves, and provided individuals with more access to their health providers.

*No action taken.*

13. Highway 9 Complete Streets Plan Update

Brianna Goodman, Transportation Planner, provided an overview of the complete streets plan for the Highway 9 corridor through San Lorenzo Valley (SLV). The plan will identify, evaluate, and prioritize transportation projects that improve safety, access to schools, businesses, and bus stops, and traffic operations. Ms. Goodman explained that priority improvements have been identified based on public input, collision data, traffic conditions, land uses, Metro on-boarding data, and gaps in existing infrastructure. RTC staff will present the complete draft plan to the committee later this year. Committee members discussed the impact of this plan on ADA accessibility to transit stops, sidewalks, and crosswalks and made suggestions for audible crossing and flashing beacon improvements that would benefit elderly and disabled pedestrians, including those with visual impairments.

*No action taken.*

11. City of Capitola Park Avenue Improvement Project TDA Claim (*taken out of order to accommodate presenter’s schedule.*)
Kailash Mozumder, City of Capitola, provided an overview of the City of Capitola’s Park Avenue Improvement Project, for which the City of Capitola submitted a request for TDA funds totaling $197,749. Mr. Mozumder provided a detailed map of the project area and explained that the City of Capitola proposes to construct improvements on the north side of Park Avenue from McCormick Avenue to Cabrillo Street to facilitate pedestrian access from the Cliffwood Heights neighborhood and New Brighton middle school to Capitola Village. Veronica Else, chair, thanked Mr. Mozumder for his presentation. Committee members shared suggestions for pedestrian lighting, audible crossings, and flashing beacons that would benefit elderly and disabled pedestrians, including those with visual impairments.

A motion (Daugherty/Lamb) was made for the Elderly and Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee to recommend that the Regional Transportation Commission approve the City of Capitola’s Article 8 Transportation Development Act claim for $197,749 for Park Avenue Sidewalk Project. The motion passed with members Kirk Ance, Lisa Berkowitz, John Daugherty, Tom Duncanson, Veronica Elsea, Tara Ireland, and Caroline Lamb voting in favor. Members Pam Arnsberger and Jon Bailiff were not present for this item.

14. Senate Bill 1 – Transportation Funding Update

Rachel Moriconi, Senior Transportation Planner, provided an update regarding Senate Bill 1, which provides transportation funding. Ms. Moriconi reported that Proposition 6, which will be on the November 2018 ballot, would eliminate funding for transportation projects by repealing Senate Bill 1 (SB1). Local agencies have been using SB1 funds to address some of the backlog of road repair, transit system maintenance, bicycle, pedestrian, and mobility projects in Santa Cruz County. John Daugherty added that METRO’s ability to rebuild both its bus and ParaCruz fleet relies on SB1 funding.

*No action taken.*

15. SB 1376

Rachel Moriconi, Senior Transportation Planner, announced that the California state legislature has advanced Senate Bill 1376 (Hill) during the 2017-2018 legislative session. Ms. Moriconi shared that this bill aims to increase accessibility of Technology application-based ride hailing services, such as those services provided by transportation network companies (TNC) like Lyft and Uber, to people who need a wheelchair accessible vehicle (WAV). Ms. Moriconi reported that SB 1376 is on the governor’s desk awaiting signature at the time of this meeting.
No action taken.

16. Item take out of order after item 10

17. Pedestrian Safety Workgroup Update

Veronica Elsea, E&D TAC Chair, informed E&D TAC members that the Pedestrian Safety Workgroup met on August 30th, 2018 and discussed the hazard reporting system, construction guidelines, and incorporating pedestrian safety messaging from the “What Pedestrians and Bicyclists Want Each Other to Know” brochure into Cruz511 outreach efforts and social media messages. Ms. Elsea announced that the next Pedestrian Safety Workgroup meeting is scheduled for September 25th from 2 to 4 pm at the RTC offices and is open to the public.

No action taken.

18. Adjourn

Meeting adjourned at approximately 3:51 p.m.

The next E&D TAC meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, October 9, 2018 at 1:30 p.m. at the RTC Offices, 1523 Pacific Avenue, Santa Cruz, CA.

Respectfully submitted,

Joanna Edmonds, Staff
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONTH</th>
<th>FY17 - 18 ACTUAL REVENUE</th>
<th>FY18 - 19 ESTIMATE REVENUE</th>
<th>FY18 - 19 ACTUAL REVENUE</th>
<th>DIFFERENCE</th>
<th>DIFFERENCE AS % OF PROJECTION</th>
<th>CUMULATIVE % OF ACTUAL TO PROJECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>583,500</td>
<td>589,335</td>
<td>908,365</td>
<td>319,030</td>
<td>54.13%</td>
<td>154.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>778,000</td>
<td>785,780</td>
<td>670,376</td>
<td>-115,404</td>
<td>-14.69%</td>
<td>114.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>1,146,538</td>
<td>1,158,003</td>
<td>886,090</td>
<td>-271,913</td>
<td>-23.48%</td>
<td>97.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>665,500</td>
<td>672,155</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>887,300</td>
<td>896,173</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>959,017</td>
<td>968,607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>655,100</td>
<td>661,651</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>873,500</td>
<td>882,235</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>855,723</td>
<td>929,364</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>564,300</td>
<td>638,787</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>854,103</td>
<td>851,681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>777,152</td>
<td>574,948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>9,599,733</td>
<td>9,608,719</td>
<td>2,464,831</td>
<td>-68,287</td>
<td>-0.71%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**

\RTC\RTCSERV2\Internal\FISCAL\TDA\MonthlyReceipts\FY2019\FY2019 TDA Receipts.xlsx\FY2019
State Legislative and Funding Updates
The Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) received an update on state legislation and transportation funding from State Senator William Monning. The RTC voted unanimously to adopt an “oppose” position on Proposition 6, which would eliminate funding for local road repairs, bus, highway, and bicycle projects.

Santa Cruz County Measure D Taxpayer Oversight Committee
The RTC appointed Janet Edwards, Michael Machado, Abel Rodriguez, Carmen Herrera Mansir, and Todd Guin to the Measure D Taxpayer Oversight Committee. The committee is responsible for ensuring that Measure D revenues are spent in accordance with the 2016 voter-approved Ordinance. Committee members were selected by an Ad Hoc Committee made up of Commissioners Brown, Leopold, and McPherson, and which reviewed 35 applicants with staff.

Measuring What Matters
Guest Speaker: Jeffrey Tumlin, Principal and Director of Strategy at Nelson/ Nygaard
Mr. Tumlin gave a presentation and led a discussion on transportation planning through the lens of an economist, and discussed ways to make transportation planning more equitable and efficient.

Highway 1 41st Avenue/ Soquel Drive Auxiliary Lanes Project Plans, Specifications and Estimate (PS&E) phase Cooperative Agreement and Consultant Selection
The RTC authorized a Cooperative Agreement with Caltrans for the Plans, Specifications and Estimate (PS&E) phase for the Highway 1 41st / Soquel Auxiliary Lanes Project with the RTC as the implementing agency for design and right-of-way, and Caltrans responsible for oversight. The Executive Director was authorized to negotiate and enter into a Cooperative Agreement with the County of Santa Cruz for the right-of-way portion of the project. The RTC also authorized the awarding of a professional engineering services contract with Mark Thomas & Company, Inc. to prepare the PS&E package for the Lanes Project.
Welcome to the GoGoGazette!

To create a relationship with and between our callers, GoGoGrandparent decided to start a biweekly newsletter to keep everyone informed of big changes, announcements, and news.

It also gives folks a chance to meet the team as well as fellow GoGo passengers! If you want to be featured in an upcoming newsletter, please email supervisor@gogograndparent.com and the Gazette manager will give you a call.

Did you know?

Our drivers are happy to help you with collapsible wheelchairs, rollators, walkers, canes and more. Here is one of our clients Ursula M. as she prepares to embark on her trip. Her driver, Bhawanvir Singh, places her walker into his trunk as they get set to leave.

We welcome your service animals! All service animals are welcome to join you on your adventure. We recommend simply bringing a towel for them to lay on to keep the cars fur-free. If your pet is not a service animal but you would still like to bring them...
Just in case you haven't yet...

Click here to register with GoGoGrandparent!

Meet Betty (and her grandson Justin)

Betty started GoGoGrandparent! This whole thing was her idea.

Her grandson showed up at her door after college and she took him in for three years. They taught each other lots of things. (For example, he taught her how to use her remote control and she taught him how to put the toilet seat down.)

On a Sunday night over dinner while Justin was regaling Betty with his most recent failed project the conversation turned to transportation. Betty had recently heard about on demand transportation companies like Lyft. She wanted to try them out, so she asked Justin for their phone number.

Without really thinking about it he answered that to use those companies you need an app, and that unfortunately there was no way to get a ride with just a phone call. Betty replied: "Well, you should make that."

Betty took her first ride on January 4th, 2016 to and from CoCo's diner. She was so happy a few of her friends asked for the number.

One of them called her a 'Go Go Grandma' and the rest is history!
On behalf of all of us, thank you so much for your interest in GoGoGrandparent. Please take a note from Betty’s book and tell us if there is ever anything we can do to help.

AARP Names GoGoGrandparent Innovation@50+ LivePitch Winner!

As announced by AARP: “For six years now, our LivePitch event has focused on putting a spotlight on innovative startups improving the lives of those people 50 and over, as well as their caregivers,” said Jody Holtzman, senior vice president, Market Innovation, AARP. “This year, we expanded from caregiving and health technology to also add financial technology. Five companies stood out from the 20 finalists we had pitching. We congratulate GoGoGrandparent and Golden as the Judges’ Choices, and Ever Safe, Siren Care and LifeSite as the Consumers’ Choices.”

Weekly Customer Kudos!

“I want to thank everyone at GoGo for their service this has saved my life and has given me my independence back. Every driver has been better than the last. GoGo is now part of my family” - Gloria E

“I never found a company so accommodating to grandparents and I have been 60 for a long time, 20 years to be exact! And I’ve never seen such a great company as this one” - R.E

“You have given me a new security. I have never been taken care of like this before. Thank you for being there and providing this service.” She also said her drivers were incredible, both arriving within 5 minutes, taking the shortest route and assisting her in and out of the car. The second driver, that picked her up from the funeral, parked in an area so that she did not have to use the stairs. Both drivers made sure that she got in safely. She could not be happier with her experience, from the operators that answered her calls to the drivers that showed up. “You are worth every penny, and more. I have give your number to at least six friends. Please send me more cards so I can tell more people, You guys are the best” - Patsy T

“What I love about this service is that you are all very patient that really helps being a senior. You’re very well spoken and that’s obviously very nice as well.” - Patricia G

If you're happy with us, be sure to tell all your friends!

Give us a call at (855) 464-6872 to become a GoGoGrandparent and receive a special shipment of postcards to distribute to your community.

Click on the circles below to share us on Twitter or Facebook.
GoGoGrandparent Concierge Fee

$0.27/minute

Are we available near you?

Check zip

Our service is available in your area!

Ride Fare:
$4.4 base fare + $1.33 per mile + $0.24 per minute (with a minimum fare of $11.6)

and

GoGoGrandparent Concierge Fee:
$0.27 per minute

* Please note that during periods of high demand, our vendors may include a surge charge

SIGN UP NOW
SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL LAUNCHED OCT. 2

Ecology Action and the County of Santa Cruz invite community members to participate in “Safe Routes to School” kickoff meetings to develop plans for traffic safety improvements for students walking, bicycling or skateboarding to school. The meetings will take place from 6:30-8 p.m. Oct. 2 at Live Oak Elementary, 1916 Capitola Road, Live Oak; Oct. 4 at Scotts Valley High, 555 Glenwood Drive, Scotts Valley, and Oct. 10 at Mar Vista Elementary, 6860 Soquel Drive, Aptos.

In 2015 the California Office of Traffic Safety ranked Santa Cruz County the worst in the state for bicycle collisions that caused injury or fatality. Bicyclists and pedestrians are involved in 26 percent of injury crashes.

The goal is to gather input from parents, teachers, neighbors and concerned citizens to create a comprehensive plan that reflects community needs. Walking audits will take place at each school to make observations and hear from parents and administrators about specific issues.

A list of recommendations will be presented to stakeholders in spring 2019 with a final plan going to local policy-makers in early 2020.

Information: ecoact.org/planningcounty, email aconlen@ecoact.org or call 831-515-1351.

If you have a news event or announcement for Coast Lines, email newsroom@santacruzsentinel.com and place “Coast Lines” in the email subject line. Coast Lines items are run at no charge. Please include contact information for questions. Information: 831-706-3252 Tuesdays to Saturdays. To view previous Coast Lines items, visit SantaCruzSentinel.com/topic/Coast-Lines.
Scooter market grab ruffles feathers in Santa Cruz, city to weigh moratorium on e-scooters, electric bikes

A Bird scooter is parked on Cooper Street in downtown Santa Cruz last week. The city of Santa Cruz has ordered the company to cease and desist operations in Santa Cruz. (Dan Coyro -- Santa Cruz Sentinel file)

By Jessica A. York, Santa Cruz Sentinel

POSTED: 09/24/18, 5:01 PM PDT | UPDATED: 9 HRS AGO 3 COMMENTS

SANTA CRUZ >> Santa Cruz leaders may be telling electric bike and scooter operators to hold their motorized horses with an emergency moratorium up for a vote Tuesday.

The moratorium would exempt Jump rental e-bikes, a subsidiary of Uber that began operating in Santa Cruz this year after months of negotiations and public hearings.

Instead, city officials are using the moratorium to target “rogue operators” such as Santa Monica-based vendor Bird Rides Inc., which caught Santa Cruz unaware when it spread its electric scooters across more than 20 locations in the city Sept. 13. The company sought not permits ahead of time, announcing its arrival by way of a same-day email, said city Transportation Planner Claire Fliesler.

The city immediately fired back with a cease-and-desist order and began impounding Bird scooters soon after, collecting some 60 of the lightweight vehicles by the beginning of last week. Over the weekend, additional scooters arrived, with the city Public Works Department tracking down and impounding about another 100, Fliesler said.

If you go

What: Santa Cruz City Council meeting.
When: 12:15 p.m., Tuesday.
Where: Santa Cruz City Hall, 809 Center St.
At issue: Proposed moratorium on motorized scooters, bike share programs.
City and Bird representatives spoke by phone last week, as well as legal representatives from each, Fliesler said.

“They would like to get their scooters back. We’ve sent them some draft parameters of what it would take to get them back, including impound fees and fees to cover our staff time that we spent addressing the issue,” Fliesler said Monday, adding that the costs had not been totalled yet.

The city was able to impound the scooters because Bird is in violation of city laws related to placing obstructions on streets and sidewalks and causing a public nuisance with its obstruction, Fliesler said. Additionally, state vehicle code sets operational restrictions for motorized scooters. A media representative speaking on behalf of Bird did not respond to questions emailed by the Sentinel on Monday.

The Santa Cruz City Council, with the backing of at least six council members, may institute up to a 45-day moratorium on electrified scooters and additional bike-share programs. The renewable moratorium, which would be effective immediately upon approval, is designed to offer the city breathing room to study the issue and establish related laws. Fliesler said standards used for the Jump agreement will translate easily into a new law, but that her department had not yet had a chance to tackle electric scooter rules.

“Our goal at the city of Santa Cruz is to provide a wide range of mobility options that work for our community and it’s not to say anything against scooters or that scooters can’t be a part of that solution, but it’s to say that if they are, it’s to be done with intentional direction,” Fliesler said.

The recent wave of electrified bike share and scooter programs, with customers renting the vehicles online and through mobile apps, have ruffled feathers across the world, with public complaints focused on riders’ ability to reach speeds north of 15 mph and tendency to clutter sidewalks, public rights-of-way and private property with the devices discarded at the end of a ride. Jump bikes’ arrival in Santa Cruz experienced similar push-back, with residents challenging permits for the pedal-assisted bicycles’ bike racks and raising safety fears. The city has recourse to address problem areas with Jump officials, unlike startups that do not seek permission.

Travis VanderZanden, Bird’s CEO and founder, has not been immune to public pushback on the scooters. On Bird’s website, VanderZanden posted an open letter to fellow ride-share companies Jump, Mobike, Ofo and LimeBike, pledging to “save our sidewalks” from short-distance electric transit clutter. The pledge’s “three pillars” include nightly scooter retrieval and regular maintenance; a threshold of use needed before scooter deployment increases and share utilization data with cities; and offer a portion of revenues to the local city for transportation-related improvements.

Those types of public care, codified in Santa Cruz’s contract with Jump bikes, are the type of reassurance Fliesler said the city is looking to establish before new companies’ arrival.

**IF YOU GO**

What: Santa Cruz City Council meeting.

When: 12:15 p.m., Tuesday.

Where: Santa Cruz City Hall, 809 Center St.

At issue: Proposed moratorium on motorized scooters, bike share programs.
September 6, 2018

Natalia Duarte  
Finance Department  
809 Center Street Room #101  
Santa Cruz, CA  95060

Dear Natalia,

Attached is the Volunteer Center’s FY 2017-18 Transportation Program Final Report.

I would like to thank you, the City of Santa Cruz and the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission for your continued support of our program to serve vulnerable seniors in our community.

Sincerely,

Tara Ireland  
Senior Programs Director

CC:  Grace Blakeslee, Joanna Edmonds
Volunteer Center Transportation Program
Final Narrative 2017-2018

We surpassed our transportation goals in Santa Cruz and continue to receive a high volume of calls for rides. After a meeting with Lift Line, we came up with a way to fast track our registered clients to receive rides from Lift Line when our drivers were unable to fulfill requests. We also obtained CTSA Taxi Scripts from Lift Line for our clients who we are only able to transport one way to medical appointments.

All of our volunteer dispatchers have completed the computer training to coordinate rides for our program participants. We gained three new dispatchers that were also trained to use a standardized email template to communicate with drivers. We have slowly started using a "Google Voice" text number with our drivers who have smart phones.

Our Watsonville office increased the number of drivers and clients over the last quarter. They also added a volunteer office support person to help with office tasks and outreach materials. Outreach in Watsonville has significantly increased over the last three months. We are giving regular presentations throughout South County. Presentations at various Watsonville service clubs have been well received. In addition, we are participating in regular networking opportunities and luncheons to recruit drivers and educate people about our transportation program.

In San Lorenzo Valley we are increasing our efforts to recruit divers by scheduling presentations with businesses, clubs, schools and churches. We are also providing more match appointments that are bringing additional volunteers from SLV area. Our partnerships with the Highlands Senior Center and Scotts Valley Senior Center have led to an increase in calls about our services.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Santa Cruz</th>
<th>San Lorenzo Valey</th>
<th>Watsonville</th>
<th>Total YTD 2017-2018</th>
<th>Total YTD 2016-2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volunteers</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unduplicated Clients</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Rides</td>
<td>2851</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>4558</td>
<td>4278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ride Requests unable to fill</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfilled requests referred other agencies</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requests cancelled by client</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trip destinations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>1491</td>
<td>1482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping &amp; bank</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>1106</td>
<td>994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabrillo Stroke Center</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitals and therapy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convalescent homes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vista Center</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinshare Dialysis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1788</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>2617</td>
<td>2496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg ride length (YTD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Miles driven (YTD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>96,052</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Reimbursement (YTD)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$1,225</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Jurisdictions</th>
<th>FY18-17</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz City</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotts Valley City</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Lorenzo Valley</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitola City</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watsonville City</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midcounty Unincorporated</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South County</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North County</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Clients (unduplicated)</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin of Ride by Jurisdiction</th>
<th>FY18-17</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz City</td>
<td>1876</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotts Valley City</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Lorenzo Valley</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitola City</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watsonville City</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midcounty Unincorporated</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South County</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4558</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AGENDA:  October 9, 2018

TO: Elderly and Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee

FROM: Cory Caletti, Senior Transportation Planner

RE: City of Santa Cruz Article 8 Transportation Development Act Allocation Requests

---

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee recommend that the Regional Transportation Commission approve the City of Santa Cruz’s Article 8 FY 18/19 Transportation Development Act allocation claims for the following projects:

- West Cliff Drive Path Paving Phase 3 ($200,000); and
- San Lorenzo River Parkway Phase III/Trestle Trail ($200,000).

---

BACKGROUND

Each year the Regional Transportation Commission allocates Article 8 Transportation Development Account (TDA) funds to local jurisdictions for bikeway and pedestrian projects. TDA funds allocated to a local jurisdiction may be rolled over from one fiscal year to the next. TDA claims with bicycle amenities must be reviewed by the Bicycle Advisory Committee and those with pedestrian components must be reviewed by Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee prior to approval by the Regional Transportation Commission.

DISCUSSION

The City of Santa Cruz submitted a letter (Attachment 1) requesting $40,000 for Bikeway Striping and Minor Improvements, $200,000 for West Cliff Drive Path Paving Phase 3, and $200,000 for the San Lorenzo River Parkway Phase III/Trestle Trail. TDA claim forms were submitted, as per RTC requirements, for each allocation request. Additionally, the City of Santa Cruz is requesting that the unspent balance of $43,688 from the Pacific Avenue Contra-Flow Bike Lane project be returned to the unallocated budget, and that $2,522.59 from the Bike Parking Program also be returned to the unallocated budget.

The Elderly and Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee reviews TDA claims which include projects with pedestrian components. The West Cliff Drive and San Lorenzo River Parkway Phase III/Trestle Trail Project both include improvements to pedestrian facilities. The West Cliff Drive paving project will rehabilitate the section of the path between David Way and Swanton Blvd. The San Lorenzo River Parkway Phase III/Trestle Trail project will replace the existing walkway with a wider 10-foot wide trail for bicyclists and pedestrians. The TDA Claims for the West Cliff Drive and San Lorenzo River Parkway Phase III/Trestle Trail project are included as Attachment 2 and 3.
Staff recommends that the Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee recommend that the Regional Transportation Commission approve the City of Santa Cruz's allocation requests. The projects are consistent with the City’s Active Transportation Plan and the RTC’s Regional Transportation Plan.

**SUMMARY**

The City of Santa Cruz is requesting a TDA Article 8 allocation for Bikeway Striping and Minor Improvements ($40,000), West Cliff Drive Path Paving Phase 3 ($200,000), and the San Lorenzo River Parkway Phase III/Trestle Trail ($200,000). Additionally, the City of Santa Cruz is requesting that the unspent balance of $43,688 from the Pacific Avenue Contra-Flow Bike Lane project be returned to the unallocated budget, and that $2,522.59 from the Bike Parking Program also be returned to the unallocated budget. Staff recommends that the Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee recommend that the Regional Transportation Commission approve the City of Santa Cruz's allocation requests for the West Cliff Drive and San Lorenzo River Parkway Phase III/Trestle Trail Project.

**Attachments:**

1. City of Santa Cruz Article 8 TDA Allocation Request Letter for FY 18/19
2. TDA Claim Form for the West Cliff Drive Path Repaving Phase 3
3. TDA Claim Form for the San Lorenzo River Parkway Phase III/Trestle Trail

S:\GRANTS\TDA\Claims\CityofSantaCruz\2018\SR_E&DTAC_CityofSCTDAClaim_WestCliff&SLTrestle.docx
September 28, 2018

Mr. George Dondero
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (RTC)
1523 Pacific Avenue
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

RE: City of Santa Cruz – FY 2018-19 TDA Article 8 Allocation Request

Dear Mr. Dondero:

Please accept this letter as a FY 2018-19 TDA Article 8 allocation request for the following projects:

1. **Bikeway Striping and Minor Improvements ($40,000):** This project provides for the annual re-striping of the City’s 30 miles of bikeways, maintenance of bikeways and minor bikeway improvements. The higher allocation request (from previous years) results from the increasing number of green lanes. This project is entirely supported with TDA funds. The City’s pavement program also expends funds for bike lane striping through Measures H and D, and SB 1.

2. **West Cliff Drive Path Paving Phase 3 ($200,000):** This project provides for the rehabilitation of the path surface and edges between David Way and Swanton Blvd. TDA funds were allocated for the previously completed two phases and is the primary source of funding for the project.

3. **San Lorenzo River Parkway Phase III/Trestle Trail ($200,000):** This project replaces the narrow walkway on the San Lorenzo River Railroad Trestle with a 10-foot wide trail for pedestrians and bicyclists. This funding is in addition to the Measure D and State Natural Resource Agency funding and will assist with anticipated cost increases due to the current construction environment.
The City’s remaining unallocated balance will be used to match grant applications, under-funded projects, and future bikeway striping and parking projects.

As with all City claims, the City will commit to maintain any facilities provided with these funds for 20 years and will prepare all necessary environmental review for these projects. All of the projects above are consistent with the City’s Active Transportation Plan and the RTC’s Regional Transportation Plan.

Please call me at 420-5422 if you have any questions or need additional information.

Sincerely,

Christophe J. Schneiter
Assistant Public Works Director/City Engineer

Attachments: Claim Forms
cc: Transportation Manager (JB)
    Finance Department (CF)
Transportation Development Act (TDA) – Local Transportation Funds
CLAIM FORM
for Bike/Ped Projects

If you have any questions about this claim form or would like an electronic copy of the form, please contact the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission at 460-3200.

Project Information

1. Project Title: West Cliff Drive Path Paving Phase 3

2. Implementing Agency: City of Santa Cruz

3. Sponsoring Agency (if different) – must be a TDA Eligible Claimant:

4. TDA funding requested this claim: $200,000

5. Fiscal Year (FY) for which funds are claimed: FY 2018/2019

6. General purpose for which the claim is made, identified by the article and section of the Act which authorizes such claims: Article 8 Bicycle and/or Pedestrian Facility

7. Contact Person/Project Manager: Chris Schneiter, Assistant Director/City Engineer
   Telephone Number: 831-420-5422 E-mail: cschneiter@cityofsantacruz.com
   Secondary Contact (in event primary not available): Joshua Spangrud, Senior Engineer
   Telephone Number: 831-420-5178 E-mail: jspangrud@cityofsantacruz.com

8. Project/Program Description/Scope (use additional pages, if needed, to provide details such as work elements/tasks):

   Paving and minor widening of the multi-use path including repainting “Keep Right”.

9. Number of people to be served/anticipated number of users of project/program:

   The current path is heavily used, especially during the summer months. There are numerous complaints of insufficient width and poor pavement conditions for cyclists and pedestrians. Construction is planned to avoid the summer months.

10. Project Location/Limits (attach a map and/or photos if available/applicable, include street names):

    West Cliff Drive, between David Way and Swanton.

11. Justification for the project. (Why is this project needed? Primary goal/purpose of the project; problem to be addressed; project benefits; importance to the community):

    The repaved and slightly widened facility will allow safer and more convenient access for pedestrian
and cyclists. It is highly desirable to the community based on the input staff have received.

12. Consistency and relationship with the 2040 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) – please reference Project or Policy:

Project: SC-P83, City of Santa Cruz West Cliff Path Minor Widening
Plan Goals and Targets: 1A, 1C, 1Dii, 1F, 3B,

13. Measures of performance, success or completion to be used to evaluate project/program:

Bike and pedestrian counts before and after project installation will be used to measure change in ridership. Interviews will measure acceptance of the new facility.

14. Impact(s) of project on other modes of travel, if any (e.g., parking to be removed):

NA.

15. Project Cost/Budget, including other funding sources, and Schedule:

**Capital Projects – OR ATTACH PROJECT BUDGET**

**Project Start Date: September 2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCHEDULE (Month/Yr) Completion Date /</th>
<th>Planning</th>
<th>Environmental Engineering</th>
<th>Design/ Engineering</th>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Other *</th>
<th>Contingency</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost/Phase</td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDA Requested (this claim)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior TDA:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$150,000 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$150,000 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source 3:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source 4:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Please describe what is included in “Other”:

16. Preferred Method and Schedule for TDA fund distribution, consistent with the RTC Rules and Regulations (a. 90% prior to completion/10% upon completion; or b. 100% after completion):

100% after completion
17. TDA Eligibility:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES?/NO?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Has the project/program been approved by the claimant's governing body? Attach resolution to claim. (If &quot;NO,&quot; provide the approximate date approval is anticipated.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Has this project previously received TDA funding?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. For capital projects, have provisions been made by the claimant to maintain the project or facility, or has the claimant arranged for such maintenance by another agency for the next 20 years?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Has the project already been reviewed by the RTC Bicycle Committee and/or Elderly/Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee? (If &quot;NO,&quot; project will be reviewed prior to RTC approval).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. For &quot;bikeways,&quot; does the project meet Caltrans minimum safety design criteria pursuant to Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual? (Available on the internet via: <a href="http://www.dot.ca.gov">http://www.dot.ca.gov</a>).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Documentation to Include with Your Claim:**

**All Claims**
- A letter of transmittal addressed to the SCCRTC Executive Director that attests to the accuracy of the claim and all its accompanying documentation.
- Resolution from the TDA Eligible Claimant indicating its role and responsibilities.

**Article 8 Bicycle/Pedestrian Claims**
- Evidence of environmental review for capital projects: Exempt

**Local Agency Certification:**

I certify that the information provided in this form is accurate and correct. I understand that if the required information has not been provided this form may be returned and the funding allocation may be delayed.

Signature: [Signature]
Title: [Title]
Date: [Date]

This TDA Claim Form has been prepared in accordance with the SCCRTC's Rules and Regulations, and Caltrans TDA Guidebook (http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/MassTrans/State-TDA.html).
Transportation Development Act (TDA) – Local Transportation Funds
CLAIM FORM
for Bike/Ped Projects

If you have any questions about this claim form or would like an electronic copy of the form, please contact the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission at 460-3200.

Project Information

1. Project Title: San Lorenzo River Parkway Phase III/Trestle Trail Widening

2. Implementing Agency: City of Santa Cruz

3. Sponsoring Agency (if different) – must be a TDA Eligible Claimant:

4. TDA funding requested this claim: $200,000

5. Fiscal Year (FY) for which funds are claimed: FY 2018/2019

6. General purpose for which the claim is made, identified by the article and section of the Act which authorizes such claims: Article 8 Bicycle and/or Pedestrian Facility

7. Contact Person/Project Manager: Chris Schneiter, Assistant Director/City Engineer
   Telephone Number: 831-420-5422   E-mail: cschneiter@cityofsantacruz.com

   Secondary Contact (in event primary not available): Joshua Spangrud, Senior Engineer
   Telephone Number: 831-420-5178   E-mail: jspangrud@cityofsantacruz.com

8. Project/Program Description/Scope (use additional pages, if needed, to provide details such as work elements/tasks):

   Reconstruction of the San Lorenzo River Railroad Trestle walkway to increase the width from 4 feet to 10 feet and add new safety railing. The Eastern landing will be reconstructed to improve the transition to and from the new wider path.

9. Number of people to be served/anticipated number of users of project/program:

   The current path is heavily used, especially during the summer months. There are numerous complaints of insufficient width and joint separation on portions of the wood deck that impact cyclists and pedestrians. Construction is planned to avoid the summer months.

10. Project Location/Limits (attach a map and/or photos if available/applicable, include street names):

   San Lorenzo River Railroad Trestle, between Beach and East Cliff.

11. Justification for the project. (Why is this project needed? Primary goal/purpose of the project; problem to be addressed; project benefits; importance to the community):
The widened facility will allow safer and more convenient access for pedestrian and cyclists. It is highly desirable to the community based on the input staff have received over the years and the support received during the environmental phase.

12. Consistency and relationship with the 2040 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) – please reference Project or Policy:

Project: TRL 8a, City of Santa Cruz SLR Bike/Ped Trail at RR Bridge
Plan Goals and Targets: 1A, 1Bi, 1Bii, 1C, 1Dii, 1F, 3B,

13. Measures of performance, success or completion to be used to evaluate project/program:

Bike and pedestrian counts before and after project installation will be used to measure change in ridership. A new counter will be installed.

14. Impact(s) of project on other modes of travel, if any (ex. parking to be removed):

NA.

15. Project Cost/Budget, including other funding sources, and Schedule:

**Capital Projects – OR ATTACH PROJECT BUDGET**

Project Start Date: November 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Planning</th>
<th>Environmental</th>
<th>Design/ Engineering</th>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Contingency</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCHEDULE (Month/Yr)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completion Date /</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost/Phase</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,550,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$TDA Requested (this claim)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior TDA:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source 1: Measure D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source 2: State Natural Resource Agency</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$550,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Please describe what is included in “Other”:

16. Preferred Method and Schedule for TDA fund distribution, consistent with the RTC Rules and Regulations (a. 90% prior to completion/10% upon completion; or b. 100% after completion):
17. TDA Eligibility:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Has the project/program been approved by the claimant’s governing body? Attach resolution to claim. (If “NO,” provide the approximate date approval is anticipated.)</th>
<th>YES/NO?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. Has this project previously received TDA funding?</th>
<th>YES/NO?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C. For capital projects, have provisions been made by the claimant to maintain the project or facility, or has the claimant arranged for such maintenance by another agency for the next 20 years?</th>
<th>YES/NO?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D. Has the project already been reviewed by the RTC Bicycle Committee and/or Elderly/Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee? (If “NO,” project will be reviewed prior to RTC approval).</th>
<th>YES/NO?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E. For “bikeways,” does the project meet Caltrans minimum safety design criteria pursuant to Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual? (Available on the internet via: <a href="http://www.dot.ca.gov">http://www.dot.ca.gov</a>).</th>
<th>YES/NO?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Documentation to Include with Your Claim:**

**All Claims**

- X A letter of transmittal addressed to the SCCRTC Executive Director that attests to the accuracy of the claim and all its accompanying documentation.
- X Resolution from the TDA Eligible Claimant indicating its role and responsibilities.

**Article 8 Bicycle/Pedestrian Claims**

- X Evidence of environmental review for capital projects: IS/MND

---

**Local Agency Certification:**

I certify that the information provided in this form is accurate and correct. I understand that if the required information has not been provided this form may be returned and the funding allocation may be delayed.

Signature: 
Title: 
Date: 10/1/18

This TDA Claim Form has been prepared in accordance with the SCCRTC’s Rules and Regulations, and Caltrans TDA Guidebook (http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/MassTrans/State-TDA.html).
RECOMMENDATIONS

RTC staff recommends that the Elderly and Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee consider writing a letter in support of the County of Santa Cruz Active Transportation Plan grant application being developed by the County of Santa Cruz in partnership with Ecology Action.

BACKGROUND

California's Active Transportation Program (ATP) is a statewide grant program that encourages bicycling and walking, especially for children traveling to school and for residents of disadvantaged communities. Santa Cruz County has received ATP funding to support development of the Countywide Bicycle Signage Program and for preliminary design and environmental review for Segments 8 & 9 of the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail (MBSST). The E&D TAC recently supported ATP grant applications for the Westside of Santa Cruz Safe Routes to School Program and construction funding for Segment 8 & 9 of the MBSST. ATP funding is the primary source of State funding for bicycle and pedestrian improvements. Often projects identified as candidates for the ATP have been identified through a transportation planning study. Participating in planning efforts that review pedestrian needs within Santa Cruz County is one way that the Elderly and Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee (E&D TAC) can provide input on the pedestrian needs of seniors and people living with disabilities and help inform projects that may be considered for future funding.

DISCUSSION

Ecology Action is partnering with the County of Santa Cruz Public Works, the County of Santa Cruz Human Service Agency, and Bike Santa Cruz County to submit a grant to fund development of an Active Transportation Plan for facilities within the County of Santa Cruz unincorporated urban service area. The Active Transportation Plan will identify gaps and deficiencies in walking and bicycle infrastructure with a focus on facilities that connect major activity centers. Grant applications are due to Caltrans on November 30th, 2018.

SUMMARY

Ecology Action, in coordination with the County of Santa Cruz and Bike Santa Cruz County, is preparing a grant application to develop an Active Transportation Plan for pedestrian and bicycle facilities within the County of Santa Cruz unincorporated urban service area.
AGENDA: October 9, 2018

TO: Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee
FROM: Grace Blakeslee, Transportation Planner
RE: AMBAG Draft 2018 Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee provide input into the AMBAG Draft 2018 Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan (Attachment 1).

BACKGROUND

AMBAG is required to develop a Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan (Coordinated Plan) for the tri-county region. Under the federal Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act (2015), this plan must be completed and used in developing grant applications for the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Transportation for the Elderly Persons and Persons with Disabilities (Section 5310) grant program. The 5310 program provides formula funding to assist private non-profit groups and transit operators in meeting the transportation needs of the elderly and persons with disabilities when the transportation service provided is unavailable, insufficient, or inappropriate to meeting these needs. The funds are apportioned based on each State’s share of population for these groups of people and awarded to projects through a statewide competitive selection process. Funds may be used for capital or operating expenses.

DISCUSSION

The Coordinated Plan, as required by the FAST Act, must include the following elements:

1. An assessment of available services and current transportation providers (public, private, and non-profit);

2. An assessment of transportation needs for seniors and persons with disabilities;

3. Strategies, activities, and/or projects to address the identified gaps between current services and needs, as well as opportunities to achieve efficiencies in service delivery; and

4. Priorities for implementation based on resources (from multiple program sources), time, and feasibility for implementing specific strategies and/or activities.
The AMBAG Draft 2018 Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan (Attachment 1) is composed of five chapters.

- Chapter 1: Introduction- The introduction provides background on the plan, an overview of the federal legislation regarding the requirement of the plan, the coordination that occurred as part of the plan development process, and associated funding programs.

- Chapter 2: Transportation Services and Providers: This section provides a summary of available public, private, and non-profit transportation services throughout the region and within each county.

- Chapter 3: Human Services Transportation Needs- This section defines transportation disadvantaged people and special needs transportation. This section also examines demographic and economic factors relating to transportation in the region.

- Chapter 4: Unmet Needs Assessment-This chapter identifies the unmet needs for the elderly, disabled, and low-income in the tri-county area. Identification of these needs came largely from outreach with stakeholders throughout the region.

- Chapter 5: Implementation and Prioritization: Strategies to Fill Gaps-This section identifies potential projects to fulfill the unmet transit needs identified in the Unmet Needs section.

The AMBAG Draft 2018 Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan incorporates information from the RTC’s adopted 2018 Unmet Paratransit and Transit Needs list and considers input from RTC staff and other partner agencies.

**RTC staff recommends that the E&D TAC provide input on the AMBAG Draft 2018 Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan (Attachment 1).**

**SUMMARY**

AMBAG is required to develop a Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan (Coordinated Plan) for the tri-county region. Under the federal Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act (2015), this plan must be completed and used in developing grant applications for the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Transportation for Elderly Persons and Persons with Disabilities (Section 5310) grant program. The plan identifies local transit needs for the elderly, disabled, and low-income, and facilitates applications for the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5310 grant program. **RTC staff recommends that the E&D TAC provide input on the AMBAG Draft 2018 Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan (Attachment 1).**

Attachment 1: AMBAG Draft 2018 Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan
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Executive Summary

The Federal Transportation Authority defines a Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan (CPTP) as a “unified, comprehensive strategy for public transportation service delivery that identifies the transportation needs of individuals with disabilities, older adults, and individuals with limited incomes, and lays out strategies for meeting these needs, and prioritizes services.” The Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG), in collaboration with the Regional Transportation Planning Agencies (RTPAs) and public transit operators in the tri-county area, has produced the region’s CPTP. As the Metropolitan Planning Organization for the region and with guidance from the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) and the Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, AMBAG is required to produce this plan for incorporation into the region’s long-range Metropolitan Transportation Plan. The CPTP establishes project eligibility for federal funding under the Elderly Persons and Persons with Disabilities (Section 5310).

The Monterey Bay Region’s CPTP has been prepared collaboratively by AMBAG and its regional transportation partners. The Transportation Agency of Monterey County (TAMC); the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (SCCRTC); the Council of San Benito County Governments (SBtCOG); Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (Santa Cruz METRO); and Monterey–Salinas Transit (MST) have also worked with local transportation providers, community organizations and human service advocates, as well as members of the public to identify the existing gaps and needs in human service transportation.

This CPTP incorporates these needs and presents innovative implementation strategies for closing the gaps and improving the management of mobility services. Some of the reoccurring transit needs identified by the contributing agencies include expanding service to underserved locations and increasing the frequency and hours of operation of existing routes; providing same day paratransit services; establishing mobility management programs; replacing old vehicles; and providing transportation services to farmworkers.

Strategies for meeting these needs and the prioritization of projects planned for receiving future federal funding vary between Monterey, Santa Cruz and San Benito Counties given differences in existing resources and funding. However, a common theme emerging from the work and planning between AMBAG, the Regional Transportation Planning Agencies and the Public Transit Operators within the Monterey Bay Region is that there is an increasing need and importance for further coordination and consensus building among regional planning partners with regard to meeting the needs of the transportation disadvantaged.

AMBAG released the Draft CPTP on August 20, 2018 for a 45-day public review period. A summary of comments received are included in Appendix D. The final plan is expected to be approved by the AMBAG Board of Directors on November 14, 2018.
Chapter 1 - Introduction

Purpose of the Plan

The Monterey Bay Region Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan ("CPTP") is a planning document that identifies the transportation needs of seniors and individuals with disabilities and prioritizes strategies and projects to help meet their local transportation needs.

Federal transit law, as amended by Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21), requires that projects selected for funding under the Federal Transit Administration’s (FTA’s) Enhanced Mobility for Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities Program (referred to as Section 5310) be included in a locally developed Coordinated Plan. The Plan must be developed through a process that includes representatives of public, private and non-profit transportation and human services providers and participation by members of the public.

The four required elements are:

1. An assessment of available services and current transportation providers (public, private and non-profit);
2. An assessment of transportation needs for seniors and persons with disabilities. This assessment can be based on the experiences and perceptions of the planning partners or on more sophisticated data collection efforts, and gaps in service;
3. Strategies, activities, and/or projects to address the identified gaps between current services and needs, as well as opportunities to achieve efficiencies in service delivery; and
4. Priorities for implementation based on resources (from multiple program sources), time, and feasibility for implementing specific strategies and/or activities.

While MAP-21 does not define the term “coordinated plan,” the Federal Transportation Administration (FTA) defines coordinated plan as “a unified, comprehensive strategy for public transportation service delivery that identifies the transportation needs of individuals with disabilities, older adults and individuals with limited incomes, and lays out strategies for meeting these needs, and prioritizes services.”

The CPTP must be developed through a process that includes input from representatives of public, private, and non-profit transportation and human services providers, as well as the public. The preparation and implementation of this plan will improve coordination between transportation systems and providers in the Monterey Bay Region, and strengthen transportation services for those with special needs throughout Monterey, San Benito and Santa Cruz Counties.

The Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG), Caltrans District 5, Monterey-Salinas Transit (MST), San Benito Council of Governments (SBtCOG), Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation

---

1 Federal Register Notice (Vol. 71, No. 50), March 15, 2006, p. 13458.
Commission (SCRT), Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (Santa Cruz METRO) and the Transparency Agency of Monterey County (TAMC) have cooperated in the preparation of this plan.

These regional planning partners have coordinated efforts and provided numerous opportunities for interested parties and the public to participate in the creation of this plan. These outreach and consultation efforts are described and documented in the following sections, and involve activities conducted by each of the planning partners both collectively and individually to engage communities with an interest in the provision and accessibility of transportation services for the elderly, individuals with disabilities and low income individuals.

By covering a diverse set of transportation topics relevant to individual localities and the region as a whole, the CPTP provides an all-inclusive snapshot of the region’s available services and a comprehensive vision of special needs transportation in the future.

**Project Identification and Funding**

Transportation funding in California is complex. Federal and state formula and discretionary programs provide funds for transit and paratransit services. Sales tax revenues are also used for public transit purposes. Transportation funding programs are subject to rules and regulations that dictate how they can be used and applied for (or claimed) through federal, state and regional levels of government. Funds for social service transportation come from a variety of non-traditional transportation funding programs including both public and private sector sources.

Another complexity with federal funding programs is the local match requirements. Each federal program requires that a share of total program costs be derived from local sources, and may not be matched with other federal Department of Transportation funds. Examples of local matches which may be used for the local share include state or local appropriations; non-DOT federal funds, dedicated tax revenues, private donations, revenue from human service contracts, private donations and revenue from advertising and concessions. Non-cash funds such as donations, volunteer services, or in-kind contributions can be counted toward the local match as long as the value of each is documented and supported.

A review of federal, state and local funding programs for public transit agencies and social service providers is presented in Table 1-1 at the end of this chapter. The table shows funding programs and their purpose, how funds can be used, who is eligible to apply and other relevant information.

Funding for public transportation in rural California counties is dependent primarily on two sources of funds: Federal Section 5311 funds intended for rural areas, and TDA funds generated through State of California sales tax revenues. These two funding programs are described below. A brief overview is provided of other funding sources that are available for public transit and social service transportation.

**Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities Program (Section 5310)**

The Coordinated Plan will inform priorities and certify projects receiving funds authorized under both Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) (the previous federal transportation
funding authorization from 2012) and the Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act (2015). Planning requirements specific to the authorizations are described below. The FAST Act retains the same planning requirements identified under MAP-21 for the Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities Program (Section 5310). Section 5310 remains the only funding program with coordinated planning requirements under the FAST Act. In relation to the locally developed Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan, the FAST Act requires:

1. That projects selected are “included in a locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan.”
2. That the coordinated plan “was developed and approved through a process that included participation by seniors, individuals with disabilities, representatives of public, private, and nonprofit transportation and human service providers, and other members of the public.”
3. That “to the maximum extent feasible, the services funded will be coordinated with transportation services assisted by other Federal departments and agencies,” including recipients of grants from the Department of Health and Human Services.

As amended under MAP-21, the FAST Act continues to consolidate the previous 5310 program (Elderly and Disabled Program) and New Freedom program eligibilities into a single formula based program. The program is intended to enhance mobility for seniors and persons with disabilities by providing funds for programs to serve the special needs of transit-dependent populations beyond the traditional public transportation services and Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) complementary paratransit services.

In 2012, the passing of MAP-21 eliminated the Jobs Access Reverse Commute (JARC) program, formerly 5316, and transferred its functions into the 5307 and 5311 programs. MAP-21 also eliminated the New Freedom program (5317) and transferred its functions into the 5310 program.

Caltrans serves as the designated recipient for these funds for the state. As designated recipient, Caltrans is required to select projects for use of federal funds through a competitive process, and to certify that projects funded are included in the Coordinated Plan. The funds are apportioned based on each State’s share of the target populations and are apportioned to areas under 200,000, and large urbanized areas (over 200,000).

Projects selected for 5310 funding must be included in a locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan. Section 5310 program information is described below:

**ELIGIBLE RECIPIENTS AND SUBRECIPIENTS:**

- Designated Recipient or a State receiving a grant directly (for all areas over 200,000 in population).
- Subrecipients: states or local government authorities (for areas under 200,000 population), private non-profit organizations, or operators of public transportation that receive a grant indirectly through a recipient.
ELIGIBLE PROJECTS:

- Capital, Operating, Administration
- At least 55% of program funds must be used on capital projects that are public transportation projects planned, designed and carried out to meet the special needs of seniors and individuals with disabilities when public transportation is insufficient, inappropriate, or unavailable (Traditional 5310 Projects).
- The remaining 45% may be used for any other eligible purpose, including capital and operating expenses and New Freedom-type projects:
  - Public transportation projects that exceed the requirements of the ADA.
  - Public transportation projects that improve access to fixed route service and decrease reliance by individuals with disabilities on complementary paratransit.
  - Alternatives to public transportation that assist seniors and individuals with disabilities.
- At most, 10% is allowed for program administration.

STATEWIDE FUNDING FORMULA:

- 60% to designated recipients in urbanized areas with populations over 200,000.
- 20% to states for small urbanized areas (under 200,000 population).
- 20% to states for rural areas

FUNDING:

- Funds are apportioned for urban and rural areas based on the number of seniors and individuals with disabilities.
  - Federal share for capital projects (including acquisition of public transportation services) is 80%.
  - Federal share for operating assistance is 50%.

FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR FY2016-FY2020:

- Approximately $305 Billion over 5 years, including $281 billion in Highway Trust Fund programs
- Transit funding formula programs increased by 9 percent in FY 2016, about 2 percent thereafter
- Highway funding formula programs boosted 5.5 percent in FY 2016, about 2 percent thereafter
- Projects are funded 100% with Federal funds upon FTA approval of Transportation Development Credits (Toll Credits)
- FTA mandates that at least 55% of funding is used for vehicle and other equipment projects.
- FTA mandates that no more than 45% of funding be used for Operating Assistance and Mobility Management projects.

As a planning tool, the CPTP identifies a set of strategies and programs and establishes a framework for the prioritization of projects in the region seeking federal funding assistance. FTA now requires projects
funded through the programs listed below be “derived from a locally developed coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan.”

Elderly Persons and Persons with Disabilities funds are apportioned directly to the state based on a formula that accounts for the number of elderly persons and individuals with disabilities living in that state. These funds are distributed via a statewide competitive selection program and are eligible to be spent anywhere in the state, including urbanized areas.

As the designated recipient of these funds, Caltrans is responsible to define guidelines, develop application forms and establish selection criteria for a competitive selection process in consultation with its regional partners.

**Transportation Development Act (TDA)**

The California Transportation Development Act has two funding sources for each county or regional entity that are locally derived and locally administered: The Local Transportation Fund (LTF) and the State Transit Assistance Fund (STA).

- LTF revenues are recurring revenues derived from ¼ cent of the retail sales tax collected statewide. The ¼ cent is distributed to each county according to the amount of tax collected in that county. In counties with a population of less than 500,000 as of the 1970 US Census, TDA funds may be allocated under Article 8 for transit services or for local streets and roads, pedestrian or bicycle projects.
- Prior to approving TDA funds for purposes other than public transportation, specialized transportation or facilities for bicycles and pedestrians, the local transportation planning agency is expected to consult with its local STTAC and conduct an assessment of transit and determine whether there are unmet transit needs, and whether or not those needs are “reasonable to meet.” Each Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) is required to adopt definitions of “unmet transit need” and “reasonable to meet.” Any unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet must be funded before funds can be allocated for streets and roads.
- STA are revenues derived from sales taxes on gasoline and diesel fuels. STA is allocated annually by the local transportation commissions based on each region’s apportionment. Unlike LTF they may be allocated to other purposes, STA revenues may be used only for public transit or transportation services.

**Role of Consolidated Transportation Service Agencies (CTSAs)**

AB 120 authorized the establishment of CTSAs and recognizes them as direct claimants of Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 4.5 funds. CTSAs are designated by the RTPAs. Very little guidance exists as to expectations or roles of the CTSAs, but generally CTSAs assist with the coordination of paratransit services.

---

**State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)**

To receive state funding for capital improvement projects, such as new vehicles or other capital equipment, projects must be included in the State Transportation Improvement Program, or STIP. The STIP is a multi-year capital improvement program that includes projects programmed with state funds.

**Regional Centers**

While Regional Centers are nonprofit private corporations, they were established by state legislation. They receive public funds under contract to the California Department of Developmental Services to provide or coordinate services and support for individuals with developmental disabilities. There are 21 regional centers with more than 40 offices located throughout the state. The San Andreas Regional located in San Jose offers services and support for children and adults with developmental disabilities who live in San Benito, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz and Monterey Counties. Transportation is a critical component of Regional Centers because clients need specialized transportation services for traveling to and from sheltered workshops. It is the responsibility of each Regional Center to arrange its client’s transportation. Regional Centers are primarily funded with a combination of State General Fund tax dollars and Federal Medicaid funds. The primary contractual relationship is with the State Department of Developmental Services.

**Private Foundations**

Many small agencies that target low income populations are eligible for foundation grants. Typically, foundation grants are highly competitive and require significant research to identify foundations appropriate for transportation of the targeted populations.

**Service Clubs and Fraternal Organizations**

Organizations such as the Rotary Club, Soroptimists, Kiwanis and Lions often pay for special projects. For transportation, they might pay for or help contribute toward the cost of a new vehicle or a bus bench or shelter near senior citizen housing. These organizations might also pay for trip reimbursement for after school or childcare.

**Employers**

Employers are sometimes willing to underwrite transportation in order to fill their labor needs. Employers sometimes contribute to transportation programs such as a flex route night bus, a subsidized carsharing program, or a shuttle or vanpool to their employment site. In the AMBAG region, some social service agencies pay for transportation for their clients by buying bus tickets in bulk and handing them out to their clients.
Chapter 2 – Transportation Services and Providers

Introduction
This section provides detailed descriptions of the transportation services and specific providers at the regional and county level. Transportation services represent all forms of transportation provided through demand-response communication. The following pages describe the transportation services provided within the region and by each county.

Service providers can be a public, private or nonprofit agency and will typically focus their services to meet the transportation needs of specific, underserved populations. Service providers include, in addition to service operators, members of advisory committees and task forces that influence and shape policies and programs devoted to improving transportation accessibility for the elderly, individuals with disabilities and low income.

Local Advisory Committees
There are a number of advisory committees that weigh in on all aspects of transportation issues and services involving older adults, people with disabilities and people with limited means. The local advisory committees conduct public meetings where specialized transportation service agencies and interested parties voice their transportation concerns and needs. This section identifies transit committees in each county.

Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)
The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990 stipulates that individuals with disabilities are entitled to fixed route transit services and/or complementary paratransit services.

Fixed Route Transit
Passengers access transportation vehicles at permanent stops with pre-scheduled, designated routes which are usually supported by printed timetables and schedules. These transit services do not deviate from their designated route or time services.

Paratransit Services
The term “paratransit” describes a transportation service that is more flexible and personalized than conventional, fixed route transit. Some examples of paratransit services include shared ride taxis, car and vanpooling, subscription bus services and other public entities. Public transit agencies, community groups or not-for-profit corporations and for-profit private companies or operators can all operate paratransit services.

Since elderly and individuals with disabilities are more likely to experience difficulties accessing fixed bus routes independently, given physical, mental, or age-related impairments, Section 223 of ADA requires
that public entities operating non-commuter fixed route transportation services also provide paratransit service for individuals unable to use the fixed route system if:

- The individual is unable to access fixed route service independently, due to his or her disability
- The fixed route service is not accessible to the individual
- The individual has a special physical or mental impairment in which interaction with a barrier prevents getting to or from a bus stop or rail station.

ADA-compliant paratransit service by public transit operators within the region occurs within a ¾ mile service buffer around fixed route bus service, as shown in Figure 2-1 on the following page.

Shared Van

Vans provide transportation for a number of people who travel along the same route, or to and from the same location on a regular basis. Vanpools are organized and operated by public and private agencies, including employers, hospitals, non-profits and individuals. The vans are owned by, loaned or leased to the service provider.

Vans can be outfitted with lifts or other equipment to carry individuals using mobility assistance devices. Vans in the Monterey Bay region are owned and/or operated by both public and non-profit agencies as well as private for profit companies.

Private Taxicab Services

Taxi services exist in each county, but most companies operate within the county or local jurisdiction only, and the extent of special needs service for all private taxis vary. Examples of private transportation services include Yellow Cab Santa Cruz which operates taxis, vans, minivans and paratransit vehicles, with trips to regional airports, and has low-cost rider tickets, Monterey Yellow Cab which also offers discount trips for senior citizens and Medical Appointments Made Easy which transports individuals to medical destinations. A full list of service providers can be found in Appendix A.
A. Regional

At the regional level, AMBAG will continue to work with the RTPAs and service providers to further coordinate the schedules, service zones, connections and programs among fixed route, paratransit and non-profit transportation services in an effort to improve accessibility, increase participation, ease constraints and provide more efficient service. All agencies in the plan are working to meet the goals of California Assembly Bill 32 (AB 32), the Global Warming Solutions Act, which requires statewide greenhouse gas emissions are at 1990 levels by 2020. Many transportation agencies, including Santa Cruz Metro, are replacing diesel and gasoline fleet vehicles with natural gas buses to meet this and other clean vehicle goals.

Fixed Route Transit

GREYHOUND BUS LINES

This intercity bus transportation agency provides a 10 percent discount to seniors over 62 and a 50 percent discount for any attendant traveling with the senior. If the senior citizen is handicapped, the assistant travels on the bus as an aide for free. Buses travel between Monterey and Santa Cruz connect with San Benito’s County Express in Gilroy and provide low cost transportation to other parts of the state and country.

AMTRAK

Amtrak connector bus service travels through Monterey and Santa Cruz Counties, providing service for residents traveling for leisure or business on over one-hundred intercity trains and connecting buses in California. Amtrak passenger rail services include: the Coast Starlight, running from Seattle, Washington to Los Angeles; the Capitol Corridor, providing service between San Jose and Sacramento; and the Pacific Surfliner, offering service along the coast between San Luis Obispo and Los Angeles.

Amtrak trains accommodate individuals in wheelchairs by providing bridge plates, station board lifts, and ramps between station platforms and train cars. The trains also allow travel for individuals with disabilities with accompanying trained service animals.

Non-Profit Organizations

THE CENTRAL COAST ALLIANCE FOR HEALTH, (THE ALLIANCE)

The Alliance is a non-profit health plan which provides health services for 90,000 low income patients in Santa Cruz and Monterey Counties. About 95 percent of the agency’s members receive Medi-Cal, with the remainder enrolled in plans offered by Healthy Families, Healthy Kids, or Alliance Care IHSS, which provides insurance coverage to in-home healthcare service caregivers. The Alliance is an example of a regional non-profit agency that accommodates elderly, individuals with disabilities, and low income Central California residents. For instance, members who use wheelchairs may qualify for rides, as will those who require an ambulance to move them from one care facility to another. The agency has an annual operating budget of $230 million and gets the bulk of that money from the state. Transportation
services offered by the Alliance are limited to medical trips only and are contracted to non-profit and/or private transportation providers.

**The Central Coast Center for Independent Living (CCCIL)**

CCCIL is part of the nationwide network of Centers of Independent Living, providing a diverse set of services for people with disabilities with the mission of supporting their equal and full participation in community life. Serving all three counties within the Monterey Bay region, CCCIL provides clients with information and referral services regarding transportation access and mobility. They also work with the county RTPAs to advocate programs and policies improving accommodation and accessibility for the communities with disabilities.

**Seniors Council’s Foster Grandparent/Senior Companion Program**

The Seniors Council’s Foster Grandparent/Senior Companion program in a region-wide volunteer program that places low income seniors in a variety of different community sites, including public schools, day care facilities, family shelters, and hospitals. Senior Companions provide companionship and light respite care to frail elders at adult day care facilities and assisted living facilities to enable them to live independently in their homes.
B. Monterey County

Agencies and Councils

Transportation Agency for Monterey County (TAMC)

There are 23 members of TAMC, with local officials from 12 cities and five supervisor districts, and ex-officio members from six public agencies. TAMC is dedicated to the development and maintenance of “a multimodal transportation system that enhances mobility, safety, access, environment quality, and economic activities in Monterey County.” TAMC is an instrumental and dynamic force for assessing the concerns and continuance of numerous transportation systems of Monterey, including freeways, expressways, bike and pedestrian paths, and bus routes.

TAMC testifies that the social and institutional barriers that restrict the service areas of transportation programs include language differences, age, and lack of knowledge about available resources to elderly and those with disabilities. TAMC staff members are committed to contacting such organizations about the financial options for these services and to investigating local transportation agencies in “unincorporated” areas that might increase special needs services. They provide non-profit accessible transportation providers with information regarding federal and state grants and other means of financing their operations.

Mobility Advisory Committee (MAC)

Monterey-Salinas Transit’s Mobility Advisory Committee (MAC) now serves as the Transportation Agency’s Social Services Transportation Advisory Committee (SSTAC). The MAC is comprised of consumers and medical/social service agency personnel who have first-hand experience using our services and/or in assisting others to do so. The MAC provides advice and recommendations on improving these services to the MST staff and board of directors. The MAC both advocates on behalf of the elderly and disabled populations of Monterey County, while studying the transportation services at their disposal. The committee holds public hearings and conducts online surveys in order to gather and analyze evidence of any unmet needs that concern the transit options for the elderly and individuals with disabilities. The MAC hosts the annual Unmet Transit Needs Hearing, a county requirement under the California Transportation Development Act.

Fixed Route Transit

Monterey-Salinas Transit (MST)

Monterey-Salinas Transit serves a 280 square-mile area of Monterey County and Southern Santa Cruz County. Line #55 also provides service from Monterey County to San Jose.

---

3 http://www.tamcmonterey.org/committees/tamc/index.html
Paratransit

THE MONTEREY-SALINAS TRANSIT (MST) RIDES

MST RIDES grants ADA complementary paratransit transportation to individuals with disabilities that prevent them from using fixed route systems independently. The program provides service throughout the Monterey Peninsula to Carmel, Carmel Valley, Salinas, Chualar, Gonzales, Greenfield, Soledad, King City and to the Watsonville Transit Center.

Currently, the MST RIDES program gives eligible individuals with disabilities “last-door-to-first-door” transportation in order to accommodate transit opportunities for those who cannot use a fixed route service independently within ¾ miles of an MST route.

Special non-ADA required paratransit service is also provided for registered MST RIDES clients living outside of the ¾ mile ADA corridors.

Special Medical Trips

THE MONTEREY-SALINAS TRANSIT (MST)

Monterey-Salinas Transit (MST) Special Medical Trips service provides medical transportation four days per month; two days to the San Jose area and two days to the San Francisco area. The program is open to all Monterey County residents. To ride, you must make a reservation. Reservations are on a first-come, first-served basis, and must be made by 5:00 PM three days before you plan to travel. Cancellations must also be made before 5:00 PM one day before your reserved trip. Failure to cancel by 5:00 PM may jeopardize future riding privileges. The round-trip fare is $20 payable in cash or with MST Special Medical Trips tickets. Your personal care attendant (PCA) rides free when registered with MST. Other companions can ride when space is available for the $20 fare. There are no discounts offered for this program.

For residents of King City, Greenfield, Soledad, and Gonzales, only designated stops will be served when requested and prior to the 9:00AM departure from Salinas Transit Center.

Non-Profit Organizations

HOPE SERVICES

Hope services serves Monterey County with a range of employment and job training programs, developmental activities, professional counseling, infant services, senior services, supported and independent living services, and mobility training for approximately 3,000 children, adults and seniors. Many of HOPE’s clients work in the community at both large and small Silicon Valley companies, as well as government facilities throughout Santa Clara, San Benito, San Mateo, Santa Cruz and Monterey Counties. In order to make these services accessible, HOPE has a partnership with San Andreas Regional Center, which provides vans that transport clients to training and activities at HOPE’s office. MV Transit also contracts with SARC for transportation.

THE BLIND AND VISUALLY IMPAIRED CENTER OF MONTEREY COUNTY

The Blind and Visually Impaired Center of Monterey County customizes services to the person’s specific needs, goals and abilities by giving the visually impaired population access to “client instructors.” Instructors give clients information about transportation services that accommodate their specific condition. A Spanish-speaking interpreter is available by appointment.
**Shelter Outreach Plus**

Shelter Outreach Plus is a non-profit in Monterey County that collaborates with local organizations, coalitions, leaders, and volunteers to educate and inform the general public and help shape policies affecting victims of domestic violence and those experiencing homelessness. More specifically, Shelter Outreach Plus helps individuals transition into community life and meet basic needs by assisting with employment and transportation options. The agency is therefore of particular support to low income individuals that lack transit options due to financial status and undetermined housing accommodations.

**Taxi Voucher Program**

The Taxi Voucher Program is a service of MST in partnership with the various cities and community service departments in the County as well as senior centers, and offer $14 vouchers with a $3 co-pay for individuals over 65.

**County Veterans' Van Program**

Free van transportation may be scheduled for transportation of Monterey County veterans to the VA Medical Center in Palo Alto and the San Jose VA Outpatient Clinic. Veterans may access medical centers by contacting the Monterey County Veterans Affairs Office.

*List of Providers*

A summary of the provider list is included in Appendix A.
C. San Benito County

Agencies and Councils

COUNCIL OF SAN BENITO COUNTY GOVERNMENTS (SBtCOG)

The Council of San Benito County Governments was formed in 1973 through a Joint Powers Agreement between the Cities of Hollister and San Juan Bautista and the County of San Benito. The Council of Government is the regional transportation planning agency and is committed to improving transportation for San Benito County. Some examples of its efforts are the Highway 25 Bypass, funding public transportation, and providing emergency roadside call boxes. The Council of Governments Board of Directors consists of City Council members from the Cities of Hollister and San Juan Bautista and the Board of Supervisors from the County. The Council of Governments Board meets every 3rd Thursday at 3:00 p.m. at 481 4th Street, Hollister, CA at the Board of Supervisors Chambers.

COUNCIL OF SAN BENITO GOVERNMENTS SOCIAL SERVICES TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COUNCIL (SSTAC)

SSTAC consists of members appointed by SBtCOG from a broad spectrum of social services and transit providers, advising SBtCOG on matters related to transportation accessibility for the elderly, individuals with disabilities, and persons of limited means. The Advisory Council strives to achieve balanced geography and minority representation by having ten members from social service organizations, the consolidated transportation service agency, and members from the community. The Advisory Council meets bi-monthly on the 4th Friday at 9:30 a.m. at 330 Tres Pinos Road, Suite C7, Hollister CA at the Council of Governments Conference Room.

SAN BENITO COUNTY LOCAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY (LTA)

Formed in 1990 through a Joint Powers Agreement, the Local Transportation Authority receives a variety of funds through the SBtCOG. The Authority administers and operates public transportation services in the County through County Express and Jovenes de Antaño. The Authority’s Board of Directors consists of City Council members from the Cities of Hollister and San Juan Bautista and the Board of Supervisors from the County. The Board meets every 3rd Thursday at 3:00 p.m. at 481 4th Street, Hollister, CA at the Board of Supervisors Chambers.

SBtCOG is required by the guidelines of the Transportation Development Act to hold Unmet Transit Needs Hearings, and these hearings are held annually, usually around February. The hearings provide a forum for residents, transit users, and community members to express concerns with the local transit service (County Express and Jovenes de Antaño) and identify needs for new transit services. An example of commentary from a recent Unmet Needs Hearing is listed in the “Unmet Needs” section of the Coordinated Plan.

Fixed Route Transit

SAN BENITO COUNTY EXPRESS

Under the name County Express, the San Benito County Local Transportation Authority operates the largest public transit service in the County. County Express provides convenient and affordable transportation to residents and visitors to San Benito County. Services include:

- Three Fixed Route Services to the City of Hollister
- Dial-A-Ride and Paratransit Services to the Cities of Hollister, San Juan Bautista, and Tres Pinos
Intercounty service connecting Cities of Hollister and San Juan Bautista to Santa Clara County in Gilroy

The Intercounty Service allows County Express customers to connect to other public transit services such as: Valley Transportation Authority (VTA), Monterey-Salinas Transit (MST), Caltrain, Greyhound and AMTRAK in Gilroy.

In addition to administering and operating County Express, the Authority partners with the Council of Governments for San Benito County Rideshare Program. The Rideshare Program promotes alternative modes of commuting by providing valuable information regarding alternative commute options, including carpooling and vanpools, to residents and workers in San Benito County. Besides environmental benefits, these alternative modes of transportation assist low income residents and workers in traveling to and from work.

There is limited taxi service available through Hollister Taxi for residents and visitors to San Benito County.

**Paratransit**

In fiscal year 2017/2018, County Express provided 17,824 para transit trips and 1,817 lift assisted trips. Paratransit services are provided for individuals with disabilities that have difficulty accessing the fixed route bus stops. Paratransit eligibility is determined by the Authority through a simple application process and is valid for three years. Paratransit services may be scheduled up to 14 days in advance and on the same day. Same day service is subject to a convenience fee and availability. The Paratransit application form may be downloaded at www.SanBenitoCountyExpress.org or requested at 330 Tres Pinos Road, C7, Hollister, CA 95023.

**Intelligent Transportation Systems and Technology**

The LTA has minimal Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) and technology due to funding constraints available on County Express and Specialized Transportation fleet and services. ITS that the LTA has or in the process of implementing includes the following:

- Fixed Route and Intercounty routes and schedules available on Google Transit
- Paratransit Dispatch Software
- Upgraded Digital On-Board Radios with GPS

**Non-Profit Organizations**

**JOVENES DE ANTAÑO**

Since May of 1975, Jovenes de Antaño (Youth of Yesteryear) has been dedicated to improving the general welfare of elderly and individuals with disabilities of San Benito County. They provide a wide variety of services that include the following:

- Senior nutrition services
- Meals on Wheels
- Adult Day Care Center
- Family caregiver support program

In addition to these services, Jovenes de Antaño is contracted by San Benito County Local Transportation Authority to provide out-of-county non-emergency transportation, medical and shopping assistance program and transportation to its senior lunch program. The fares for the Out-of-County Non-
Emergency Medical Transportation and the Medical Shopping Assistance Program fares are in the table below. There is no fare for transportation to the senior lunch program.

*List of Non-Profit Providers*

A summary of the provider list is included in Appendix A.
D. Santa Cruz County

Agencies and Councils

Santa Cruz County Regional Transit Commission (SCCRC)

The SCCRTC, as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency, is required to perform a number of oversight functions regarding the planning, funding and provision of transportation for seniors, low income individuals and people with disabilities.

SCCRTC Elderly and Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee (E/D TAC)

The Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee is a group of transportation providers, social service agencies and members of the public who meet every two months to determine planning, funding and policy for specialized transportation to serve Santa Cruz County's seniors and people with physical and/or economic disabilities. The E/D TAC develops the first draft of the Unmet Specialized Transportation Needs list and develops an Annual Report to outline work tasks to be pursued. This committee monitors and plans for the entire network of specialized transportation services in Santa Cruz County and advises SCCRTC, as well as other decision makers, on related issues.

Santa Cruz METRO Advisory Committee (MAC)

This committee is an advisory body to the Santa Cruz METRO Board of Directors on transit and paratransit issues. This committee took the place of the Metro Users Group (MUG) and the Santa Cruz METRO Accessible Services Transit Forum (MASTF).

SCCRTC Transit and Paratransit Unmet Needs Hearings

SCCRTC voluntarily adopts a list of unmet transit needs annually. The most recent adoption occurred in May 2018 and included needs identified by the E/D TAC, the Santa Cruz METRO Advisory Committee, and the Santa Cruz METRO Board. Sixty-nine general, paratransit/specialized, and transit transportation concerns were identified and prioritized. The highest priorities advocate more funding for safety improvements in transport for seniors, low income/welfare recipients, and residents with disabilities, including improvements in travel paths and bus facilities. The medium-level concerns concentrate on specialized services, such as audio-visual surveillance systems, multi-ride discount cards, and Braille inscriptions for bus transport. Lower priorities include improving the Consolidated Transportation Services Agency office and expanding transit options between Monterey, Santa Cruz, San Benito and Santa Clara Counties.

The Unmet Needs Hearing highlighted specific mandates under FTA Section 5310. High priority needs identified the shortage of projects and programs that serve individuals with disabilities and the elderly. The Unmet Needs process and discussion with service providers identified a number of needs for transportation services to and from training, employment and childcare services to low income individuals. In addition there were many needs for new public transportation services beyond those required by the Americans with Disabilities Act that would assist individuals with disabilities to assess transportation services.
Fixed Route Transit

**SANTA CRUZ METROPOLITAN TRANSIT DISTRICT (METRO)**

Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District is served by the Santa Cruz METRO bus system. The vehicle features and facilities accommodate almost all residents in Santa Cruz. For example, ramp equipment permits a secure ascent on and descent off buses for persons with wheelchairs, and those unable to walk up and down stairs. In addition, buses include a “kneeling” device that reduces the stepping distance on and off buses. “Priority seating” is available for passengers in wheelchairs.

Totally or partially blind, deaf/hearing impaired and persons with disabilities have the right to be accompanied on a transit bus by a guide, signal, or service dog that is especially trained for this purpose. In the bus, stops are announced by an electronic enunciator for the total or partially blind. Scrolling signs in the front of the bus assist the hearing impaired. Every person with a disability also has the right to be accompanied by one attendant who rides for free. Santa Cruz METRO conducts “Mobility Training” with free instruction to seniors and people with disabilities who want instruction and assistance riding the bus. In addition, fare discounts are offered for individuals with disabilities and seniors.

Santa Cruz METRO runs express buses frequently and has recently improved service from Watsonville to Santa Cruz where riders can connect with the Hwy 17 express. This provides improved inter-city service to urban and rural residents, and connections with Santa Clara County for inter-regional trips.

*Paratransit*

Paratransit, shared-ride and door-to-door transportation services are offered by a number of service providers in Santa Cruz County. The two main providers are Santa Cruz METRO ParaCruz and Community Bridges Lift Line.

**SANTA CRUZ METRO PARACRUZ**

Santa Cruz METRO ParaCruz is the ADA-required service that complements Santa Cruz METRO’s regular fixed route bus service to origins and destinations within ¼ mile of existing bus routes. ParaCruz accommodates individuals who are unable to independently use fixed route buses due to a disability some or all of the time, and who are eligible under ADA 1990. ParaCruz highlights the population of its riders who have disabilities as a result of permanent or temporary physical, cognitive, or psychiatric disabilities, and meet the specific qualifications:

- Individuals who, because of their disability, cannot independently board, ride, or disembark from any accessible vehicle
- Individuals with impairment-related conditions that prevent them from getting to or from a boarding or disembarking location
- Visitors who have been certified by another transit system than ADA are eligible for 21 days of service per 365 day period

It should be noted that Santa Cruz METRO ParaCruz also accommodates pick-ups at the Watsonville Transit Center for inter-regional paratransit trips.
COMMUNITY BRIDGES

Community Bridges is a 30 year old non-profit, whose goal for the Lift Line transportation program is to provide "Transportation for Independent Living." Nearly 100,000 door-to-door rides per year are provided to county residents who have disabilities, elderly or frail by both the in-house Lift Line program and by contract with private operators. The majority of rides are to senior meal sites, medical destinations and “safety net” transportation to seniors and people with disabilities who need specialized transportation to origins or destinations outside the ParaCruz service area or eligibility criteria. For nearly three decades this agency has been the designated Consolidated Transportation Services Agency in Santa Cruz County.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA CRUZ TRANSPORTATION AND PARKING SERVICES DEPARTMENT (TAPS)

The University of California, Santa Cruz’s Transportation and Parking Services Department (TAPS) provides a Disability Van Service, a complimentary paratransit service for individuals with permanent or temporary disabilities to access the UCSC campus and fully participate in the UCSC campus environment.

Non-Profit Organizations

Agencies such as the American Red Cross, the Mental Health Client Action Network and others provide specialized transportation services to clients that meet their eligibility requirements. The Volunteer Center uses volunteer drivers to provide rides and companionship to many of the region’s frail elderly residents who are not eligible for other transportation services.

List of Providers

A summary of the provider list is included in Appendix A.
Chapter 3 - Human Service Transportation Needs

Many people believe that individuals with special transportation needs are only those with disabilities or wheelchair users. In fact, the term “transportation disadvantaged” covers a much larger spectrum. Transportation disadvantaged people, otherwise known as individuals with special transportation needs, are those who are unable to transport themselves due to their age, income, or health condition. According to the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), “transport dependent or transit disadvantaged shall include, but not be limited to, the elderly, individuals with disabilities and persons of limited means.” A transportation disadvantaged person may have different types of transportation requirements. Examples of transit dependent user could include a frail elderly woman trying to get to a specialized health center or an evening concert, a veteran traveling to VA medical centers or a visually impaired individual with a guide dog traveling to visit his parents.

Special needs transportation is any mode of transportation used by those defined as transportation disadvantaged or with a special transportation need. This includes buses that have regular stops, such as: fixed route transit for the general public; specialized services such as vans, ambulances and taxis that pick up people at the curb or door; demand response or dial-a-ride; volunteer driver services; or any federal, state, and local publicly funded transportation. The different agencies providing these special transportation services largely fit into a number of categories: human service transportation, public transit, and student transportation services. These designations, however, do not adequately describe the variety of providers or the diversity of people they serve.

In this planning effort, the intent is to use the widest possible interpretation of special needs transportation. This includes transportation services funded and provided by the following:

- County and local human service departments including programs for children, the elderly, and disability populations
- Public transit
- For-profit and non-profit contractors

---

A. Regional

Approximately 740,780 people currently live in the Monterey Bay Region, and up to 37 percent of the population may merit special transportation services because of their potential inability to drive due to a disability, age or income status.\(^5\) Based on the California Department of Finance (DOF) and American Community Survey estimates, of the total population in the region almost nine percent has one or more disabilities, nearly 13 percent is seniors, defined as persons over the age of 65 years, and 15 percent is considered Below the Poverty Line.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Population</th>
<th>Seniors</th>
<th>Percent Seniors</th>
<th>Disabled</th>
<th>Percent Disabled</th>
<th>Below Poverty Line</th>
<th>Percent Below Poverty Line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monterey County</td>
<td>413,350</td>
<td>50,269</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>37,648</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>66,289</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Benito County</td>
<td>57,892</td>
<td>6,418</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>5,444</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>6,224</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td>269,538</td>
<td>36,208</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>26,982</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>39,211</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>740,780</td>
<td>92,895</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>70,274</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>111,724</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Percentage of Elderly, Disabled and Low income based on DOF 2018 and American Community Survey 2012-2016 data. Some individuals are in multiple population groups and may be double counted; i.e. a senior with a disability.*

The Department of Finance (DOF) also provides forecast data for each county within the region. Table 3-2 below provides information regarding the population trends for different senior citizen age cohorts within Monterey, Santa Cruz and San Benito Counties for the years 2020, 2030, 2035 and 2040. In 2020, Santa Cruz County is expected to have the largest proportion of its population (18%) be senior citizens within the region.\(^6\) By 2040, 25 percent of Santa Cruz County’s population will be over the age of 65 years, as shown in Figure 3-1.

---

\(^5\) The California Department of Finance: E-5 City/County Population Estimates and 2012-2016 American Community Survey


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2040</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monterey</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>454,599</td>
<td>489,001</td>
<td>504,523</td>
<td>518,441</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-69</td>
<td>21,582</td>
<td>22,821</td>
<td>22,877</td>
<td>24,406</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-84</td>
<td>35,915</td>
<td>54,889</td>
<td>59,084</td>
<td>60,841</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>8,924</td>
<td>12,675</td>
<td>18,083</td>
<td>23,713</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>San Benito</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>60,067</td>
<td>66,693</td>
<td>70,117</td>
<td>73,432</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-69</td>
<td>3,186</td>
<td>3,903</td>
<td>3,844</td>
<td>3,337</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-84</td>
<td>4,787</td>
<td>8,176</td>
<td>9,451</td>
<td>10,157</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>1,016</td>
<td>1,632</td>
<td>2,371</td>
<td>3,259</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Santa Cruz</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>282,627</td>
<td>301,494</td>
<td>309,176</td>
<td>315,659</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-69</td>
<td>18,295</td>
<td>17,071</td>
<td>15,967</td>
<td>14,802</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-84</td>
<td>27,388</td>
<td>45,208</td>
<td>46,982</td>
<td>45,494</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>5,132</td>
<td>8,464</td>
<td>13,411</td>
<td>18,275</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data from Department of Finance: P-2: County Population Projections (2010-2060)*
Across all three counties there are more female seniors than males as Figure 3-2 demonstrates. Using American Community Survey Data 2012-2016, the largest disparity between genders of those 65 years and older was in Monterey County, which had over 5,000 more female seniors than males. Santa Cruz County also shows a great difference between male and female senior populations with nearly 3,300 more females.
As to be expected, a greater proportion (34% Monterey, 38% San Benito and 29% Santa Cruz) of the senior community, ages 65 years and older, have one or more disabilities compared to the general population (9%, 9% and 10% respectfully) as shown in Figure 3-3.

Among those identified individuals falling below the poverty line, seniors make up approximately 7 percent of the low income population, with San Benito having a slightly higher percentage (9%) Monterey and Santa Cruz both match the regional percentage (7%) as show in Figure 3-4 below.
In addition to the data above collected from the 2010 Census, the American Community Survey (ACS) conducts annual research on population demographics related to aging, income and disabilities in counties with a population of over 65,000 people. The survey delineates income and disabilities by age factors showing how some elderly individuals have more than one special need for an increase in transit options.
B. Monterey County

Monterey County’s topography and geographical reach presents some challenges to the provision of fixed line transit services due to the preponderance of rural agricultural land uses over much of the county interspaced with much more dense municipalities. A number of demographic characteristics have been shown to predict the value and propensity in using transportation services, including population density, the elderly, households with children, physical and/or mental disability, poverty-level income and private vehicle ownership.

The ACS indicates that 12 percent of Monterey residents are over 65 years, and 16 percent of this population lives below the poverty line. The majority of those with the greatest economic need reside in Salinas, Marina, Seaside, the Salinas Valley cities and the unincorporated communities throughout the county. For those who live in the County’s more affluent areas, such as Pebble Beach, Carmel-by-the-Sea, Carmel Valley, Monterey and Pacific Grove, the rising costs of transportation, health care, food, and other standard costs of living place many of these elderly in a “land rich, cash poor” situation. This economic scenario highlights the necessity for local governments, as well as public and private organizations, to offer programs that will help to address the specific transportation needs of all of special needs groups in Monterey County, including the elderly, persons with disabilities, and low income or transportation disadvantaged populations.
C.  San Benito County

San Benito County is a 1,391 square mile bedroom community to Silicon Valley with $367 million agricultural production annually. According to 2016 Census projections, San Benito County will have an approximate population of 57,892. Having a low population in a large geographic area creates a great challenge for the County to meet all the transportation needs for its residents in a safe, efficient and reliable manner.

Since over 30 percent of San Benito County’s population may be deemed as transit dependent, public transit services provided by County Express and Jovenes de Antaño are vital to the County’s mobility. These two public transit services allow transit dependents to make lifeline trips, such as: transportation to medical services, social services, education and employment. In addition to providing mobility for the transit dependent, County Express’ Intercounty Line improves the quality for a large percentage of the population commuting out of the County for work by providing commute alternatives to driving alone.
D. Santa Cruz County

The County of Santa Cruz encompasses approximately 445.2 square miles with an average density of around 605 people per square mile and total population of 269,538, concentrated in two urban areas (Santa Cruz and Watsonville), with unincorporated and rural areas in between. From the far north county, hugging the California coast up to Davenport (population ~310) and Freedom/ Corralitos to the south (~5,327) to the urban cities and municipalities of Scotts Valley (~11,784), Santa Cruz (~62,910), Watsonville (~52,607), Capitola (~9,981) and areas such as Aptos (~5,842), Live Oak (~17,440), Soquel (~10,912) and unincorporated, rural landscapes, this is a unique part of the state. Three coastal mountain ranges and a slew of growth-regulating and ecological measures inhibit the area's expansion into larger urban areas. The area's growth since 2000 has been approximately 5.4%. Urban development in the county lies primarily along the bay coastal plains and foothills between the City of Santa Cruz (north) and City of Watsonville (south), with the urban areas serving as both employment and housing centers in need of a healthy public transit system, ideally with broad, coordinated geographic coverage at needed frequencies.

Although Santa Cruz County has the second smallest land area of the state's 58 counties, it has the twelfth highest population density. By 2035, housing and employment is projected to increase by 12% and 18% respectively.

In 2013, Santa Cruz METRO had an annual budget of over $48 million, employs 320 people and currently operates 34 fixed routes and complementary ADA paratransit service (ParaCruz). Buses run 21 hours a day on weekdays, with somewhat reduced service on the weekends. Santa Cruz METRO's peak pullout is 80 buses, serving four transit centers, with an inter-city commuter express route linking to businesses, schools and other destinations (i.e. connections to other modalities at Diridon train station) in Santa Clara County.

Santa Cruz County has a population of 269,538 and that some individuals have special transit needs for more than one reason. 36,208 (13%) of the County's population is elderly and 26,982 (10%) have disabilities and 39,211 (15%) are below the poverty line.

The high cost of housing in Santa Cruz County presents a challenge to low income individuals, particularly seniors, people with disabilities and low income individuals. Although nationwide the housing market has been affected by the mortgage industry, housing costs in desirable coastal areas generally do not follow national trends, creating challenges for assessment.
Chapter 4 - Unmet Needs Assessment

A. Regional

Expanding MST Service

The Monterey-Salinas Transit (MST) serves beyond Monterey County including unincorporated areas and parts of Santa Clara and Santa Cruz Counties. Although many regional residents have cars and prefer driving, elderly, individuals with disabilities and persons of low income lack either the physical capability or financial stability to own and operate a private vehicle.

One-Stop Information (511) Service (Bilingual)

The Monterey Bay region needs one telephone number (511) that consumers can call to get information needed for planning, scheduling and using all forms of available transportation available to them in the region regardless of the provider or mode. An accompanying website with trip-planning functions would further improve the public’s opportunities to access and use the transportation information. Access to these self-service travel resources 24 hours a day would be especially valuable to individuals with limited travel choices due to economics or disability. There may be benefits to linking the 211 Social Service Information Line, developed by the California Alliance of Information and Referral Services (CAIRS), to this service.

Paratransit and Accessible Transportation Connections

There is a lack of direct paratransit and accessible transit connections between the tri-county region, as well as with neighboring counties. This restricts mobility options, particularly for those communities near the borders.

Expand Existing Transportation Opportunities at a Low Cost Option

The cost of traveling to homes and community centers for many human-services care providers and volunteers is costly. Individuals needs at home care and live in remote locations have difficulty finding a care provider that can afford the fuel to drive the commute. Additionally, the Monterey Bay region has active elderly and low income volunteer programs in which participants have difficulty affording the transportation costs to serve in the community at locations like schools, family shelters, juvenile halls, and hospitals around the region. Addressing the cost of transportation to work or volunteer sites for human-services care providers is an unmet need.
B. Monterey County

Public comments provided through the Unmet Transit Needs process conducted by TAMC in coordination with MST’s Mobility Advisory Committee (MAC) in the Spring of 2018 included:

- Request for increased frequency of MST Line 18 service
- Improved connection between south county and Monterey County’s Superior Court of California
- Improved service to rural areas in North and South Monterey County
- More frequent transit service in the City of Gonzales
- Connections to locations in neighboring cities

Specifically, Monterey County residents would benefit significantly by having the following areas addressed:

SAME DAY SERVICE

While current resources do not allow for the increased number of drivers and vehicles necessary to provide same-day service, a hardship nonetheless exists for some riders, as not all needed trips can be planned.

DOOR-THROUGH-DOOR

Some riders may not be able to safely arrive and return from their planned destination without assistance from an able, responsible adult. This could be the result of a physical or mental impairment, or both, in which dropping a rider at a curb near their destination does not assure they can navigate their way to their destination.

GUARANTEED RIDE HOME (GRH)

While MST offers some GRH service, it does not always extend to all areas of need. It is still possible that someone might become stranded because of work or school schedules that extend beyond normal MST operating hours.

INCREASED FREQUENCY AND COORDINATION OF SERVICES

Studies indicate that decreases in waiting times produce increases in rider satisfaction. This is especially true for transportation-challenged riders who often require longer travel times between each leg of their trip. In addition, service hours and operations of local dial-a-ride transit services and fixed route regional services in the Salinas Valley are not completely coordinated, leaving a potential gap in service for riders, especially riders with special needs.

TRAVEL TRAINING

When training is available to teach people how to use all the forms of transportation available to them, and tickets are easy to purchase, challenged riders have greater freedom to move about their community. MST should look to expand their travel training program.

MOBILITY MANAGEMENT

MST should look into expanding the capabilities of the Mobility Management Center on Perl Street to become a one-stop-shop for personal transportation services in Monterey

ACCESSIBLE TAXI SERVICE

Monterey County Taxi Authority should oversee the availability of accessible taxi services countywide, especially in the south county.
**IMPROVED SERVICE TO RURAL AREAS**

There are many challenged riders who currently live outside the ADA services corridor and do not have access to RIDES or accessible taxi services. Subsequently, they do not receive MediCal or social services until a crisis arises, at which time the expense of such services and the detrimental effects to the individual are much greater. The rural unincorporated communities of Pajaro, Aromas and Los Lomas in North Monterey County, and San Lucas, San Ardo and Bradley in South Monterey County are the most impacted.

**REPLACEMENT OF OLD VEHICLES**

Many RIDES vehicles have reached the end of their useful life and the cost of keeping them on the road is so high that it precludes the expansion of needed services into other areas. Safe, fuel-efficient and low maintenance vehicles are critical to the provision of reliable services. Social Service Providers in Monterey County also provide transportation service to special needs groups, and operate vans and wheelchair accessible vehicles that need replacement.

**ABILITY TO USE AVAILABLE VEHICLES AND DRIVERS REGARDLESS OF FUNDING SOURCE**

The current system of discrete, inflexible vehicle pools, where many vehicles travel with few passengers, is inefficient and prevents the preservation of resources that could otherwise be redistributed into areas of need. When bureaucratic barriers are finally removed, technology solutions will need to be employed for tracking and matching riders to their funding source(s). These technology solutions should provide for inter-county travelers as well.

**EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN FOR TRANSPORTATION-CHALLENGED CONSUMERS**

In times of natural disaster or civil unrest, persons without private vehicles are vulnerable and potentially reliant on a transportation operating system that might not meet their transit needs. Currently, there is no database containing the information needed to create an emergency response plan.

**AGRICULTURAL WORKER VANPOOLS**

The agricultural industry is the largest in Monterey County, generating approximately $3.3 billion in revenues annually. Many agricultural workers in the County lack adequate transportation to and from rural agricultural work sites and must rely on carpools and ad-hoc vanpool arrangements that can be unsafe. Implementing a vanpool program patterned after the Agricultural Industries Transportation Services program started in King’s County is a possible strategy to address this need, one for which state grant funding has been made available.

**SENIOR COMMUNITY SPECIAL TRANSPORTATION**

Monterey County has several housing communities dedicated to seniors, some of which are in isolated areas where affordable land and zoning requirements permit their establishment. There are many advantages to older adults living in these types of communities; however, there are also some inherent problems that accompany the locations where they are forced to reside.

Although MST operates 4 Senior Shuttle Routes (91, 92, 94 and 95) public transportation can be a major problem for many in these communities. Some seniors are no longer able to drive and are dependent upon others for their transportation needs. In addition, many depend upon outside helpers to assist them with cleaning and personal care activities and these helpers often must also depend upon public transportation. Since some of these senior residents are not eligible for the RIDES paratransit program, and none of their helpers are eligible, an alternative transportation service needs to be developed. Such...
a service would provide linkage between isolated facilities and a nearby transit stop where riders could safely embark and disembark accessible MST coaches.
C.  **San Benito County**

The Council of San Benito County Governments holds annual Unmet Transit Needs Hearings to provide a forum for transit users and community members to express concerns of their needs that may not be satisfied by the local public transit services. The Council of Governments staff analyzes the public hearing testimonies and presents its findings to their Board of Directors for resolution. After the resolution of the Unmet Needs Hearings, the Board of Directors allocates Transportation Development Act funding to the San Benito County Local Transportation Authority to implement the solutions.

In past hearings there was a wide range of unmet transit needs. Requests have ranged from changing funding policies for public transit to route change requests. The Authority makes an effort to address all unmet transit needs that can be reasonably met and some operational concerns as it may increase quality of service.

At the most recent unmet transit needs hearing in March 2018, the public voiced concerns about the following:

- Gaps in Service for the County Express Service
- Operational Comments
- General Service Comments about both County Express and Specialized Transportation Services provided by Jovenes de Antaño

SBtCOG staff determined that some of these needs were operational in nature and were not unmet needs. However, an underlying theme was recognized between current and past unmet transit needs and issues with operations, the lack of funding to increase service hours and the size of the County Express fleet to meet service gaps.

**IDENTIFICATION OF SERVICE GAPS**

The following are gaps that were identified by the Council of San Benito County Governments, Social Services Transportation Advisory Council and San Benito County Local Transportation Authority. The gaps are not listed in the order of priority and also not limited the list below.

**SERVICE LEVELS**

In 2009, the LTA reduced its services due to a reduction in state funding. The reduction of funding resulted in a mid-day service gap in County Express’ Fixed Route service and reduced weekend Intercounty service schedule. Services from the rural areas surrounding to the City of Hollister was negatively impacted, making it harder for rural residents to come into the urban area for work, school, and recreation.

The LTA’s Specialized Transportation services, by Jovenes de Antaño, are in high demand as well. These services are geared towards seniors and persons with disabilities, except for the Out-of-County Non-Emergency Medical Transportation (OOCMT). The OOCMT services are open to all residents of the County for medical services that are not provided within the County. These transportation services meet and exceed the requirements of ADA by providing escort services, minor Spanish translation, and door-through-door services.

The LTA has received requests to extend the service area and additional services hours. Rides for OOCMT service must be scheduled at least one week in advance due to limited availability and are on first-come, first-serve basis.
FLEET TYPE, CAPACITY, AMENITIES AND MAINTENANCE

The LTA owns and maintains a variety of vehicles for its County Express and Specialized Transportation Services. The vehicles reflect the need of each service and are regularly maintained by the LTA. All vehicles are equipped with a wheelchair lift, and wherever applicable, a bicycle rack.

With the decrease in transit funding, the LTA has been purchasing most its fleet using state or federal funds and grants. As a result, limited amenities and types of vehicles are only purchased due to budget constraints. Such impacts include, but not limited to, seating capacity, and upgraded air conditioning.

ACCESSIBILITY AND MOBILITY

The rural nature of San Benito County poses as a mobility obstacle for the elderly, individuals with disabilities and persons of limited means because the agricultural terrain and sparsely populated areas are not pedestrian friendly. Even within urbanized areas, there are sidewalk gaps that make walking hard for those that difficulty navigating the physical terrain. For individuals that do not have access to a personal vehicle or know of someone who can drive them to and from their destination, it creates a sense of being excluded from the mobile community.

The LTA currently offers discount fares on County Express services for seniors, youths, and persons with disabilities. Children under the age of five ride for free with a paying adult. Persons of limited means that do not qualify for the discount fares are required to pay the regular fare. There are no discounted rates for specialized transportation services.
D. Santa Cruz County

The Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission adopted a list of Unmet Transit and Specialized Transportation Needs at a public hearing in Spring 2018. The SCCRTC’s Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee and the Santa Cruz METRO Board and Advisory Committee provided the majority of needs included in the draft list. In addition, public input into the Unmet Needs list was solicited from the general public via the SCCRTC’s website and ads in local newspapers. The adopted list indicates high, medium and low priorities. A copy of the list developed at the time of this Coordinated Plan, categorized into general, transit and paratransit needs, is included in Attachment XX. The list is adopted annually the most recent was adopted in May 2018.

Notable among the Unmet Transit and Specialized Transportation Needs in Santa Cruz County are the following:

**Lack of Publicity about Existing Specialized Transportation Services Transition Services Needed for Senior Drivers**

Trends indicate that the population of seniors will increase dramatically as baby boomers age. Services need to be in place to keep senior drivers safely on the road and to encourage them to stop driving when appropriate. Currently these services are provided in an uncoordinated manner by AARP, the California Highway Patrol, and others. These transition transportation services will assist seniors in continuing to be productive members of society. Examples include the Foster Grandparent program where seniors assist primarily in school sites. The existing Mobility Training program including economic incentives could be expanded to encourage wider use of transit, before people are unable to drive their own cars. The Mobility Outreach and Education Program will assist in identifying additional transition transportation services.

**Specialized Transportation Minimally Available for Those Who Do Not Meet the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Mandated Paratransit Service Eligibility Criteria**

Currently there are priority destinations for seniors and people with disabilities located beyond the Americans with Disabilities Act mandated paratransit service area; ¾ mile from existing transit routes. Providing specialized transportation to these destinations is a high priority. It is also important to provide specialized transportation to residents needing these services that live in outlying rural areas, which in many cases have lower housing costs. In some cases this need can be served by feeder paratransit from areas outside the service area.

Additionally, there is a need for specialized transportation services for the following populations: for low income individuals unable to ride transit but unable to pay up to $2 each direction fare for ParaCruz; individuals with oversized wheelchairs or other needs outside the ParaCruz eligibility criteria; and the need for services outside the ParaCruz service hours, as mirrored by local transit.

**Mobility Management Center Would Ease Confusion**

A coordinated and seamless system of information and coordination for specialized transportation services is needed. A Mobility Management Center would assist the community in streamlining both the information and referral systems as well as the efficient delivery of services regardless of the funding source. Many entities already provide information and referral services and Mobility Management could be added to their existing duties. As more people rely on the internet, web-based services are also needed for accessing information and reserving rides. A community vehicle program, whereby vehicles are available to trained drivers from social service agencies and activity centers, would assist in coordinating infrequent specialized transportation needs.
'SAME DAY' MEDICAL AND 'BED TO BED' MEDICAL AND NON-MEDICAL TRIPS ON PARATRANSIT NOT AVAILABLE

With a few exceptions, resources are not available to provide same day specialized transportation services. This is problematic for those needing urgent medical attention, those needing ‘bed to bed’ transportation service, those without readily able funds to pay the fare box requirement, or those with last minute trip changes such as the need for dialysis patients to go to medical facilities for same day follow up procedures. The lack of flexible services and special care trips and gurney vehicles for the medically fragile creates a hardship for the most frail and vulnerable in our community.

PARATRANSIT VEHICLES NEED NEW OR UPDATED EQUIPMENT

Many paratransit vehicles lack updated equipment such as Mobile Data Terminals (MDT) for improved manifest display, immediate additions/deletions/confirmations to trips, improved communication and tracking. Improving the capability of drivers/vehicles to make real time changes would improve service and could decrease missed trips.

REGULAR EXPRESS BUS CONNECTIONS NEEDED

While the 91X and Highway 17 Express service have been expanded, transit service connections between South County, other parts of the County and the Highway 17 Express Bus service are lacking. Additional bus transit service would allow low income riders more job opportunities and assist with transitioning low income children and families from welfare to work.

SOUTH COUNTY TRANSIT SERVICES INADEQUATE

While bus service in the South County has been expanded to 7 days/week, bus services in South County is inadequate to meet the needs of the fastest growing sector of the county. The majority of new housing is under construction in South County and the area is seeking to attract industry and job opportunities. Augmenting or revamping existing transit service would benefit the community.

REMEMBER PHONE CALL SYSTEM WOULD REDUCE MISSED TRIPS

Missed trips on specialized transportation are costly for the service providers. Implementing a system to remind client about their ride would improve efficiency and save costs.

AGRICULTURAL INDUSTRIES TRANSPORTATION SERVICES ASSESSMENT

The agricultural industry has a very large presence in Monterey Bay region including Santa Cruz County. Individuals working in this industry have non-traditional work schedules and labor long hours in the field for very low wages. These factors create unsafe driving conditions because workers are exhausted from working in the fields, some workers do not have a driver’s license or insurance, their cars may be unreliable and workers may benefit from carpooling. Santa Cruz County has applied for an Agricultural Worker Transportation Program grant to assess need and identify solutions that will provide safe and efficient transportation.

EXPAND EXISTING TRANSPORTATION OPPORTUNITIES AT A LOW COST OPTION

Currently there are a number of programs that could be enhanced to provide more transportation service at a much lower cost than starting a new service. Examples of this include expanding the regular meal site trips to include a stop at the grocery and/or drug store as part of the route, or allowing mileage reimbursement for human-services care providers so that they can transport clients to medical appointments.
**COMPLETE THE METROBASE FACILITY INCLUDING OPERATIONS BUILDING AND PARKING STRUCTURE**

The lack of a consolidated transit operations, maintenance and fueling facility affects the availability and cost of transit service.

**TRAVEL PATH TO TRANSIT UNSAFE OR UNAVAILABLE**

A lack of safe travel paths between senior and/or disabled living areas, or other origins/destinations and bus stops is problematic and though bus stops are ADA accessible, there is a need to ensure safe paths to and from the stops. With direct accessible paths of travel, many more individuals with disabilities could access regular transit and have much greater mobility than what paratransit service could provide.

**TRANSPORTATION SERVICES TO SUPPORT FOSTER YOUTH AND COURT ORDERED SUPERVISED VISITATION INSUFFICIENT**

AB 490 established requirements related to the education of dependents and wards in foster care, including the legislative intent that foster youth be provided increased stability of school placements and access to the same educational opportunities and resources as other students. Additionally, for child welfare cases where non-custodial parents are determined to have visitation rights with their children, supervised visitation in approved neutral settings is mandated through the Family Court. Court-ordered visitation in Santa Cruz County often takes place at Parents Center, one of the most impacted supervision sites in the county. While this particular site is served by existing bus service with frequent service, safe and reliable services for transporting children to school and supervised visitation settings and funding to accomplish the mandated services is an unmet need for dependents and wards in foster care.
Chapter 5 – Next Steps

On August 29, 2018, AMBAG released of the Draft CPTP for a 45-day public comment and review period, pursuant to public participation requirements set forth by the FAST ACT (2015). Appendix D provides a summary of the comments received and AMBAG staff’s response.

Public access to the Draft CPTP included posting the Draft on AMBAG’s website, publishing a public notice in general circulation newspaper(s), directly consulting community organizations and advocacy groups that support seniors, persons with disabilities and low income populations, and holding a public meeting.

AMBAG Board of Directors is scheduled to approve the Final CPTP at their November 14, 2018 meeting. The CPTP will then be incorporated in the Metropolitan Transportation Plan.
Appendix
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Service Type:</th>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Charge</th>
<th>Senior Discount</th>
<th>Website</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monterey County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amtrak</td>
<td>Special purpose</td>
<td>Nationwide intercity train and bus service.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes, 65+ 10% discount</td>
<td><a href="http://www.amtrak.com">www.amtrak.com</a></td>
<td>1-800-872-7245</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monterey, Santa Cruz and San Benito County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast Alliance for Independent Living</td>
<td>Special purpose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.cccil.org">www.cccil.org</a></td>
<td>831 757-2968 <a href="mailto:cccil@cccil.org">cccil@cccil.org</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Hospital of Monterey Peninsula</td>
<td>Medical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.chomp.org">www.chomp.org</a></td>
<td>831 624-5311</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway Center/MV Transportation/MST RIDES</td>
<td>Special purpose</td>
<td>Door to Door service for developmentally disabled participants in Gateway’s day programs</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.gatewaycenter.org">www.gatewaycenter.org</a></td>
<td>831-372-8002</td>
<td>Monterey Peninsula</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greyhound Bus</td>
<td>Special purpose</td>
<td>Nationwide bus service. If handicapped, aide travels free with verifying letter from a doctor</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes, 62+ 5% discount</td>
<td><a href="http://www.greyhound.com">www.greyhound.com</a></td>
<td>831 423-1800</td>
<td>Monterey County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope Services</td>
<td>Special purpose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.hopeservices.org">www.hopeservices.org</a></td>
<td>831 393-1575 ext. 17 <a href="mailto:afoglia@hopeservices.org">afoglia@hopeservices.org</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim, Inc.</td>
<td>Special purpose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.interiminc.org">www.interiminc.org</a></td>
<td>831 649-4522</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey County Military and Veterans Affairs Office</td>
<td>Special purpose</td>
<td>Van service for veterans to the VA Medical Center in Palo Alto and San Jose VA Outpatient Clinic</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.co.monterey.ca.us/va/services.htm">www.co.monterey.ca.us/va/services.htm</a></td>
<td>(831) 647-7613</td>
<td>Monterey County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey Senior Center</td>
<td>Information</td>
<td>Taxi Voucher: Residents 65+ can receive free taxi voucher worth $14 plus a $3 co-pay</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td><a href="https://www.monterey.org/Residents/Taxi-Voucher-Program">https://www.monterey.org/Residents/Taxi-Voucher-Program</a></td>
<td>(831) 646-3866</td>
<td>Monterey, Carmel, Del Rey Oaks, Pacific Grove, Sand City and Seaside</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey-Salinas Transit (MST)</td>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>Fixed Route Bus Service</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td><a href="http://www.mst.org">www.mst.org</a></td>
<td>888 678-2871</td>
<td>Monterey County, Santa Cruz County, San Jose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey-Salinas Transit (MST) OnCall South County</td>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>OnDemand</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td><a href="https://mst.org/routes/oncall-south-county/">https://mst.org/routes/oncall-south-county/</a></td>
<td>1-866-663-3278</td>
<td>Gonzales, Greenfield, King and Soledad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey-Salinas Transit (MST) RIDES</td>
<td>Paratransit</td>
<td>Transportation Services for People With Disabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.mstmobility.org/ada-paratransit-rides.htm">http://www.mstmobility.org/ada-paratransit-rides.htm</a></td>
<td>1-888-MST-BUS1 831-393-8111 TTY 1</td>
<td>Monterey Peninsula, Carmel, Carmel Valley, Salinas, Chualar, Gonzales, Greenfield, Soledad, and King City, and to the Watsonville Transit Center.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Provider</td>
<td>Special purpose</td>
<td>Website/Contact Information</td>
<td>Phone/Email</td>
<td>County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinas Senior Center</td>
<td>Special purpose</td>
<td><a href="http://www.salinasseniorcenter.org/">http://www.salinasseniorcenter.org/</a></td>
<td>(831) 757-6030</td>
<td>Salinas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sally Griffin Active Living Center</td>
<td>Special purpose</td>
<td><a href="http://www.mowmp.org">www.mowmp.org</a></td>
<td>831 375-4454 <a href="mailto:info@mowmp.org">info@mowmp.org</a></td>
<td>Monterey Peninsula Communities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Andreas Regional Center</td>
<td>Special purpose</td>
<td><a href="http://www.sarc.org">www.sarc.org</a></td>
<td>831 759-7500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelter Outreach Plus</td>
<td>Special purpose</td>
<td><a href="http://www.sopinc.org">www.sopinc.org</a></td>
<td>831 384-3388 <a href="mailto:info@sopinc.org">info@sopinc.org</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Blind and Visually Impaired Center of Monterey County</td>
<td>Special purpose</td>
<td><a href="http://www.blindandlowvision.org">www.blindandlowvision.org</a></td>
<td>831 649-3505 <a href="mailto:vision@blindandlowvision.org">vision@blindandlowvision.org</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amtrak</td>
<td>Special purpose</td>
<td><a href="http://www.amtrak.com">www.amtrak.com</a></td>
<td>1-800-872-7245</td>
<td>Monterey, Santa Cruz and San Benito County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmaus House</td>
<td>Special Purpose</td>
<td><a href="http://www.emmaushouse.net">http://www.emmaushouse.net</a></td>
<td>1-831-636-7224</td>
<td>San Benito County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greyhound Bus</td>
<td>Special purpose</td>
<td><a href="http://www.greyhound.com">www.greyhound.com</a></td>
<td>831 423-1800</td>
<td>Monterey County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollister School District</td>
<td>Special purpose</td>
<td><a href="https://www.hesd.org/transportation/">https://www.hesd.org/transportation/</a></td>
<td>831-630-6348 <a href="mailto:nlara@hesd.org">nlara@hesd.org</a></td>
<td>Hollister School District</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollister Taxi</td>
<td>TAXI</td>
<td><a href="http://hollistertaxicab.com/">http://hollistertaxicab.com/</a></td>
<td>(831) 232-1344</td>
<td>San Benito County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Provider</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>ADA Paratransit Service</td>
<td>Website</td>
<td>Phone Number</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope Services</td>
<td>Information and Special Purpose</td>
<td>Provides wide range of employment and training programs, developmental activities, counseling, infant and senior services and independent living services to persons with disabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.hopeservices.com">http://www.hopeservices.com</a></td>
<td>831 637-8600</td>
<td>San Benito County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jovenes de Antaño</td>
<td>Special purpose</td>
<td>Small non-profit organization providing more specialized transportation to elderly and disabled for medical and other social services</td>
<td>$1.25 inside Hollister; donation outside Hollister</td>
<td>No</td>
<td><a href="http://www.jdascbseniors.org/transportation">http://www.jdascbseniors.org/transportation</a></td>
<td>831-637-9275</td>
<td>San Benito County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Benito County Transit (County Express)</td>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>Fixed-route bus service in Hollister and Dial-A-Ride service outside of fixed-route service areas and hours. Inter-county services connecting San Benito County to Gavilan College and Gilroy for Caltrain and VTA connections.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td><a href="http://www.sanbenitocountyexpress.org">www.sanbenitocountyexpress.org</a></td>
<td>831 636-4161 <a href="mailto:info@sanbenitocog.org">info@sanbenitocog.org</a></td>
<td>Hollister</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Benito County Transit (County Express)</td>
<td>Paratransit</td>
<td>Complimentary ADA Paratransit Service. 3/4 mile radius from bus stop.</td>
<td>Yes but not for personal care attendant</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td><a href="http://www.sanbenitocountyexpress.org">www.sanbenitocountyexpress.org</a></td>
<td>831 636-4161 <a href="mailto:info@sanbenitocog.org">info@sanbenitocog.org</a></td>
<td>Hollister</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Benito Rideshare</td>
<td>Information</td>
<td>Provides information about carpooling and vanpooling for commuters and other transportation options for non-commuters</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td><a href="http://www.sanbenitorideshare.org">www.sanbenitorideshare.org</a></td>
<td>831 637-7665; <a href="mailto:info@sanbenitocog.org">info@sanbenitocog.org</a></td>
<td>San Benito County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Website</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seniors Council (Area Agency on Aging)</td>
<td>Information and Special Purpose</td>
<td>Provides forum for local seniors to express needs and concerns; Actively looks for funding and provides support to elderly community</td>
<td><a href="http://www.seniorscouncil.org/">http://www.seniorscouncil.org/</a></td>
<td>(831) 688-0400</td>
<td>San Benito and Santa Cruz Counties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMCA</td>
<td>Special Purpose</td>
<td>Provides programs to women, children, seniors and persons with disabilities</td>
<td><a href="http://www.centralcoastymca.org/locations/san-benito-county/ymca-of-san-benito-county/">http://www.centralcoastymca.org/locations/san-benito-county/ymca-of-san-benito-county/</a></td>
<td>831.637.8600</td>
<td>Half Moon Bay to Monterey County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY</td>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>Free transportation for cancer patients to doctor appointments in Santa Cruz County.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.cancer.org">www.cancer.org</a></td>
<td>831-772-6529</td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabrillo College Accessibility Support Center</td>
<td>Special purposes</td>
<td>Class to Class transit for disabled students</td>
<td><a href="http://www.cabrillo.edu/services/dsps/">www.cabrillo.edu/services/dsps/</a></td>
<td>831-479-6379</td>
<td>Cabrillo College Campus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care-A-Van for Kids</td>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>Children of low-income families transportation to Lucille Packards Children's Hospital in Palo Alto</td>
<td><a href="http://www.stanfordchildrens.org/en/about/government-community/care-a-van">http://www.stanfordchildrens.org/en/about/government-community/care-a-van</a></td>
<td>(650) 736-2108</td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast Ambulance</td>
<td>Special purpose</td>
<td>Emergency transportation for the public to skilled nursing facilities and hospitals</td>
<td>Yes, Varies</td>
<td>831-685-3201</td>
<td>Santa Cruz County, part of Monterey County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Capitola Seasonal Shuttle</td>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>Weekend Shuttle bus to the village and the beach in Capitola (Memorial Day weekend through September); wheelchairs accommodated</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ci.capitola.ca.us">www.ci.capitola.ca.us</a></td>
<td>831-475-7300</td>
<td>From shuttle lot at Bay Avenue near Hill street to the beach/ Capitola Village</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courtesy Cab/Watsonville Taxi</td>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>General Taxi Service, serving Watsonville.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10% discount seniors; Accepts Taxi Scrip</td>
<td><a href="http://www.courtesycab.com/">http://www.courtesycab.com/</a></td>
<td>Watsonville</td>
<td>831-761-3122</td>
<td><a href="mailto:office@courtesycab.com">office@courtesycab.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Provider</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Offers</td>
<td>Discount</td>
<td>Website</td>
<td>Contact Information</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruz511</td>
<td>Information</td>
<td>Provides information on alternative transportation modes to interested commuters through an instant, online database or phone assistance.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://cruz511.org/">https://cruz511.org/</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:info@cruz511.org">info@cruz511.org</a> 831.429.POOL</td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davenport Resource Service Center</td>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>General Public from North Santa Cruz Co. to city of Santa Cruz. May be only one way.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://cabinc.org/2017/04/16/drsc/">http://cabinc.org/2017/04/16/drsc/</a></td>
<td>831-425-8115 <a href="mailto:drsc@cruzers.com">drsc@cruzers.com</a></td>
<td>North Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delux Cab</td>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>General Taxi Service</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes, 10%</td>
<td>(831) 475-3232</td>
<td></td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elderday Adult Day Health Center</td>
<td>Special Purposes</td>
<td>Transportation to and from daily meals, therapy, personal care, social center</td>
<td>No, donations accepted</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.communitybridges.org/liftline.html">www.communitybridges.org/liftline.html</a> (on the Spanish page)</td>
<td>831 458-3481 <a href="mailto:info@cbridges.org">info@cbridges.org</a></td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Transit</td>
<td>Special Purposes</td>
<td>Daily Services for adults with developmental disabilities</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.firsttransit.com">www.firsttransit.com</a></td>
<td>831-460-9911</td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greyhound Bus Lines</td>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>Bus service outside Santa Cruz County. If handicapped, aide travels free with verifying letter from a doctor</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes, +62.5%, Attendent 50%</td>
<td><a href="http://www.greyhound.com">www.greyhound.com</a></td>
<td>831-212-3715; 800-231-2222</td>
<td>Monterey County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laidlaw Transit Services</td>
<td>Special purposes</td>
<td>Serves Developmentally disabled adults, consumers of the San Andreas Regional Center</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.laidlawtransit.com">www.laidlawtransit.com</a></td>
<td>831 460-9911 <a href="mailto:camilla.shaffer@laidlawtransit.com">camilla.shaffer@laidlawtransit.com</a></td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lift Line</td>
<td>Paratransit</td>
<td>Transportation for individuals unable to ride public transit. Vans are lift equipped for frail passengers or wheelchair users. Taxi rides and transportation to senior programs and meal sites also available.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.communitybridges.org/liftline.html">www.communitybridges.org/liftline.html</a></td>
<td>831 425-1558</td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>Website</td>
<td>Phone</td>
<td>County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lift Line (Paratransit)</td>
<td>Extended care or medical transportation for hospital patients discharged by wheelchair or gurney.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.communitybridges.org/lifeline.html">www.communitybridges.org/lifeline.html</a></td>
<td>831-425-1558</td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lift Line (Community Bridges Charter Rides)</td>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>There are no limitations to service area. However, long distance rides and rides in rural areas are subject to scheduling availability.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Appointments Made Easy</td>
<td>Special Purpose</td>
<td>Transportation similar to taxi service to medical destinations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medi-Cal/Alliance Non-Emergency Transportation</td>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>Medi-Cal clients for authorized medical appointments; managed by MV Transportation</td>
<td>No</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ccah-alliance.org">www.ccah-alliance.org</a></td>
<td>800-700-3874 ext. 5577</td>
<td>Santa Cruz County, Santa Cruz City</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Client Action Network (MHCAN)</td>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>County Mental Health patients or persons with history of mental illness</td>
<td>No</td>
<td><a href="http://www.mhcan.org">www.mhcan.org</a></td>
<td>831 469-0462 <a href="mailto:mail@mhcan.org">mail@mhcan.org</a></td>
<td>Santa Cruz City Excluding San Lorenzo Valley, Scotts Valley, Freedom and Watsonville</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey County Paratransit (RIDES)</td>
<td>Paratransit</td>
<td>Door to Door service. Call in Advance.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td><a href="http://www.mst.org">www.mst.org</a></td>
<td></td>
<td>South Santa Cruz County, San Benito County, Monterey County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precious Cargo</td>
<td>Special purpose</td>
<td>Transportation for the general public and clients of Medi-Cal program.</td>
<td>Yes, more for wheelchair or gurney</td>
<td></td>
<td>831-333-0287</td>
<td>Pickup in Santa Cruz and Monterey Counties; limited distance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District</td>
<td>Paratransit</td>
<td>Home to school transit for Special Education students</td>
<td>Only for temporarily disabled students</td>
<td><a href="http://www.slv.k12.ca.us/transportation">www.slv.k12.ca.us/transportation</a></td>
<td></td>
<td>San Lorenzo Valley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANTA CRUZ AIRPORTER</td>
<td>Special purposes</td>
<td>Van service to and from San Jose and San Francisco airports. Advance reservations recommended. Call for schedule and charge. Senior discounts available.</td>
<td>Yes, To SJ $40, To SF $50</td>
<td><a href="http://www.scairporter.net">www.scairporter.net</a></td>
<td>831 475-0234 <a href="mailto:scairporter@aol.com">scairporter@aol.com</a></td>
<td>Santa Cruz to San Jose and SF Airports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Provider</td>
<td>Special Purposes</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Transportation Access</td>
<td>Website</td>
<td>Contact Information</td>
<td>County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz County CalWorks</td>
<td>Welfare-to-Work is an employment program serving adult recipients of cash aid. The program helps participants find jobs and become self-sufficient.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td><a href="http://www.hra.co.santa-cruz.ca.us/html_cw/cw_w2w.htm">http://www.hra.co.santa-cruz.ca.us/html_cw/cw_w2w.htm</a></td>
<td>831-454-5429 (Donna Ratliff)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz County CareerWorks</td>
<td>Special purposes</td>
<td>Implements workforce development policies and programs to equip residents with the skills needed to secure and maintain employment at sufficient wages and benefits in the local and regional labor markets.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td><a href="http://www.hra.co.santa-cruz.ca.us/html_cw/cw_home.htm">http://www.hra.co.santa-cruz.ca.us/html_cw/cw_home.htm</a></td>
<td>831-464-6273 (Teresa Carrillo)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCMTD</td>
<td>Information Mobility Training</td>
<td>No</td>
<td><a href="http://www.scmtd.com">www.scmtd.com</a></td>
<td>831 423-3868 <a href="mailto:info@scmtd.com">info@scmtd.com</a></td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro ParaCru</td>
<td>Paratransit ParaCru, ADA Paratransit</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes, $3 each way</td>
<td><a href="http://www.scmtd.com">www.scmtd.com</a></td>
<td>831 425-4664 <a href="mailto:paracru@scmtd.com">paracru@scmtd.com</a></td>
<td>Service area 3/4mi. From bus lines.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (SCMTD)</td>
<td>Transit Fixed Route Bus Service</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.scmtd.com">www.scmtd.com</a></td>
<td>831 425-8600</td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz Veterans Service Office</td>
<td>Transit Serves Veterans</td>
<td>No</td>
<td><a href="http://www.santacruzvets.com">www.santacruzvets.com</a></td>
<td>831-458-7110 <a href="mailto:stephen.corbett@santacruzcounty.us">stephen.corbett@santacruzcounty.us</a></td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotts Valley Senior Center</td>
<td>Transit Scotts Valley Area Seniors</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>831 438-8666</td>
<td>Scotts Valley Area Seniors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Dining Center, Ben Lomond</td>
<td>Special purposes Seniors (60+) who are meal site participants can apply at their local site to use transportation services. No Wheelchairs.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td><a href="http://www.communitybridges.org/liftline.html">http://www.communitybridges.org/liftline.html</a></td>
<td>831 336-5366 <a href="mailto:info@cbridges.org">info@cbridges.org</a></td>
<td>Ben Lomond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Dining Center, Capitola</td>
<td>Special purposes Seniors (60+) who are meal site participants can apply at their local site to use transportation services. No Wheelchairs.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td><a href="http://www.communitybridges.org/liftline.html">http://www.communitybridges.org/liftline.html</a></td>
<td>831 476-1884 <a href="mailto:info@cbridges.org">info@cbridges.org</a></td>
<td>Capitola</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Provider</td>
<td>Special Purposes</td>
<td>Seniors (60+) who are meal site participants can apply at their local site to use transportation services. No Wheelchairs.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td><a href="http://www.communitybridges.org/liftline.html">http://www.communitybridges.org/liftline.html</a></td>
<td>831 475-7177 <a href="mailto:info@cbridges.org">info@cbridges.org</a></td>
<td>Live Oak</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Dining Center, Live Oak</td>
<td>Special purposes</td>
<td>Seniors (60+) who are meal site participants can apply at their local site to use transportation services. No Wheelchairs.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td><a href="http://www.communitybridges.org/liftline.html">www.communitybridges.org/liftline.html</a></td>
<td>831 427-0901 <a href="mailto:info@cbridges.org">info@cbridges.org</a></td>
<td>Santa Cruz City</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Dining Center, Watsonville</td>
<td>Special purposes</td>
<td>Seniors (60+) who are meal site participants can apply at their local site to use transportation services. No Wheelchairs.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td><a href="http://www.communitybridges.org/liftline.html">http://www.communitybridges.org/liftline.html</a></td>
<td>831 724-2024 <a href="mailto:info@cbridges.org">info@cbridges.org</a></td>
<td>Watsonville</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke Center</td>
<td>Special purposes</td>
<td>Transit for users of the Stroke Center via ParaCruz and Lift Line.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td><a href="http://www.communitybridges.org/liftline.html">http://www.communitybridges.org/liftline.html</a> OR <a href="http://www.scmtd.com">www.scmtd.com</a></td>
<td>831-425-0622 <a href="mailto:paracruz@scmtd.com">paracruz@scmtd.com</a>; <a href="mailto:info@cbridges.org">info@cbridges.org</a></td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCSC Transportation and Parking Services</td>
<td>Special purposes</td>
<td>Disability van service for UCSC affiliates and campus visitors with mobility impairment for campus locations not directly served by fixed route transit or campus shuttle service.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>taps.ucsc.edu/buses-shuttles/d-v-s.html</td>
<td>831-459-2829 <a href="mailto:dvs@ucsc.edu">dvs@ucsc.edu</a></td>
<td>UCSC campus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer Center of Santa Cruz County Transportation (Felton)</td>
<td>Special purposes</td>
<td>Volunteers in own cars take Elderly / Disabled (non-wheelchair) to medical appointments, grocery shopping and other essential errands Mon.-Fri. To request ride please give 4 working days to one week notice.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td><a href="http://www.scvolunteercenter.org">www.scvolunteercenter.org</a></td>
<td>831 336-9387 <a href="mailto:scruz@scvolunteercenter.org">scruz@scvolunteercenter.org</a></td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer Center of Santa Cruz County Transportation (Santa Cruz)</td>
<td>Special purposes</td>
<td>Volunteers in their cars take Elderly / Disabled (non-wheelchair) to medical appointments, grocery shopping and other essential errands Mon.-Fri. To request ride please give 4 working days to one week notice</td>
<td>No</td>
<td><a href="http://www.scvolunteercenter.org">www.scvolunteercenter.org</a></td>
<td>831 427-3435 <a href="mailto:rsvpvol@scvolunteercenter.org">rsvpvol@scvolunteercenter.org</a></td>
<td>North Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer Center of Santa Cruz County Transportation (Watsonville)</td>
<td>Special purposes</td>
<td>Volunteers in their cars take Elderly / Disabled (non-wheelchair) to medical appointments, grocery shopping and other essential errands Mon.-Fri. To request ride please give 4 working days to one week notice</td>
<td>No</td>
<td><a href="http://www.scvolunteercenter.org">www.scvolunteercenter.org</a></td>
<td>831 722-6708 <a href="mailto:wats@scvolunteercenter.org">wats@scvolunteercenter.org</a></td>
<td>South Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Coast Limos &amp; Sedans</td>
<td>Special purposes</td>
<td>General Public - Limo Service</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td><a href="http://www.westcoastlimos.net/">www.westcoastlimos.net/</a></td>
<td>831 464-2600 <a href="mailto:roywstcst@aol.com">roywstcst@aol.com</a></td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Cab Company</td>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>General taxi service. Various low-cost and multi-ride trip services. Wheelchair access vehicles available. Senior discounts. Includes the San Lorenzo Valley</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10% seniors and disables: Lift Line Script</td>
<td>santacruzyellowcab.com</td>
<td>831 423-1234 <a href="mailto:scctransportation.llc@gmail.com">scctransportation.llc@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Unmet transit needs are placed into the following categories:
1. Transit service improvement requests that would improve an existing service.
2. Transit service expansion requests that extend a transit route beyond its current limits and fill a gap in service.
3. Capital improvement projects that would enhance existing public transit facilities.

Transit Needs Timeline
- Short term transit improvements are those that can be implemented in the current service year within MST’s funding limits and without negatively impacting existing services.
- Long-term transit improvements are those that would require additional funding beyond MST’s current funding limits. Long-term improvement comments remain on the unmet transit needs comment list until additional funding becomes available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unmet Need Comment</th>
<th>Year Identified</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Status in 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service to San Juan Grade Road and Russell Road in Salinas</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Category #2: new service, fills a gap</td>
<td>Long-term improvement.</td>
<td>Identified as an unmet need establishing new or expanding service. MST is currently working with the City of Salinas and area developers to identify possible public transit service into the planned developments in this area in the next 5 to 10 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased frequency on Line 18</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Category #1: improves an existing service</td>
<td>Long-term improvement.</td>
<td>Service will require an additional vehicle which cannot be provided at this time without reallocating resources from other areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More frequent service to Gonzales and Soledad</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Category #1: improves an existing service</td>
<td>Long-term improvement.</td>
<td>MST now provides real-time transit information to ease passenger wait times. MST is currently conducting a Salinas Valley Bus Study to identify transit improvements for the area. Additionally, the future King City bus yard may improve South County service in the future.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmet Need Comment</td>
<td>Year Identified</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Timeline</td>
<td>Status in 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shuttle service between Pinnacles National Park and Fort Hunter Liggett</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Category #2: new service, fills a gap</td>
<td>Long-term improvement.</td>
<td>This shuttle service is cost prohibitive, and would require resources being reallocated from other services/areas. The City of Soledad is currently studying improvements for the Pinnacles Parkway project, which may consider a park shuttle service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service to San Juan Bautista and Los Baños</td>
<td>2015/2018</td>
<td>Category #2: new service, fills a gap</td>
<td>Long-term improvement.</td>
<td>MST, in collaboration with San Benito County Local Transportation Authority, may explore applying for Federal intercity bus grant funding options to meet this need in the future.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved service between South County and the Superior Court of California, County of Monterey in Monterey</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Category #1: improves an existing</td>
<td>Long-term improvement.</td>
<td>MST is currently conducting a Salinas Valley Bus Study to identify transit improvements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve the connection between Castroville and Prunedale for access to Gavilan College in Gilroy</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Category #1: improves an existing; Category #2: new service, fills a gap</td>
<td>Long-term improvement</td>
<td>MST, San Benito County Local Transportation Authority, and Gavilan College may collaborate and identify a strategy to meet this need.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Resolved Transit Needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unmet Need Comment</th>
<th>Year Identified</th>
<th>Year Resolved</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More out of county medical trips that cost less</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>MST increased the frequency and lowered the cost of out of county medical trips using Measure Q funds in July 2017. An analysis of the enhanced service will be conducted in the fall of 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service to Rancho Cielo</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>MST has donated used buses to Rancho Cielo for service by their students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line 18 does not serve and does not have a bus stop at the new VA Clinic in Marina.</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Currently, the Line 18 stop closest to the VA Clinic is at the Marina Dunes Shopping Center. MST installed a bus stop at the new VA Clinic before it opened and may begin serving that stop in the fall of 2018. Line 61 currently serves the VA Clinic.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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About the Council of San Benito County Governments (COG)

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Council of San Benito County Governments (COG) is the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) for San Benito County. COG serves as the forum for regional decision-making. In this capacity, COG builds consensus among local and regional agencies, develops long-term strategic plans, programs Federal and State funding for allocation to transportation projects.

The governing board for COG is made up of five members. Two members are appointed by the San Benito County Board of Supervisors, two from the City of Hollister and one from the City of San Juan Bautista.

COG BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Jaime De La Cruz, Chair, County of San Benito
Ignacio Velazquez, Vice Chair, City of Hollister
Jim Gillio, City of Hollister
Tony Boch, City of San Juan Bautista
Anthony Botelho, County of San Benito
Eileen Loe, Caltrans District 5 (Ex-Officio)

ALTERNATES, COG BOARD OF DIRECTORS:

Mickie Solorio Luna, City of Hollister
Jim West, City of San Juan Bautista
Mark Medina, San Benito County

COG STAFF:

Mary Gilbert, Executive Director
Kathy Postigo, Administrative Services Specialist
Veronica Lezama, Transportation Planner
Regina Valentine, Transportation Planner
Monica Gomez, Secretary
Griselda Arevalo, Office Assistant
Chris Thomson, Mechanic
Area Profile and Transit System Overview

REGIONAL SETTING
San Benito County is ideally located inland from the Central California Coast. The County borders Monterey, Santa Cruz, Fresno, Merced, and Santa Clara Counties. Combined with more affordable housing and its close proximity to Monterey, Santa Cruz, and Santa Clara Counties, San Benito County is an attractive home to 55,269 people (2010). Although the County consists of 1,390 square miles, the majority of the population lives in Hollister (the County seat) San Juan Bautista, or the unincorporated area of northern San Benito County.

EXISTING TRANSIT SERVICES
The San Benito County Local Transportation Authority (LTA) was formed by a Joint Powers Agreement between the Cities of Hollister and San Juan Bautista and the County of San Benito in 1990. The Authority is responsible for the administration and operation of public transportation services in the County provided by County Express and Specialized Transportation Services.

COUNTY EXPRESS TRANSIT SYSTEM
The County Express system currently provides three fixed routes in the City of Hollister, complementary Americans with Disabilities Act Paratransit service, Intercounty service to Gilroy in Santa Clara County, and a general public Dial-A-Ride.

As of April 2018, the County Express fleet included 21 vehicles. All vehicles are ADA compliant and equipped with wheelchair lifts/ramps and bicycle racks. The Local Transportation Authority contracts with a private operator for management, dispatchers, trainers, and drivers of its County Express transit service.

Fixed-Route
Fixed-Route service operates three Fixed Routes within the City of Hollister. These routes operate between 6:20 a.m. and 5:40 p.m. However, there is no Fixed Route service between 11:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. Headways for each of the routes range from 40 to 50 minutes.

Dial-A-Ride
County Express transit system provides Dial-a-Ride service to parts of northern San Benito County, including Hollister, San Juan Bautista, and Tres Pinos, Monday through Friday from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. where and when Fixed Route is not available and on weekends. Reservations for the Dial-A-Ride may be made up to 14 days in advance. Same-day service is available but is subject to availability and a convenience fee.
Paratransit
Complementary Americans with Disabilities Act Paratransit service is available for residents and visitors who are eligible for the service as determined by the Authority. The service is for individuals who are not able to access Fixed Route due to a physical or cognitive disability and have trips that begin or end in a location less than ¾ mile from a Fixed Route bus stop. Reservations for the Paratransit service may be made up to 14 days in advance. Same-day service is available but is subject to availability and a convenience fee.

Intercounty
County Express’ Intercounty routes provide connections from the Cities of Hollister and San Juan Bautista to the City of Gilroy. There is daily weekday service to Gavilan College and the Caltrain station and Saturday service to the Greyhound station in Gilroy. The weekday shuttle service to Gavilan College is from 6:50 a.m. to 6:10 p.m. with a limited schedule when school is not in session. There are three early morning and three evening runs to the Gilroy Caltrain station for connections to Caltrain and Valley Transportation Authority bus services. Service to the Greyhound station operates on Saturday and Sunday from 7:40 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

SPECIALIZED TRANSPORTATION SERVICES
According to the 2010 U.S. Census, 10.4 percent of the total county population is aged 65 or older. Many of these elderly individuals and persons with disabilities require specialized transportation services to travel to medical appointments, shop, and visit recreation centers.

The Authority contracts with Jovenes de Antaño, a local non-profit organization that has been providing specialized transportation services in San Benito County since 1990. Specialized services include Out of County Non-Emergency Medical Transportation, Medical Shopping Assistance Transportation, and Senior Lunch Transportation Program. These services are beyond the requirements of Americans with Disabilities Act. They provide escort services, door-through-door, and minor translation services.

Jovenes de Antaño also has a referral program that provides information about other social services within the community, coordination of home-based services, referral to legal assistance, and other local services to their clients. The coordination effort between Jovenes de Antaño and the Authority allows for efficient, affordable and reliable service for this critical need in the community of San Benito County.

The LTA makes great strides to provide a comprehensive and adequate public transit service. This continued effort to meet the needs of the community is accomplished through the annual Unmet Transit Needs Process, which is outlined in this Report.

1 U.S. Census, San Benito County
Unmet Transit Needs Overview

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT (TDA)

The Transportation Development Act of 1971 (TDA), also known as SB 325, is administered by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) through the county’s designated regional transportation planning agency (RTPA).

As the administrator of Transportation Development Act (TDA) funds, the Council of San Benito County Governments (COG), as the regional transportation planning agency, is charged with performing the Unmet Transit Needs (UTN) process. The purpose of this process is to ensure that all unmet transit needs that are "reasonable to meet" are met before funds are expended for non-transit uses, such as streets and roads.

"Unmet Transit Needs" are defined as expressed or identified needs of a significant segment of the community for public transportation services to meet basic mobility needs which are not currently being met through existing transit services or other means of transportation.

The “Reasonable to Meet” standard is based on several criteria that analyze how accommodating that transit need will affect the rest of the transit system that it relates to. If it passes the criteria then it is found reasonable to meet and changes will be made to accommodate the need.

The process is done annually and entails a comprehensive outreach program and a series of public hearings throughout the county to obtain comments on unmet transit needs that may be reasonable to meet. Once the comments are received, the Social Services Transportation Advisory Committee (SSTAC) analyzes them to determine if there are any transit needs that meet the adopted definitions of "reasonable to meet" and "unmet transit need" and makes a recommendation of findings to the COG Board. If the Board determines there are unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet, the affected jurisdiction must satisfy the needs before any TDA funds are expended for non-transit purposes.

This Report documents the Unmet Transit Needs process which is submitted annually to the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans).
Adopted Definitions and Procedures for Noticing and Conducting The Annual Unmet Transit Needs Hearing

As required by PUC section 9940 1.5, the Council of San Benito County Governments must adopt formal definitions of "unmet transit need" and "reasonable to meet." The first definition is the primary tool used to evaluate the public testimony received during the initial hearing.

The second definition is used to evaluate the reasonableness of meeting those requests. State law (PUC Section 994015(c)) has been modified to clarify that..."the fact that an identified transit need cannot fully be met based on available resources shall not be the sole reason for finding that a transit need is not reasonable to meet."

Additionally, the Act specifies that..." An agency's determination of needs that are reasonable to meet shall not be made by comparing unmet transit needs with the need of streets and roads."

I. The "unmet needs" definition adopted by Council of San Benito County Governments:

"Unmet needs are defined as expressed or identified needs of a significant segment of the community for public transportation services to meet basic mobility needs which are not currently being met through existing transit services or other means of transportation."

Included, at a minimum, are those public transportation or specialized services that are identified in the Regional Transportation Plan, Short Range Transit Plan and/or Transit Development Plan, which have not been implemented or funded.

II. The “unmet needs” threshold criteria adopted by the Council of San Benito County Governments:

The following criteria must be true for the COG to consider a request an “unmet need”. If a request fails to satisfy any of the criteria below, the request is not an unmet need.

1. The request fills a gap in transit service, or is identified as a deficiency in the Regional Transportation Plan.
2. Sufficient broad-based community support exists.
3. Request is a current rather than future need.
4. Request is not operational in nature (i.e. minor route change, bus stop change, etc.)
III. Adopted Definition of "Transit Needs That Are Reasonable To Meet Determination."

In making the reasonableness determination, an analysis will be conducted on existing transit services, available options, likely demand and general costs based on similar services in the area and available studies. Once completed, the following criteria shall be considered.

REASONABLE TO MEET CRITERIA

In making a reasonableness determination, an analysis will be conducted on existing transit services, available options, likely demand and general costs based on similar services in the area and available studies. An Unmet Transit Need would be considered reasonable to meet if the proposed service is in general compliance with the following criteria:

A. EQUITY
   The proposed service would:
   1. Benefit the general public.
   2. Not unreasonably discriminate against nor favor any particular area or segment of the community at the exclusion of any other.
   3. Not result in adversely affect existing services in other parts of the transit system that have an equal or higher priority immediately or within the foreseeable future.
   4. Require a subsidy per passenger generally equivalent to other parts of the transit system, unless overriding reasons so justify.

B. TIMING
   The proposed service would:
   1. Be in response to an existing rather than a future need.
   2. Be implemented consistent with federal, state, or regional funding approval schedules, if such funds are the most appropriate primary method of funding.

C. COST EFFECTIVENESS
   The proposed service would:
   1. Not cause the responsible operator or service claimant to incur expenses in excess of the maximum allocated funds.
   2. Not set a precedent for other service expansions without a reasonable expectation of available funding.
   3. Have available funding on a long-term basis to maintain the service.

D. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE
   1. The efficiency of the new, expanded or revised transit service, excluding specialized transportation services, shall be measured on efficiency, such as:
      - Cost per passenger trip,
      - Cost per vehicle service hour,
      - Passenger trips per vehicle service hour,
      - Passenger trips per service mile,
      - On-time performance.
   2. The proposed service would have a reasonable expectation of future increase in ridership.
E. OPERATIONAL FEASIBILITY
   1. The new, expanded or revised transit service must be safe to operate and there must be adequate roadways and turnouts for transit vehicles.
   2. The new service would be provided with the existing vehicle fleet or with vehicles that can be acquired with available funds.
   3. The new service would have the available maintenance staff to cover the additional vehicle maintenance hours incurred as a result of the proposed service.

F. COMMUNITY ACCEPTANCE
   A significant level of community support exists for the public subsidy of transit services designed to address the unmet transit need. Including but not limited to, community groups, community leaders, and community meetings reflecting support for the unmet transit need.

G. ADA CONFORMITY
   The new, expanded or modified service, excluding specialized transportation services, would conform to the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act. The COG shall consider the financial impact on the TDA claimant if complementary paratransit services are required as a result of the new, expanded, or modified service.

H. OTHER FACTORS
   Other specific, formulated components that COG determines to affect the reasonableness of meeting an unmet transit need.
BEFORE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE
COUNCIL OF SAN BENITO COUNTY GOVERNMENTS

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF
DIRECTORS OF THE COUNCIL OF SAN
BENITO COUNTY GOVERNMENTS
AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 90-12 TO
REVISE THE CRITERIA FOR
DETERMINING UNMET TRANSIT NEEDS
THAT ARE "REASONABLE TO MEET"

Resolution No. 11-04

WHEREAS, the Council of San Benito County Governments, herein referred to as ("COG") is the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) for San Benito County; and

WHEREAS, the COG is responsible for the allocation to claimants of funds received from the Transportation Development Act (P.U.C. 99200, et seq); and

WHEREAS, Transportation Development Act funds can be allocated to eligible claimants for support of public transportation systems, bicycle and pedestrian facilities, and for streets and roads; and

WHEREAS, COG identifies unmet transit needs within the San Benito County region and those needs that are reasonable to meet in accordance with Public utilities Code, Section 99401.5; and

WHEREAS, COG, on July 12, 1990, adopted Resolution No. 90-12, adopting the definition of "unmet needs" and the criteria for determining unmet transit needs that are "reasonable to meet" in San Benito County.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the Council of San Benito County Governments, that it does hereby amend COG's Resolution 90-12 to amend the criteria for determining what unmet transit needs are "reasonable to meet", as set forth in Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE COUNCIL OF SAN BENITO COUNTY GOVERNMENTS THIS 21ST DAY OF APRIL, 2011 BY THE FOLLOWING VOTES

AYES: 
NOES: 
ABSTAINING: 
ABSENT: 

Jaime De La Cruz, Chair

ATTTEST:
Lisa Rheinkerhermer, Executive Director

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:
San Benito County Counsel Office

By: Shirley L. Murphy, Deputy County Counsel

Dated: April 13, 2011
Exhibit A

REASONABLE TO MEET CRITERIA

In making a reasonableness determination, an analysis will be conducted on existing transit services, available options, likely demand and general costs based on similar services in the area and available studies. An Unmet Transit Need would be considered reasonable to meet if the proposed service is in general compliance with the following criteria:

A. EQUITY

The proposed service would:
1. Benefit the general public.
2. Not unreasonably discriminate against nor favor any particular area or segment of the community at the exclusion of any other.
3. Not adversely affect existing services in other parts of the transit system that have an equal or higher priority immediately or within the foreseeable future.
4. Require a subsidy per passenger generally equivalent to other parts of the transit system, unless overriding reasons so justify.

B. TIMING

The proposed service would:
1. Be in response to an existing rather than a future need.
2. Be implemented consistent with federal, state, or regional funding approval schedules, if such funds are the most appropriate primary method of funding.

C. COST EFFECTIVENESS

The proposed service would:
1. Not cause the responsible operator or service claimant to incur expenses in excess of the maximum allocated funds.
2. Not set a precedent for other service expansions without a reasonable expectation of available funding.
3. Have available funding on a long-term basis to maintain the service.
D. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

1. The efficiency of the new, expanded or revised transit service, excluding specialized transportation services, shall be measured on efficiency, such as:
   - Cost per passenger trip,
   - Cost per vehicle service hour,
   - Passenger trips per vehicle service hour,
   - Passenger trips per service mile,
   - On-time performance.

2. The proposed service would have a reasonable expectation of future increase in ridership.

E. OPERATIONAL FEASIBILITY

1. The new, expanded or revised transit service must be safe to operate and there must be adequate roadways and turnouts for transit vehicles.
2. The new service would be provided with the existing vehicle fleet or with vehicles that can be acquired with available funds.
3. The new service would have the available maintenance staff to cover the additional vehicle maintenance hours incurred as a result of the proposed service.

F. COMMUNITY ACCEPTANCE

A significant level of community support exists for the public subsidy of transit services designed to address the unmet transit need, including but not limited to, community groups, community leaders, and community meetings reflecting support for the unmet transit need.

G. ADA CONFORMITY

The new, expanded or modified service, excluding specialized transportation services, would conform to the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act. The COG shall consider the financial impact on the TDA claimant if complementary paratransit services are required as a result of the new, expanded, or modified service.

H. OTHER FACTORS

Other specific, formulated components that COG determines to affect the reasonableness of meeting an unmet transit need.
Unmet Transit Needs Public Hearing

HEARING PROCESS

The Council of Governments held one public hearing and two public meetings to receive Unmet Transit Needs testimony. Translation services were available at both hearings, and transportation was available to those persons in need by San Benito County Transit.

The hearing was held February 15, 2018 at 3:00 p.m. during the Council of Governments regular Board meeting.

Two public meetings are also scheduled on: February 13, 2018 from 1:00 PM to 2:00 PM at the Hollister Community Center, 300 West Street, Hollister, CA and on February 14, 2018 from 2:00 PM to 3:30 PM at San Juan Bautista City Hall, 311 Second Street, in San Juan Bautista, CA.

During the public comment period, the Council of Governments received a total of 25 comments. Enclosed in this report is a summary of comments received, Unmet Transit Needs determination (i.e. Unmet Need or Not an Unmet Need), COG response to comments, and relevant Unmet Transit Needs Policy.

Notice of the hearing and meetings was given and included the date, place and specific purpose of the meeting through various means. Spanish language translation was provided at all meetings. The meetings were advertised by distributing flyers on social media and public spaces, including bus stop shelters and aboard transit vehicles.
The notice below was published in the local Hollister Freelance on January 12, 2018 in both English and Spanish.

942 HOL - Public Notice
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING UNMET TRANSIT NEEDS

Notice is hereby given that one public hearing and two public meetings will be held by the Council of San Benito County Governments. The purpose of the hearing and meetings are to provide the public the opportunity to identify any transit needs that are not currently being met by the local bus services (County Express and Specialized Services) in San Benito County. Said public hearing will be held on February 15, 2018 at 3:00 PM at the San Benito County Administration Building, 481 Fourth Street, Hollister, CA. Two public meetings are also scheduled on Tuesday, February 13 from 1:00 PM to 2:00 PM at the Hollister Community Center, 300 West Street, Hollister, CA and on Wednesday, February 14 from 2 PM to 3:30 PM at Vertigo Coffee Roasters, 81 4th Street, San Juan Bautista, CA. If you are unable to attend, you may submit your comments in writing by March 1, 2018 to: Council of Governments, Attn: Unmet Transit Needs, 330 Tres Pinos Road, Suite C7, Hollister, CA 95023. For more information, please contact Veronica Lezama, Transportation Planner, at (831) 637-7665 Ext. 204 or at veronica@sanbenitocog.org.

AVISO-AUDIENCIA PÚBLICA NECESIDADES DE TRÁNSITO
Se avisa que una audiencia pública y dos justas públicas se llevaran a cabo por el Concilio de los Gobiernos del Condado de San Benito. El propósito de la audiencia y justas es ofrecer al público la oportunidad de identificar las necesidades de tránsito que en la actualidad no se están cumpliendo en el Condado de San Benito. Dicha Audiencia Pública se llevaran a cabo el 15 de febrero del 2018 a las 3:00 PM en el Edificio de Administración del Condado de San Benito, 481 Fourth Street, Hollister, CA. Dos justas públicas también están programadas para el martes 13 de febrero de 1:00 PM a 2:00 PM en el Centro de la Comunidad de Hollister, 300 West Street, Hollister, CA; y el miércoles 14 de febrero de 2:00 PM a 3:30 PM en Vertigo Coffee Roasters, 81 4th Street, San Juan Bautista, CA. Traducción en Español será disponible. Si usted no puede atender, escríba para expresar sus opiniones antes del 1 de marzo, 2018 a el Concilio de Gobiernos, Attn: Necesidades de Transit, 330 Tres Pinos Road, Suite C7, Hollister, CA 95023. Para obtener más información, llame a Veronica Lezama, Planificadora de Transportación, al (831) 637-7665 Ext. 204 o por correo electrónico a veronica@sanbenitocog.org.

Published: January 12, 2018
COG Minutes, Relating to the Unmet Transit Needs Hearings
SAN BENITO COUNTY 
COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS 
REGULAR MEETING 

February 15, 2018, 3:00 P.M. 

DRAFT MINUTES 

MEMBERS PRESENT: 
Chair De La Cruz, Vice-Chair Boch, Director Gillio, Director Velazquez, and Alternate Muenzer 
Ex Officio: Caltrans District 5, Aileen Loe 

MEMBERS ABSENT: 
Director Botelho 

STAFF PRESENT: 
Deputy County Counsel, Shirley Murphy; Executive Director, Mary Gilbert; Transportation Planner, 
Veronica Lezama; Transportation Planner, Regina Valentine; Secretary I, Monica Gomez 

CALL TO ORDER: 
Chair De La Cruz called the meeting to order at 3:00 P.M. 

A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE 

B. CERTIFICATE OF POSTING 
Upon a motion duly made by Director Boch, and seconded by Director Muenzer, the Directors 
acknowledged the Certificate of Posting. Vote: 5/0 motion passes. 

C. COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS 
1. Delegate and Alternate to the California Association of Councils of Governments (CALCOG) 
   Delegate – Director Ignacio Velazquez, Alternate – Chair Jaime De La Cruz 
2. Two Representatives to the San Benito/ Santa Clara Mobility Partnership 
   Chair Jaime De La Cruz and Director Ignacio Velazquez 
3. Representative to Speak on Behalf of COG at California Transportation Commission and 
   Central Coast Coalition Meetings 
   Director Anthony Botelho 

Regarding 2018 Committee appointments, Chair De La Cruz stated that he would keep the same 
Committee appointments as 2017 (as noted above). 

D. PUBLIC COMMENT: 
Chair De La Cruz stated for the record that the COG Board received Joe Thompson’s public comment 
correspondence dated January 28, 2018 through February 14, 2018. The correspondence was entered into 
the public record.
E. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT: Gilbert

As a follow up from the last COG meeting regarding the Financial Audit, Ms. Gilbert reported that Kathy Postigo of COG staff, was working with Leanne Godinez from the County Auditor’s office to make needed corrections to the COG accounts to be compliant with our Auditor’s findings.

Ms. Gilbert announced that CALCOG will be hosting its annual Regional Leadership Forum next month in Monterey. Ms. Gilbert stated that Board members are all welcomed to attend. She will forward the information to the Board and asked that they let her know if they would like staff to register them.

Ms. Gilbert reported that Congressman Jimmy Panetta stopped by the COG office and met with Chair De La Cruz, herself, and Veronica Lezama of COG staff a couple of weeks ago. They were able to go over transportation needs for the County and they also discussed where they would like to see some emphasis on the federal side.

F. CALTRANS DISTRICT 5 REPORT: Aileen Loe

Aileen Loe with Caltrans District 5, reported that the US 101 in Santa Barbara that was closed due to mudslide damage, has been re-opened.

Ms. Loe announced that a call for projects is under way for the 2018/2019 Transportation Planning Grants. Deadline to submit applications to Caltrans is Friday, February 23, 2018. She also announced that Caltrans released its first Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment for the Bay Area. District 5’s assessment is scheduled for release in spring 2019. They are also getting ready for the release of Cycle 4 of the Active Transportation Program. A call for projects should be going out in March with applications due in June.

Ms. Loe announced the conclusion of the California Road Charge Pilot Program. She stated that over 5,000 people participated in the program with different types of vehicles ranging from passenger vehicles to heavy commercial and light commercial vehicles. The findings from the program were positive.

Lastly, Ms. Loe provided a handout with responses to questions that were brought up by Director Botelho at the last COG meeting.

G. BOARD OF DIRECTORS REPORTS:

Regarding COG’s potential sales tax measure, Director Gillio stated that he was impressed with the public turnout from COG’s special meeting on Saturday, February 3rd. The Board discussed having additional evening meetings to allow members of the public who may be commuting the opportunity to attend as well. They also talked about other locations such as San Juan Bautista and/or Aromas.

Ms. Gilbert stated that she would provide more detailed information under Item 9 as it relates to the discussion. She stated that staff would be working with the consultant and would like to also confer with them to schedule additional meetings. She mentioned that Aromas/ San Juan Bautista Rotary meets early in the morning and that might work for some members of the public. She also mentioned that the Aromas Grange meets on Sunday evenings.

The Board directed staff to confer with the consultant and look at scheduling an evening meeting during the week in the west part of the County.

CONSENT AGENDA:

1. APPROVE Council of Governments Draft Meeting Minutes Dated January 18, 2018 – Gomez
2. RECEIVE Construction Projects Report – Caltrans District 5

3. APPROVE COG Executive Director Salary Increase from Step D to Step E Effective January 14, 2018, Pursuant to Employment Agreement Dated August 20, 2015 – Postigo


5. Amendment to Fiscal Year 2017/2018 Overall Work Program – Lezama
   a. APPROVE Amendment No. 2 to the Fiscal Year 2017/2018 Overall Work Program to Include SB1 Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account Sustainable Communities Funding for an Analysis of Public Transit Network Expansion Projects for Congestion Relief of the Highway 25 Corridor Study, and
   b. AUTHORIZE the Executive Director to Sign a New FY 2017/2018 Overall Work Program Agreement with the California Department of Transportation.

Director Boch asked to pull Item 1 from Consent.

There was no public comment on the Consent Agenda.

Upon a motion duly by made by Director Velazquez, and seconded by Director Gillio, the Directors approved Items 2 -5 from the Consent agenda. Vote: 5/0 motion passes.

Item 1:

Director Boch noted a correction to the January 18, 2018 COG minutes under Item C and D. The minutes should be corrected to state that COG’s Chairperson for 2018 is Jaime De La Cruz, and COG’s Vice-Chairperson for 2018 is Tony Boch.

Upon a motion duly by made by Director Boch, and seconded by Director Velazquez, the Directors approved Item 1 from the Consent agenda as amended. Vote: 5/0 motion passes.

REGULAR AGENDA
TRANSPORTATION ITEMS:

3:00 P.M. Public Hearing (Or As Soon Thereafter As the Matter May Be Heard)

6. 2018 Unmet Transit Needs Hearing – Lezama
   a. RECEIVE Report on Unmet Transit Needs
   b. OPEN Public Hearing
   c. CLOSE Public Hearing

Veronica Lezama recommended that the COG Board open a public hearing to receive comments on the 2018 Unmet Transit Needs Hearing. Following public comments, the Board will close the public hearing. The public comment period will conclude March 1, 2018.

Chair De La Cruz opened the public hearing at 3:15 p.m.

Public Comment:

Monica Quiroz-Rivera
Hollister

Ms. Quiroz-Rivera voiced her concerns about the bus service. She mentioned that she is an avid proponent of public transportation as it is one of the best things this county has to offer. She expressed concern over the fact that there is no fixed route service from 11:00 a.m. – 2:00 p.m. during the week.
Secondly, she stated that there is no fixed route service on the weekends. She has to call during the week to schedule a ride for the weekend, however it is limited service from 9 a.m. – 2:30 p.m. and if you don’t call in advance for an appointment you may not get a ride. She stated that another issue is that the bus stops are not clearly identified, specifically noting the bus stop at the taqueria near the intersection of Line St. and 4th St. She’s had to flag the bus down or has had to call them to turn around and come back. Also, there are not enough benches at the bus stops, specifically noting the Safeway and Kmart bus stop locations. Lastly, she stated that there needed to be additional locations to purchase tokens/passes, such as the Community Center, and/or Gavilan College. Lastly, Ms. Quiroz-Rivera complemented the bus drivers, stating that they were friendly, hardworking, and some of the best bus drivers ever.

Chair De La Cruz closed the public hearing at 3:20 p.m.

Chair De La Cruz asked staff to look into possibilities of selling tokens at other locations in the community.

Ms. Lezama stated that as part of the Unmet Transit Needs process, staff will review and analyze all testimony received with COG’s Social Services Transportation Advisory Council and provide recommendations to the COG Board at its April meeting.

7. RECEIVE Update on Santa Clara/San Benito Mobility Partnership Meeting Activities – Gilbert

Ms. Gilbert reported out on the most recent Mobility Partnership meeting that was held on February 7th. She stated that the agenda focused on a discussion of phases for the SR 25/101 Interchange project, with VTA and Caltrans staff providing information on options for project phasing.

There was discussion about the two phases that are being considered: US 101/SR 25 Phase 1-Option A (New Bridge) total project cost estimate $65 million and US 101/SR 25 Phase 1-Option B (Direct Ramp) total project cost estimate $50 million. Board members spoke in support of Option B as the best solution for San Benito County residents because it was cheaper and it would line up better with the ultimate project.

Aileen Loe, with Caltrans District 5, mentioned that any new proposals would be subject to additional environmental review. Also, they would have to do a revalidation process because 5 years have passed from the year 2013 when it was approved.

Ms. Gilbert clarified that both phases are for the ultimate Highway 25/101 interchange project that would accommodate State Route 152. Both options are being looked at to minimize throw away. Additional environmental study and traffic analysis would be required because neither of the two options were contemplated as stand-alone options.

There was no public comment.

8. RECEIVE Update on Senate Bill 1 and the Central Coast Coalition Legislative Day on January 30, 2018 – Gilbert

Ms. Gilbert reported that she and Director Botelho met with Assembly Members Mark Stone and Anna Caballero, and staff from Senator Anthony Canella’s office. Transportation leaders provided updates on the status of Senate Bill 1 and potential repeal efforts. At this time, there is an effort to collect signatures to place an initiative on the November 2018 ballot for California voters to consider a repeal of SB1.

Ms. Gilbert stated that California Transportation Commission and other transportation leaders are encouraging local agencies to use the SB1 funds they have received and to begin project implementation as soon as is possible. The local Cities and County have begun receiving payment of Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account funding that is available for use on local street and road maintenance.
Ms. Gilbert reported that this morning, the SBC Board of Supervisors voted to support SB1 and protect it from repeal.

9. Transportation Funding Strategy – Gilbert
   a. REVIEW and COMMENT on Draft Expenditure Plan Outline
   b. APPROVE Public Outreach Plan and Strategy Contract with Clifford Moss for an Amount Not to Exceed $40,000

Ms. Gilbert reported that staff issued a Request for Proposals for a strategy/outreach consultant on January 16th and received two responses. Clifford Moss was chosen as the highest ranked consultant. Staff negotiated a contract with Clifford Moss for an amount not to exceed $40,000 and is asking for the Boards approval. The consultant will also be able to help with the development of the public opinion survey. The survey will be conducted by EMC Research in mid-February 2018 and preliminary results will be presented to the Board in March. The consultant team from Clifford Moss will also be working with staff and identified stakeholders to ensure that the expenditure plan is responsive to the public.

There followed some discussion from the Board. They asked about social media outreach. They talked about focusing on the widening of Highway 25 and doing repairs to local streets and roads as the main projects.

Ms. Gilbert stated that the consultant asked staff to put together a small stakeholder group meeting with representatives from the COG Board and staff to meet on February 27th and follow up with a public stakeholder meeting in the first week of March. The consultant will be working behind the scenes to educate staff, and local officials on how to best answer questions from the public.

Public Comment:

Ruth Erickson

Ms. Erickson stated that besides our main roads we must consider our local Hollister and San Juan Bautista streets. If we are to reattract customers to shop in our downtowns we need to have safe streets. She stated for example, that Hawkins Street between San Benito St. and Monterey St. is very dangerous for pedestrians, bicyclists, wheelchairs, strollers, etc. There are other dangerous streets that she has brought up for the last 40 years, which never got fixed. She stated that we need to be proud of our two downtowns in San Juan Bautista and Hollister to encourage locals and tourists alike to shop and enjoy our central areas and historic landmarks. Lastly, she stated that she hopes that if we are going to pay a tax that we actually fix the streets that have never been fixed in the 40 years she’s lived here.

Victor Gomez
Pinnacle Strategy

Mr. Gomez was glad to hear the Board is moving forward with a consultant. He agreed with the Mayor on using the language of widening of Highway 25 to 4 lanes and Pavement maintenance as the key focus on the measure and getting it passed. He stated that “congestion relief” is not enough to get the votes needed. He stated that we need to pay close attention to the language that was used in the last measure in Supervisor Botelho’s (San Juan Bautista/Aromas) District because it looks like that district got the highest approval from voters. Hopefully, the same language could be used to keep those same voters to support this measure and carry voters from Supervisor Muenzer’s district who had the lowest percentage of voter approval. Lastly, he stated that hopefully the state and federal government will understand that it’s time for them to step up on Bicycle and Pedestrian improvements through grants and if they want us to help with that, then they will have to step up and fund it.
Stephen Rosati

Mr. Rosati stated that if you are using the RTP as a guide for projects, then using the proper language in the measure and leaving the proposed tax at 1% for 30 years, may work. Cutting back in projects creates more problems. He said that we need to learn from what was done in the past. There are three past tax measures that we can learn from.

There followed some discussion from the Board. They commented on emphasizing that it is a “sales tax” and not a “gas tax”. It was also mentioned that the biggest issue is public trust and working on ensuring that they have that trust by developing a clear list of projects and having a citizen oversight committee to ensure funds are being spent appropriately.

**Upon a motion duly made by Director Velazquez, and seconded by Director Boch, the Directors unanimously approved Item 9ab. Vote: 5/0 motion passes.**

**Upon a motion duly made by Director Muenzer, and seconded by Director Velazquez, the Directors Unanimously adjourned the COG meeting. The meeting was adjourned at 4:11 p.m. Vote: 5/0 motion passes.**

**ADJOURN TO COG MEETING MARCH 15, 2018 at 3:00 P.M.**
Public Comments Received and COG Response
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>When do you foresee restoring the Fixed Route midday service?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unmet Transit Need, Reasonable to Meet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The cost of restoring the Fixed Route midday weekday service is estimated at $131,020 annually. <em>(2,862 service hours x contractor hourly rate of $46)</em>. The LTA is expected to receive $321,000 annually in State Transit Assistance from Senate Bill 1, Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017. LTA staff submitted an expenditure plan to Caltrans, which identifies the restoration of the weekday mid-day Fixed Route service. The service will be initiated in fiscal year 2018/19.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>The Fixed Route bus service closes up on Monday through Friday from 11 a.m. through 2 p.m. right in the middle of the day. If I want to do some shopping or if someone invites me to go to lunch there are no bus services during that time. If they can’t pick me up, I have to use shanks mare to get there. And for an old lady that’s not very easy to do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unmet Transit Need, Reasonable to Meet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The cost of restoring the Fixed Route midday weekday service is estimated at $131,020 annually. <em>(2,862 service hours x contractor hourly rate of $46)</em>. The LTA is expected to receive $321,000 annually in State Transit Assistance from Senate Bill 1, Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017. LTA staff submitted an expenditure plan to Caltrans, which identifies the restoration of the weekday mid-day Fixed Route service. The service will be initiated in fiscal year 2018/19.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Fixed Route is not available Saturday and Sunday’s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unmet Transit Need, Not Reasonable to Meet based on the following criteria:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The efficiency of the new, expanded or revised transit service, excluding specialized transportation services, shall be measured on efficiency, such as:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cost per passenger trip,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cost per vehicle service hour,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Passenger trips per vehicle service hour,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Passenger trips per service mile,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• On-time performance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As a priority, the LTA is working on restoring the weekday midday Fixed Route service. The LTA estimates that the cost of implementing a weekend Fixed Route service to cost $108,000* annually. The primary weekday ridership profile on County Express consists of students. Without this base ridership, the LTA cannot justify the implantation of a weekend Fixed Route service at this time. However, the LTA will be implementing additional weekend Dial-a-Ride services to assist with the lack of a weekend Fixed Route service and limited weekend Dial-a-Ride services. The supplemental Dial-a-Ride services will be initiated in fiscal year 2018/19.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. a</td>
<td>And I can get around if I call on Monday for an appointment for Saturday or Sunday, but the service is limited. From 9 a.m. to about 2:30 p.m. or so. And if you don’t call in time your out of luck.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unmet Transit Need, Reasonable to Meet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The weekend Dial-a-Ride service has reach its capacity. There is currently one vehicle available on the weekend between 9 a.m. to 3 p.m. The cost of providing an additional weekend Dial-a-Ride service vehicle is estimated at $28,000 annually.* The supplemental Dial-a-Ride services will be initiated in fiscal year 2018/19.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Does not include fuel or maintenance costs.  
1 Does not include fuel or maintenance costs.  
2 Does not include fuel or maintenance costs.
<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>4.</strong></td>
<td>Extend weekend hours past 3 p.m. in order to attend church. Earlier bus trips to church are booked.</td>
<td>Unmet Transit Need, Reasonable to Meet.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **5.** | I think the bus service should work on Holidays like most bus services in other counties. | Unmet Transit Need, Not Reasonable to Meet based on the following criteria: **D. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE** The efficiency of the new, expanded or revised transit service, excluding specialized transportation services, shall be measured on efficiency, such as:  
- Cost per passenger trip,  
- Cost per vehicle service hour,  
- Passenger trips per vehicle service hour,  
- Passenger trips per service mile,  
- On-time performance. | The County Express holiday schedule includes the following six dates: New Year’s Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day. The Services Transportation Advisory Council (SSTAC) recommended that CDG staff research the feasibility of providing holiday Dial-a-Ride services. County Express drivers are covered under the SMART -UTU Local 23 union contract. According to the contract, the following days shall be designated as paid holidays: New Year’s Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day, Christmas Day, subject to approved scheduling by management. Employee having to work on these days shall receive their regular rate of pay in addition to the holiday pay. MV Transportation’s hourly rate is $45.78/hour.* Providing a bus on during the six holidays would cost approximately $1,648 annually. The cost does not include overtime, fuel or maintenance costs. 
Accommodating holiday services would affect the existing operations agreement – requiring contract amendment negotiations. At this time, CDG staff does not recommend additional holiday bus services.  
* $45.78 (hourly rate) x (six hours/day) x (six days annually). |
| **6.** | Have a later bus during the week for people that work late or attend Gavilan at night. | Not an unmet need as the transit need has been met. | The LTA recently, January 29, 2018, added a new 7:00 p.m. route that travels from Hollister to Gavilan College and the Caltrain Station to accommodate later work schedules. |
| **7.** | Need service to connect to the early Caltrain and VTA runs that leave the Diridon Station in San Jose. | Unmet Transit Need, Not Reasonable to Meet based on the following criteria: **G. OTHER FACTORS**  
- Other specific, articulable factors that CDG determines to affect the reasonableness of meeting an unmet transit need. | The VTA bus service at the Caltrain Station starts at 4 a.m. The County Express service begins at 5 a.m. 
The Local Transportation Authority was recently awarded a grant to conduct an Analysis of Public Transit Network Expansion Projects for Congestion Relief of the Highway 25 Corridor Study. The Study will conduct an in-depth analysis identifying opportunities to expand the public transit network providing alternatives to driving along the corridor will be completed. The Study will commence during the summer of 2018 and updates will be provided to the Social Services Transportation Advisory Council, Local Transportation Authority and the Council of Governments’ Board of Directors. |

---

* Does not include fuel or maintenance costs.
8. The last bus to Hollister/Gilroy (Greyhound service) on weekends should leave later and leave earlier on weekends or have an extra route earlier and later one. This route should be implemented on Holidays also.

Unmet Transit Need, Not Reasonable to Meet based on the following criteria:

G. OTHER FACTORS
• Other specific, articulable factors that COG determines to affect the reasonableness of meeting an unmet transit need.

The weekend County Express service begins at 7:35 a.m. and ends at 6:05 p.m. Adding additional hours before and after the regularly scheduled times would require comprehensive analysis to ensure that there is a demand for the service.

Weekend Greyhound Schedule (North & South)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Northbound to Gilroy/Freeway</th>
<th>Southbound to Hollister/Homestead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sun</td>
<td>7:30 7:40 7:50 8:10 8:30</td>
<td>8:35 8:45 9:05 9:45 10:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tue</td>
<td>10:20 10:30 10:50 11:20</td>
<td>10:40 10:50 11:10 11:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed</td>
<td>11:50 12:00 12:30</td>
<td>12:10 12:20 12:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fri</td>
<td>14:20 14:30 14:50</td>
<td>14:10 14:20 14:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sat</td>
<td>15:20 15:30 15:50</td>
<td>15:10 15:20 15:40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The LTA was recently awarded a grant to conduct an Analysis of Public Transit Network Expansion Projects for Congestion Relief of the Highway 25 Corridor Study. The Study will conduct an in-depth analysis identifying opportunities to expand the public transit network providing alternatives to driving along the corridor will be completed. The Study will commence during the summer of 2018 and updated will be provided to the Social Services Transportation Advisory Council, LTA and the Council of Governments’ Board of Directors.

The County Express holiday schedule includes the following six dates: New Year’s Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day.

County Express drivers are covered under the SMART -UTU Local 23 union contract. According to the contract, the following days shall be designated as paid holidays: New Year’s Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day, Christmas Day, subject to approved scheduling by management. Employee having to work on these days shall receive their regular rate of pay in addition to the holiday pay.

Accommodating holiday services would affect the existing operations agreement – requiring contract amendment negotiations. At this time, COG staff does not recommend additional holiday bus services.

* $45.78 (hourly rate) x (six hours/day) x (six days annually).

9. I know that the first and last bus doesn’t get a lot of people, but I do feel that it’s important to have the last and first bus always do the full ride to and from Hollister and Gilroy. I just feel that sometimes emergencies arise or people have to work in Hollister early or leave Hollister late to go to Gilroy. I notice the early bus does not do a route back. I am not sure about the late bus if it does both routes.

Unmet Transit Need, Not Reasonable to Meet based on the following criteria:

D. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE
The efficiency of the new, expanded or revised transit service, excluding specialized transportation services, shall be measured on efficiency, such as:
• Cost per passenger trip,
• Cost per vehicle service hour,
• Passenger trips per vehicle service hour,
• Passenger trips per service mile,
• On-time performance.

The LTA was recently awarded a grant to conduct an Analysis of Public Transit Network Expansion Projects for Congestion Relief of the Highway 25 Corridor Study. The Study will conduct an in-depth analysis identifying opportunities to expand the public transit network providing alternatives to driving along the corridor will be completed.

The Study will commence during the summer of 2018 and updated will be provided to the Social Services Transportation Advisory Council, LTA and the Council of Governments’ Board of Directors.
Operational Comments

10. I think that the buses should all go to Caltrain or close to Caltrain. For example, there is a bus stop on Monterey and 10th Street in Gilroy. It would be nice if the bus could stop there first and then head to Gavilan and then go back towards the San Benito route.

Not an Unmet Transit Need as the request is operational in nature. The following criteria must be true for the COG to consider a request an “unmet need.” If a request fails to satisfy any of the criteria below, the request is not an unmet need.

- The request fills a gap in transit service, or is identified as a deficiency in the Regional Transportation Plan.
- Sufficient broad-based community support exists.
- Request is a current rather than future need
- Request is not operational in nature (i.e. minor route change, bus stop change, etc.)

11. San Juan is really growing. I don’t know how far the two new housing projects are but maybe once the homes are completed have more than one stop for SJB and Hollister. Reconfigure the route? Not sure.

Not an Unmet Transit Need request is a future rather than current need. The following criteria must be true for the COG to consider a request an “unmet need.” If a request fails to satisfy any of the criteria below, the request is not an unmet need.

- The request fills a gap in transit service, or is identified as a deficiency in the Regional Transportation Plan.
- Sufficient broad-based community support exists.
- Request is a current rather than future need
- Request is not operational in nature (i.e. minor route change, bus stop change, etc.)

COG serves on both the County and the City of Hollister’s Development Review Committee (DRC). The duties and responsibilities of the DRC are to review the site design of new development and improvements and provide applicants with appropriate design comments. Those comments include accommodations for public transit facilities and services. New development is required to accommodate public transit amenities, if warranted by the LTA.

The City of San Juan Bautista does not have a DRC; however, the LTA has contacted the San Juan Bautista City Manager to discuss public transit review opportunities for all new developments.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrastructure Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 12. Bikes lockers (boxes) should be located at the bus stops so that people can lock their bikes. A lot of homeless people like to steal parts so it is very scary to leave your bike out there. Not an Unmet Transit Need. The following criteria must be true for the COG to consider a request an “unmet need.” If a request fails to satisfy any of the criteria below, the request is not an unmet need.  
  - The request fills a gap in transit service, or is identified as a deficiency in the Regional Transportation Plan.  
  - Sufficient broad-based community support exists.  
  - Request is a current rather than future need.  
  - Request is not operational in nature (i.e. minor route change, bus stop change, etc.) |
| Bicycle lockers are not identified as a deficiency in the Bus Stop Improvement Plan or Short and Long Range Transit Plan. Bicycle parking, bicycle racks, are identified as part of the Bus Stop Improvement Plan. Implementation of the Bus Stop Improvement Plan is based on funding availability. The LTA provides bicycle parking at high usage bus stops and onboard all Fixed Route and Intercounty buses. As the service expands, bicycle parking accommodations at public transit facilities will be considered. New development are also required to accommodate public transit amenities, if warranted by the LTA. |
| 13. Have suggestion boxes at the bus stops so people that are not tech savvy can give their input via paper. Not an Unmet Transit Need. The following criteria must be true for the COG to consider a request an “unmet need.” If a request fails to satisfy any of the criteria below, the request is not an unmet need.  
  - The request fills a gap in transit service, or is identified as a deficiency in the Regional Transportation Plan.  
  - Sufficient broad-based community support exists.  
  - Request is a current rather than future need.  
  - Request is not operational in nature (i.e. minor route change, bus stop change, etc.) |
| A suggestion box will be placed at the Council of Governments office. Placing suggestion boxes at the bus stops may be difficult to monitor as they could be subject to vandalism. The LTA’s phone number is available on all bus stop schedules and the general public may contact the LTA with comments. The public may also provide comments through the annual Unmet Transit Needs process or year-round by contacting the LTA at:  
  Email: regina@sanbenitocog.org  
  Phone: 831-637-7665  
  Fax: 831-636-4161 |
| 14. I believe there should be better marketing like computerized banners to communicate the next bus. Not an Unmet Transit Need. The following criteria must be true for the COG to consider a request an “unmet need.” If a request fails to satisfy any of the criteria below, the request is not an unmet need.  
  - The request fills a gap in transit service, or is identified as a deficiency in the Regional Transportation Plan.  
  - Sufficient broad-based community support exists.  
  - Request is a current rather than future need.  
  - Request is not operational in nature (i.e. minor route change, bus stop change, etc.) |
| The LTA completed the Intelligent Transportation Systems Technology for the 21st Century: Using Technology to Improve Safety and Efficiency of San Benito County’s Transit System Plan. The LTA is currently seeking funding to implement the Plan’s recommendations. |
| 15. The bus stop at 4th & Line (taqueria) is not clearly marked. A couple of times I had to flag the bus down and they didn’t see me. I had to call and they had to turn around and come back. Not an Unmet Transit Need. The following criteria must be true for the COG to consider a request an “unmet need.” If a request fails to satisfy any of the criteria below, the request is not an unmet need.  
  - The request fills a gap in transit service, or is identified as a deficiency in the Regional Transportation Plan.  
  - Sufficient broad-based community support exists.  
  - Request is a current rather than future need.  
  - Request is not operational in nature (i.e. minor route change, bus stop change, etc.) |
| This location is considered a “flag stop.” A rider can “flag” down a bus to board the vehicle. Areas that permit flag stops don’t have regular bus stop amenities.  
  A housing developer, CHISPA, is in the process of constructing a senior housing project south of this location. As part of the development terms, CHISPA has agreed to coordinate the establishment of a bus stop with amenities at this location. Riders will be informed to contact County Express dispatch when planning to board at this location to ensure that the bus stops. |
16. For the most part there aren’t a lot places to sit down and, when you’re very young and energetic that’s ok. We need more benches at the bus stops. Over by Safeway there is no place to sit down unless you sit on the curb. A cross the street at Kmart no place to sit down unless you sit on the curb. Although, I did notice a bus top further past Kmart. Not an Unmet Transit Need. The following criteria must be true for the COG to consider a request an “unmet need.” If a request fails to satisfy any of the criteria below, the request is not an unmet need. 
- **The request fills a gap in transit service, or is identified as a deficiency in the Regional Transportation Plan.**
- **Sufficient broad-based community support exists.**
- **Request is a current rather than future need.**
- **Request is not operational in nature (i.e. minor route change, bus stop change, etc.)**

The LTA is currently working on identifying funding to implement the Bus Stop Improvement Plan, which evaluates each County Express bus stops for its accessibility and amenities and makes recommendations for improvements.

The bus stop located south of K-mart was installed with the residential development. As new developments are proposed, the LTA/COG ensures that accommodations for public transit facilities and services are considered. New developments are required to accommodate public transit amenities, if warranted by the LTA.

17. Be open to feedback from bus drivers and not administrators. If a person does not take the bus then they would not know what it feels like to take the bus so I feel that feedback from the public and bus drivers is important. Not an Unmet Transit Need because the request is operational in nature. The following criteria must be true for the COG to consider a request an “unmet need.” If a request fails to satisfy any of the criteria below, the request is not an unmet need. 
- **The request fills a gap in transit service, or is identified as a deficiency in the Regional Transportation Plan.**
- **Sufficient broad-based community support exists.**
- **Request is a current rather than future need.**
- **Request is not operational in nature (i.e. minor route change, bus stop change, etc.)**

The LTA has addressed this item at the drivers’ monthly meeting to ensure an open exchange of information. The LTA is ultimately responsible for ensuring that all suggestions from drivers and the general public are safe and feasible.

18. Concern that the only place to purchase bus tokens is at Tres Pinos Rd. I live down here on 7th street. So I have to make arrangements to have the bus take me so I can buy bus tokens. Why can’t bus tokens bus passes be sold at the college? How many people from the college use your bus service? Why can’t they be sold at the community center? How many senior citizens use your bus service? Why? Not an Unmet Transit Need as the request is operational in nature. The following criteria must be true for the COG to consider a request an “unmet need.” If a request fails to satisfy any of the criteria below, the request is not an unmet need. 
- **The request fills a gap in transit service, or is identified as a deficiency in the Regional Transportation Plan.**
- **Sufficient broad-based community support exists.**
- **Request is a current rather than future need.**
- **Request is not operational in nature (i.e. minor route change, bus stop change, etc.)**

In order to provide additional bus ticket options, the LTA will be coordinating with Jovenes de Antaño for the sale of tokens to senior and disabled clients at their office.

The LTA also recently entered into contract with Token Transit for a one-year pilot to offer a mobile ticketing fare for County Express. Token Transit will only charge LTA 10% of the final transaction value for each fare purchased through their app over $2.00. For all transactions less than $2.00, the fee is $.06 + 7%. Token Transit service is anticipated to be available by the summer of 2018.

19. All of here in this County are so lucky to have the bus drivers that we have. To a person, they are some of the finest people ever. Finest people ever. They’re hard workers. I don’t think I’ve ever had a bus driver be ornery or nasty the way I’ve seen in San José or San Francisco. They know you by name of course, this is a small town but, we have some of the best drivers ever. Not an Unmet Transit Need. The following criteria must be true for the COG to consider a request an “unmet need.” If a request fails to satisfy any of the criteria below, the request is not an unmet need. 
- **The request fills a gap in transit service, or is identified as a deficiency in the Regional Transportation Plan.**
- **Sufficient broad-based community support exists.**
- **Request is a current rather than future need.**
- **Request is not operational in nature (i.e. minor route change, bus stop change, etc.)**

Thank you for your comment.

---

**General Service Comments**

- The LTA is currently working on identifying funding to implement the Bus Stop Improvement Plan, which evaluates each County Express bus stops for its accessibility and amenities and makes recommendations for improvements.
- The bus stop located south of K-mart was installed with the residential development. As new developments are proposed, the LTA/COG ensures that accommodations for public transit facilities and services are considered. New developments are required to accommodate public transit amenities, if warranted by the LTA.
- The LTA has addressed this item at the drivers’ monthly meeting to ensure an open exchange of information. The LTA is ultimately responsible for ensuring that all suggestions from drivers and the general public are safe and feasible.
- In order to provide additional bus ticket options, the LTA will be coordinating with Jovenes de Antaño for the sale of tokens to senior and disabled clients at their office.
- The LTA also recently entered into contract with Token Transit for a one-year pilot to offer a mobile ticketing fare for County Express. Token Transit will only charge LTA 10% of the final transaction value for each fare purchased through their app over $2.00. For all transactions less than $2.00, the fee is $.06 + 7%. Token Transit service is anticipated to be available by the summer of 2018.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Unmet Transit Needs Determination and Criteria</th>
<th>COG Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>General Service Comments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Sometimes I call Jovenes de Antaño/Specialized Transportation Services in</td>
<td>Not an Unmet Transit Need. The following criteria must be true for the COG to consider a request an “unmet need.” If a request fails to satisfy any of the criteria below, the request is not an unmet need.</td>
<td>The Local Transportation Authority has addressed this comment with the contractor at its monthly check-in meetings to ensure responsiveness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the morning to schedule a ride and I am waiting to get picked up and they do</td>
<td>• The request fills a gap in transit service, or is identified as a deficiency in the Regional Transportation Plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>not arrive. I will then call them to see why my bus didn’t arrive and I</td>
<td>• Sufficient broad-based community support exists.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>will be told that they forgot to schedule my ride. This has happened three</td>
<td>• Request is a current rather than future need.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>times to me.</td>
<td>• Request is not operational in nature (i.e. minor route change, bus stop change, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>When I call Jovenes de Antaño/Specialized Transportation Services to schedule</td>
<td>Not an Unmet Transit Need. The following criteria must be true for the COG to consider a request an “unmet need.” If a request fails to satisfy any of the criteria below, the request is not an unmet need.</td>
<td>The Local Transportation Authority has addressed this comment with the contractor at its monthly check-in meetings to ensure responsiveness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>trips to a doctor appointments both inside and outside the County, I am</td>
<td>• The request fills a gap in transit service, or is identified as a deficiency in the Regional Transportation Plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>told that there has to be enough people going at the same time to schedule</td>
<td>• Sufficient broad-based community support exists.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the trip, which is hard when I need to go to the doctor.</td>
<td>• Request is a current rather than future need.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Request is not operational in nature (i.e. minor route change, bus stop change, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Happy with the services provided. The service is important as I am unable</td>
<td>Not an Unmet Transit Need. The following criteria must be true for the COG to consider a request an “unmet need.” If a request fails to satisfy any of the criteria below, the request is not an unmet need.</td>
<td>Thank you for your comment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to drive and need to get to doctor’s appointments.</td>
<td>• The request fills a gap in transit service, or is identified as a deficiency in the Regional Transportation Plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Sufficient broad-based community support exists.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Request is a current rather than future need.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Request is not operational in nature (i.e. minor route change, bus stop change, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Jovenes de Antaño/Specialized Transportation Services drivers are always</td>
<td>Not an Unmet Transit Need. The following criteria must be true for the COG to consider a request an “unmet need.” If a request fails to satisfy any of the criteria below, the request is not an unmet need.</td>
<td>Thank you for your comment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>helpful. I use the service every day.</td>
<td>• The request fills a gap in transit service, or is identified as a deficiency in the Regional Transportation Plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Sufficient broad-based community support exists.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Request is a current rather than future need.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Request is not operational in nature (i.e. minor route change, bus stop change, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|   | Very satisfied with the service. I use the serve daily for the senior lunch program. The drivers are very attentive and they come knock on my door when I don’t answer. Carlos Valenzuela, driver, is always happy and has a great personality. | Not an Unmet Transit Need. The following criteria must be true for the COG to consider a request an “unmet need.” If a request fails to satisfy any of the criteria below, the request is not an unmet need.  
- The request fills a gap in transit service, or is identified as a deficiency in the Regional Transportation Plan.  
- Sufficient broad-based community support exists.  
- Request is a current rather than future need.  
- Request is not operational in nature (i.e. minor route change, bus stop change, etc.) | Thank you for your comment. |
|---|---|---|---|
Council of San Benito County Governments Resolution

To be inserted upon Board approval.
RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF SAN BENITO COUNTY GOVERNMENTS

RESOLUTION NO: 18-05

WHEREAS, the Council of San Benito County Governments (COG), has been designated as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency for the San Benito County region; and

WHEREAS, COG has adopted a Regional Transportation Plan directed at the achievement of a balanced coordinated transportation system; and

WHEREAS, COG shall, in implementation of its Plan, allocate monies in the Local Transportation Fund and State Transit Assistance Fund in accordance with the rules and regulations which implement the Transportation Development Act of 1972 as amended; and

WHEREAS, COG adopted the definition of "unmet needs" and "reasonable to meet" in Resolution 1992-01: Unmet Needs Findings Required; and

WHEREAS, COG adopted Resolution No. 11-04 Amending its Unmet Transit Needs "Reasonable to Meet" Criteria; and

WHEREAS, California Public Utilities Code, Section 99401.5 requires COG to hold a public hearing to determine whether there are any unmet public transportation needs that are reasonable to meet prior to allocation of Local Transportation Funds for other purposes; and

WHEREAS, COG held a public hearing on February 15, 2018 and two public meetings on February 13 and 14, 2018, to determine whether there are any unmet public transportation needs, and all those who attended the public hearing and public meetings were given the opportunity to hear and be heard regarding all matters properly before the COG and COG considered all public testimony; and

WHEREAS, COG pursuant to Public Utility Code Section 99401.5:

1. Has consulted with the Social Services Transportation Advisory Council established pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99238; and

2. Has conducted a transit analysis including an assessment of the size and location of identifiable groups likely to be transit dependent or transit disadvantaged, including but not limited to the elderly, the disabled and persons of limited means, an analysis of the adequacy of existing and specialized public and private transportation services in meeting the transit demands of those groups, and an analysis of the potential alternative public and specialized transportation services and service improvements that would meet all or part of the demand, in order to identify the transit needs of the County of San Benito;

3. Has identified unmet transit needs that are reasonable to meet;
4. Has prepared the 2018 Annual Unmet Transit Needs Report, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit A, which provides the findings required by Section 99401.5 and the information developed by the COG that provides the basis for the findings.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Council of San Benito County Governments, acting as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency for the County of San Benito, hereby makes the following findings and determinations, based on all information in the record including, but not limited to the findings of the 2018 Annual Unmet Transit Needs Report (Exhibit A):

A. There are four (4) "unmet transit needs" that are "reasonable to meet."

B. There are five (5) "unmet transit needs" that are not "reasonable to meet," as further explained in the 2018 Annual Unmet Transit Needs Report (Exhibit A); and

C. There are 16 comments that were not considered "unmet transit needs."

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Council of San Benito County Governments, acting as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency, accepts and adopts the 2018 Unmet Transit Needs Report (Exhibit A) and finds that there are no additional unmet regional and community public transit needs within the incorporated and unincorporated areas of the County that can be reasonably met at this time.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE COUNCIL OF SAN BENITO COUNTY GOVERNMENTS on this 17th day of May 2018, by the following vote:

AYES: Chair De La Cruz, Boch, Botelho, Gillio, and Velazquez
NOES: 0
ABSTAINING: 0
ABSENT: 0

Jaime de la Cruz, Chair

Mary Gilbert, Executive Director
Dated: May 17, 2018

Shirley L. Murphy, Deputy County Counsel
Dated: May 8, 2018

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:
SAN BENITO COUNTY COUNSEL'S OFFICE

Shirley L. Murphy, Deputy County Counsel
Final Draft
2018 Unmet Paratransit and Transit Needs

Prioritization of Need:
H - High priority items are those items that fill a gap or absence of ongoing service.
M - Medium priority items that supplement existing service.
L - Low priority items should become more specific and then be planned for, as funds are available.

1-3 Graduated scale indicates to what extent the need, if addressed, would:
increase the number of individuals who are within a 30 minute transit trip to key destinations; improve safety; support economic vitality by way of decreasing transportation costs; or, improve cost-effectiveness of transportation services.

Strategies:
• Proposals and suggestions to address need, including programs and projects.

General
1. H1 - Safe travel paths between senior and/or disabled living areas, medical facilities, educational facilities, employment locations, retail centers, entertainment venues, and/or bus stops, and/or railroad crossings.
   • Improve accessibility at and to bus stops - such as, but not limited to, sidewalk and crosswalk improvements connecting destinations frequented by senior and disabled individuals and transit stops such as, but not limited to, those identified in the RTC Safe Paths of Travel Final Report.
   • Secure funding assistance to make Safe Paths of Travel improvements.
   • Expand publicity regarding sidewalk maintenance.

2. H1 - Transportation services to areas with high concentrations of seniors, disabled and low income individuals, particularly in south county.
   • Support alternative transportation programs, such as vanpool programs, serving low income and senior housing areas outside of the transit service area in south county.
   • Explore pilot projects, such as regularly scheduled paratransit trips two-three times per week, to serve residents.
   • Secure funding for taxi voucher programs for senior and low income individuals.
   • Provide affordable and desirable housing for seniors and low income individuals within transit service area.
• Provide incentives for senior and social services to be located in transit service areas.
  • **Support programs that encourage ridesharing to destinations popular with seniors or high concentrations of seniors.**
• Seek volunteer drivers to provide transportation services.
• Evaluate on-demand transit services.

3. **H3** - Transportation services for low-income families with children, including transportation for people transitioning from welfare to work.
   • Support welfare to work programs and training programs.
   • Support transportation programs dedicated to serving low-income families with children.
   • Seek volunteer drivers for transportation family members to visits at detention facilities.
   • Provide taxi vouchers to low income families.
   • Reinstall ride to work programs.
   • Provide youth bus passes to low income households

4. **H13** - Transportation services for caregivers of senior and disabled clients.
   • Support programs providing transportation for caregivers to clients.
   • Provide taxi voucher to caregivers.
   • Reinstall ride to work programs.

**Paratransit/Specialized Transportation Services**

5. **H1** - Coordinated and seamless-to-the-public system of specialized transportation with a Mobility Management Center (central information point, one stop shop).
   • Assess feasibility and seek funds for development/start-up of the center, and assess entities already providing information and referral services).
   • Utilize information technology solutions to provide transit information that is accessible to all users.

6. **H1** - Paratransit service for the people who lost paratransit service due to changes in Santa Cruz Metro ParaCruz program in 2015.
   • Support policies that expand ADA mandated paratransit service area.
   • Support programs providing specialized transportation to areas outside the ADA-mandated paratransit service area for a fee or at no cost.
   • Expand taxi voucher program.

7. **MH1** – Access to paratransit services on all holidays.
   • Extend existing paratransit services to holidays.
• Support taxi voucher programs.

8. **H2**- Specialized transportation for areas outside the ADA-mandated paratransit service area for medical, non-medical trips.
   • Secure funding for taxi voucher programs.
   • Provide affordable and desirable housing for seniors and disabled individuals within ADA paratransit service area.
   • Provide incentives for senior and social services to be located in transit service areas.
   • Support programs providing specialized transportation to areas outside the ADA-mandated paratransit service area for a fee or at no cost.
   • Support continuous funding for transportation to medical services.
   • Seek volunteer drivers to provide transportation services from areas not served by transit or ADA paratransit service.
   • Identify priority origins and destinations outside the ADA service area.

9. **H12**-Free or low cost paratransit options.
   • Provide funding for programs that provide discounted and free paratransit rides.

10. **H2** - Direct paratransit and accessible transit connections with neighboring counties- including Monterey (Pajaro), San Benito, Santa Clara and other points north.
    • Establish direct inter-regional fixed route accessible transit service.
    • Provide inter-regional specialized transportation.
    • Develop plan to coordinate between agencies providing specialized transportation services in neighboring counties.
    • Support programs providing inter-regional specialized transportation for a fee or at no cost.
    • Support continuous funding for specialized transportation services to out-of-county medical appointments.
    • Establish feeder services to inter-regional accessible transit services.

11. **MH13** - Affordable transportation for dialysis and other medical appointments, including ‘same day’ specialized transportation services for medical trips, on a continuous basis.
    • Support continuous funding for ‘same day’ transportation to medical services.
    • Support continuous funding for no or low-cost specialized transportation to medical appointments.
    • Increase capacity of existing programs providing transportation to dialysis and other medical appointments.
• Secure funding for taxi voucher programs.

12. **M2** - Transportation for programs that promote senior and disabled individuals health, safety and independence including, but not limited to, all senior meal sites in the county, the stroke centers and senior activity centers
   • Support continuous funding for transportation services to meal sites.
   • Support continuous funding for paratransit services to medical service centers.
   • Support volunteer drivers to provide transportation services.
   • Support transportation services to senior activity centers such as Elderday.

13. **M2** - Conduct targeted outreach to seniors to provide information about transportation options and safety.
   • Provide safe driving and transit information at locations with concentrations of seniors.
   • Support field trips to events by bus (“Bus by Choice” model)

13.14. **M2** - Publicity about existing specialized transportation services including ADA paratransit, non-ADA paratransit, taxi services, Medi-Cal rides and mobility training for people to use regular fixed route buses.
   • Streamline communication activities by establishing a central point of contact within health providers to disseminate information about specialized transportation services.
   • Support continuous funding for communication and outreach activities.

14.15. **HM2** - Volunteer drivers in Santa Cruz County particularly in south county and **San Lorenzo Valley**.
   • Expand outreach efforts to recruit drivers and promote services.
   • Support for the Volunteer Center Transportation Program.

15.16. **M2** - Affordable special care trips and gurney vehicle for medically fragile individuals and those needing "bed to bed" transportation.
   • Provide vouchers for specialized care trips.
   • Identify a service provider for gurney trips and assist in procurement of a vehicle for services.
   • Partner with assisted living and hospice care to provide services.
   • Publicize availability of services, if available.

16.17. **M3** - Ongoing provision of ADA Paratransit certification, provided by Santa Cruz Metro, at group facilities.
   • Provide on-site services to reach a greater number of individuals.
17.18. **M3** - Specialized transportation services for people living with a cognitive impairments, dementia or mental health diagnosis.
   - Provide on demand transportation services for people living with a mental health diagnosis.
   - Provide services designated to assisting people with mental illness navigate transit and paratransit eligibility requirements.

18.19. **L2** - Specialized transportation for ‘same day’ low cost non-medical trips.
   - Expand taxi voucher program.
   - Support “on-call” volunteer drive programs.

19.20. **L3** - Anticipate growing demand for services by projecting funding needs for specialized transportation (including fixed route, ADA and non-ADA Paratransit) to provide transportation services to the senior population expected to increase over the next 15 to 30 years.
   - Identify funding needs for paratransit over a 15-30 year horizon.
   - Designated funding source for paratransit service.

Paratransit/Specialized Transportation Capital

20.21. **H2** - ParaCruz operating facilities.
   - Acquire and develop permanent operation and maintenance facility for ParaCruz to accommodate increased fleet size and growth in future service.
   - Increase funding opportunities for paratransit capital projects.

21.22. **H2** - Consolidated Transportation Services Agency operating facilities.
   - Acquire and develop permanent operation and maintenance facilities for Consolidated Transportation Services Agency.
   - Increase funding opportunities for paratransit capital projects.

22.23. **H2** - Paratransit vehicle replacements.
   - Increase funding opportunities for paratransit capital projects

Transit Services

23.24. **H1** – Greater frequency and span of transit service in densely populated areas with a mix of land uses land uses.
   - Increase Live Oak Service-Enhance service in Capitola.
   - Enhance service on Mission Street.
• Extend transit service hours later in the evening and early in the morning serving commercial centers of Santa Cruz/Live Oak/Cabrillo/Watsonville.

24.25. **M1** – More transit service to UCSC.
- Increase weekend and weekday UCSC service.

25.26. **M1** - More interregional and cross county transit services.
- Increase Hwy 17 weekend service frequency.
- Provide transit service from Santa Cruz County to Los Gatos.
- Provide direct transit service to San Jose Airport.
- Enhance Monterey County to Santa Cruz County service.

26.27. **M1** – Free and low-cost transportation options, including fixed-route transit services.
- Support programs that provide transportation services, including, but not limited to bus services, for a reduced or no fee.
- Seek volunteer drivers to provide transportation services.
- Support programs that allow seniors and disabled individuals to ride free during designated time periods.

27.28. **M2** – More transit service between primary destinations in Santa Cruz County.
- Provide service between Capitola Mall and Cabrillo.
- Expand transit service to new residential and commercial areas in Watsonville.
- Improve north-south transit connections (ex. Bay/Porter).

28.29. **M2** - Access to transportation services on all holidays.
- Provide regular Santa Cruz Metro on holidays.
- Support taxi voucher programs.
- Support volunteer transportation services.

29.30. **M2**- Easier and faster transit trips system wide.
- Enhance connections through increasing the span and frequency of service.

30.31. **M2**- Faster run times on transit routes.
- Investigate opportunities for transit priority.
- Consider direct services between more locations, reducing need for transfers.

31.32. **M2** - Intra-community service in Santa Cruz County communities.
- Develop San Lorenzo Valley circular.
• Develop Scotts Valley circular.
• Investigate need for intra-community and neighborhood transit services

32-33. L2 - Transit service to major tourists destinations.
• Provide transit service to Waddell Creek and North Coast and Highway 17 direct service to Boardwalk on weekends.

33-34. L2 - Commuter transit service.
• Extend Highway 17 service to Watsonville, or improve connections between Watsonville-Santa Cruz service and Highway 17 service.
• Provide commute option for transit riders between SLV and Santa Cruz faster.

34-35. L3 - Special event services.
• Establish program to coordinate with Santa Cruz Visitor Center and partner agencies to provide special event services.

Transit Capital

• Provide ADA compliant bus stops.
• Prioritize bus stop improvements and shelter replacement based on high usage by seniors and people with disabilities.
• Install braille and raised numbers on bus signage at bus stops indicating which bus routes are being offered at each stop (or a technology-based way finding alternative).

36-37. H1 - Maintenance of existing transit facilities.
• Support funding for maintenance of bus stops, parking lots, transit centers, buildings.

37-38. H1 - Bus replacement: Replace buses beyond useful life as needed including buses, including buses providing rural service.
• Support funding for transit capital improvements.

• Investigate options for renovation or redevelopment of Santa Cruz Metro Center.
• Complete Watsonville Transit Center Improvements.
• Coordinate improvements to Capitola Transit Center with Capitola Mall

39-40. H2 - Faster transit travel times.
• Installation of transponders on all buses for signal priority on major corridors improving traffic flow, reducing travel time, and improving on-time performance.

40.41. **H3** - New equipment to assist with real-time operations, security, scheduling and planning.
   • Automated Vehicle Location (AVL) System to provide better monitoring of on-time performance and more accurate data reporting.
   • Automatic Passenger Counting system to make mandatory reporting more efficient and improve data for service planning.
   • Install audio and video surveillance system for all buses

41.42. **M3** – More multimodal connections to transit.
   • Construct park and ride lots in strategic locations along inter-city routes that lack adequate feeder service.
   • Consider partnerships with ride-hail services for first/last mile connections.
   • Bike lockers and/or bike share stations at key locations to facilitate first/last mile of travel.

42.43. **M3** - Wifi expansion on buses.
   • Install wifi equipment at all facilities and on all buses.
   • Partner with private companies to provide wifi

43. **M1**- Automated phone-based trip planning providing Metro route information and or trip planning coordination via telephone and voice activated menu.
TO: RTC Advisory Committees

FROM: Ginger Dykaar and Grace Blakeslee, Sr. Transportation Planners

RE: Unified Corridor Investment Study – Draft Step 2 Scenario Analysis

RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff recommends that the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) Advisory Committees:

1. Review and provide input on the draft Step 2 scenario analysis of the Unified Corridor Investment Study. *(A performance dashboard of the results of the scenario analysis is provided as Attachment 1. The full document is available at [https://sccrtc.org/ucs-results](https://sccrtc.org/ucs-results)); and,*

2. Provide input on a preferred scenario to inform future transportation investments in the study area.

BACKGROUND

The objective of the Unified Corridor Investment Study (UCS) is to identify multimodal transportation investments that provide the most effective use of Highway 1, Soquel Avenue/Soquel Drive/Freedom Blvd, and the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line while serving the community’s transportation needs (Attachment 2). Goals for the UCS focus on a triple bottom line approach to developing a sustainable transportation system which seeks to maximize benefits in terms of efficient mobility, health and equity, the natural environment, and economic vitality. The Unified Corridor Investment Study is evaluating transportation improvements using performance-based planning and a scenario analysis consistent with guidance and policy for evaluating future investment decisions of state and federal transportation discretionary funds.

The RTC has approved the following components of the analysis:

- Goals, criteria, performance measures (Attachment 3) - May 4, 2017
- Project list – May 4, 2017
- Scenarios to be evaluated in the Step 1 analysis - June 15, 2017
- Step 1 Analysis (Attachment 4) – December 7, 2017
- Scenarios to be evaluated in Step 2 Analysis (Attachment 5) - December 7, 2017

Input from the public, stakeholders, and RTC advisory committees has been solicited at key milestones of project development.
DISCUSSION

The draft Step 2 scenario analysis of the Unified Corridor Investment Study is available for review and input. The report has been developed by Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. and Strategic Economics, Inc. with input from RTC staff. This planning effort is funded through a Caltrans’ Sustainable Communities Transportation Planning Grant (FTA 5304) and local voter-approved Measure D funds. The report is primarily organized into two sections:

- the baseline information which presents the existing conditions of the transportation system as evaluated through the performance measures, and
- the scenario analysis which presents the forecast of the performance measure for a 2035 horizon year for all of the scenarios being evaluated.

An introduction is included in the report and provides background information and a description of the UCS, the goals, performance measures and scenarios evaluated. The draft Step 2 scenario analysis of the Unified Corridor Investment Study is available on the RTC website (https://sccrtc.org/ucs-results). A performance dashboard has also been developed to provide a graphical representation of the draft results of the Step 2 analysis and is provided in Attachment 1.

Outreach

Input on the draft Step 2 scenario analysis and a preferred scenario for the Unified Corridor Investment Study will be sought from members of the public, stakeholders, community organizations, city councils and RTC advisory committees. Public Workshops will be held in both Watsonville and Live Oak. The Live Oak Public Workshop will be held at the Live Oak Elementary School Multi-Purpose Room at 1916 Capitola Road, Live Oak, on October 15 from 6:00 PM to 7:30 PM. The Watsonville Public Workshop will be held in the Civic Plaza Community Room, 4th floor, 275 Main St., Watsonville, on October 16, from 6:00 PM to 7:30 PM. A stakeholder meeting of partner agencies will be held on October 9, 2018. Focus Group meetings will be held for community organizations on October 17, 2018. Presentations on the draft Step 2 analysis will be given to the Scotts Valley, Watsonville, Capitola and City of Santa Cruz City Councils during the month of October and early November, 2018. Comments can also be submitted to ucs@sccrtc.org.

A second draft of Step 2 scenario analysis of the Unified Corridor Investment Study will consider the input received and include a staff recommendation for a preferred scenario. The second draft will be presented to the RTC at an evening meeting on November 15, 2018. The meeting will be held starting at 6:00 PM at the City of Watsonville Council Chambers, 275 Main St, 4th floor. A public hearing will begin at 6:30 PM to receive input from members of the public. A notice will be distributed via email, newspapers and online news and provided on the SCCRTC website. No action from the commission will be requested from staff at this meeting.
A final draft of the Unified Corridor Investment Study will consider the comments received at the November 15, 2018 and any other comments received by 5:00 PM on November 20, 2018. The final draft of the Unified Corridor Investment Study will be presented to the RTC at the December 6, 2018 RTC meeting to be held at 9:00 AM at the County Board of Supervisors Chambers, 701 Ocean St., 5th floor. Staff will be requesting approval of the final draft report and the preferred scenario.

RTC staff recommends that the RTC Advisory Committee’s review and provide input on the draft Step 2 scenario analysis of the Unified Corridor Investment Study (Attachment 1) and provide input on a preferred scenario to inform future transportation investments in the study area.

Timeline

October 15, 2018: Public Workshop – Live Oak Elementary School Multi-Purpose Rm, 1916 Capitola Road, Live Oak, 6:00 – 7:30 PM
October 16, 2018: Public Workshop – Civic Plaza Community Rm, 4th floor, 275 Main St, Watsonville 6:00-7:30 PM
October 17, 2018: Focus Group Meetings for Community Organizations
October, 2018: RTC Advisory Committee Meetings
October and November, 2018: Scotts Valley, Watsonville, Capitola, and City of Santa Cruz City Council Meetings
November 15, 2018: Second draft of UCS including staff recommendation of preferred scenario presented at the RTC Transportation Policy Workshop, Public Hearing, No action taken, Watsonville Chambers, 275 Main St, 4th floor, 6:00 PM
December 6, 2018: Final draft Unified Corridor Investment Study, Commission Action Requested on Preferred Scenario, County Board of Supervisors Chambers, 701 Ocean St, 5th floor, 9:00 AM

SUMMARY

The draft Unified Corridor Investment Study uses a performance-based planning and scenario analysis approach to evaluate the transportation investments on Highway 1, Soquel Avenue/Soquel Drive/Freedom Blvd, and the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line and their ability to advance sustainability goals. RTC staff recommends that the RTC Advisory Committees review and provide input on the draft Step 2 scenario analysis of the Unified Corridor Investment Study (Attachment 1) and provide input on a preferred scenario to inform future transportation investments in the study area.

Attachments:

1. Draft Step 2 Scenario Analysis of the Unified Corridor Investment Study - Performance Dashboard (Full report is available at https://sccrtc.org/projects/multi-modal/unified-corridor-study/. A printed copy will be provided to the Commissioners at the meeting.)
2. Unified Corridor Study Project Area Map
3. UCS Goals, Criteria and Performance Measures
4. UCS Step 1 Analysis - (Step 1 of the Scenario Analysis is available at https://sccrtc.org/projects/multi-modal/unified-corridor-study/)
5. UCS Step 2 Project List and Scenarios Evaluated
Unified Corridor Investment Study Project Limits
Unified Corridor Investment Study
Highway 1, Soquel Ave/Drive & Freedom Blvd, and the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line
Goals, Criteria and Performance Measures
(RTC Approved - May 4, 2017)

The goals, criteria and performance measures below support a vision for an integrated, multimodal transportation network based on a triple bottom line approach that maximizes the environmental, economic and equity benefits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Step 1 Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Promote feasible solutions that address transportation challenges.</td>
<td>Community support and coordination/consistency with local, regional, state and federal plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Potential to address transportation challenges and advance environmental, economic and equity goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compatibility with regulatory requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Level of public investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right of way and constructability constraints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technological feasibility</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Step 2 Performance Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safer transportation for all modes</td>
<td>Injury and fatal collisions by mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliable and efficient transportation choices that serve the most people and facilitate the transport of goods</td>
<td>Peak period mean automobile travel time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peak period mean transit travel time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peak period travel time reliability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mode share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Person trips across N-S screenline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a well-integrated transportation system that supports economic vitality</td>
<td>Level of public investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visitor tax revenues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cost associated with fatalities and injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimize environmental concerns and reduce adverse health impacts</td>
<td>Automobile vehicle miles traveled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Environmentally sensitive areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Criteria pollutants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greenhouse gas emissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessible and equitable transportation system that is responsive to the needs of all users</td>
<td>Transit Vehicle Miles Traveled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Household transportation costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benefits and impacts to transportation disadvantaged communities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Description

Three parallel routes - Highway 1, Soquel/Freedom and the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line - link the communities along the Santa Cruz County coast from Davenport through Watsonville. The Unified Corridor Study examines how well complimentary transportation improvements on all three routes - when designed to function together as a single unified corridor – perform to meet the community’s transportation needs.

The Unified Corridor Study performance dashboard presents the result of the second, in a two step analysis, which compares how each of the scenarios address the study goals of Safety, Efficiency, Economics, Environmental Sustainability, and Social Equity by 2035.

The evaluation of 16 performance measures for each of the scenarios and a comparison to a no build and baseline conditions is designed to increase understanding of transportation project benefits by transparently evaluating their impacts and lead to effective investments in the corridor.
## Step 2 Scenarios for Analysis

Approved by RTC on December 7, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highway 1 Projects</th>
<th>Scenario A</th>
<th>Scenario B</th>
<th>Scenario C</th>
<th>Scenario E</th>
<th>No Build</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buses on shoulders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High occupancy vehicle lanes (HOV) and increased transit frequency</td>
<td>![Bus]</td>
<td>![Bus]</td>
<td>![Bus]</td>
<td>![Bus]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary lanes to extend merging distance IN ADDITION TO MEASURE D</td>
<td>![Car]</td>
<td>![Car]</td>
<td>![Car]</td>
<td>![Car]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metering of on-ramps</td>
<td>![Car]</td>
<td>![Car]</td>
<td>![Car]</td>
<td>![Car]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional lanes on bridge over San Lorenzo River</td>
<td>![Car]</td>
<td>![Car]</td>
<td>![Car]</td>
<td>![Car]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission St intersection improvements</td>
<td>![Car]</td>
<td>![Car]</td>
<td>![Car]</td>
<td>![Car]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| BRT lite (faster boarding, transit signal priority and queue jumps)                | ![Bus]     | ![Bus]     | ![Bus]     | ![Bus]     |          |
| Increased frequency of transit with express services                               | ![Bus]     | ![Bus]     | ![Bus]     | ![Bus]     |          |
| Buffered/protected bike lanes                                                      | ![Bike]    | ![Bike]    | ![Bike]    | ![Bike]    |          |
| Intersection improvements for auto                                                 | ![Bike]    | ![Bike]    | ![Bike]    | ![Bike]    |          |
| Intersection improvements for bikes/pedestrians                                    | ![Bike]    | ![Bike]    | ![Bike]    | ![Bike]    |          |

| Rail Corridor                                                                      | ![Bike]    | ![Bike]    | ![Bike]    | ![Bike]    |          |
| Bike and pedestrian trail                                                          | ![Bike]    | ![Bike]    | ![Bike]    | ![Bike]    |          |
| Local rail transit with interregional connections                                   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   |          |
| Bus rapid transit                                                                  | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   |          |
| Freight service on rail                                                            | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   |          |

| Overall Project Area/Connections between Routes                                     | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   |          |
| Improved bike/pedestrian facilities throughout urban area closing gaps in network  | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   |          |
| Additional transit connections                                                      | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   |          |
| Bike share, bike amenities, transit amenities, park and ride lots                   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   |          |
| Multimodal transportation hubs                                                     | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   |          |
| Automated vehicles/connected vehicles                                              | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   |          |

### Transportation Demand and System Management

| Employers and residences - incentive programs                                      | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   |          |
| Education and enforcement - electric vehicle, motorist safety, and bike safety     | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   | ![Train]   |          |

These projects will be evaluated in all scenarios.
Scenario A
Step 2 Performance Measures

PM: Total Collisions
(Fatal, Injury, and Property Damage Only per year)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Collisions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scenario A</td>
<td>3017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Build</td>
<td>3265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>2916</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Goal 1 Safer transportation for all modes.

PM: Countywide Mean Auto Speed (mph)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>AM Peak Period 6:00 - 9:00 AM</th>
<th>PM Peak Period 4:00 - 7:00 PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scenario A</td>
<td>40.5, 40.6</td>
<td>34.4, 34.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Highway 1 Projects
• HOV and auxiliary lanes, ramp meters, San Lorenzo bridge widening, multimodal intersection improvements

Soquel / Freedom
• BRT Lite with increased transit frequency, multimodal intersection improvements

Rail ROW
• Bike and pedestrian trail

Goal 2 Reliable and efficient transportation choices that serve the most people and facilitate the transport of goods.
Goal 3 Develop a well-integrated transportation system that supports economic vitality.

PM: Visitor Tax Revenues
(per year in millions)

- **Total**
- **Transient Occupancy Tax**
- **Visitor Related Sales Tax**

- **Baseline**
  - Transient Occupancy Tax: $18
  - Visitor Related Sales Tax: $10
  - Total: $29

- **Scenario A**
  - Transient Occupancy Tax: $28
  - Visitor Related Sales Tax: $12
  - Total: $40

- **No Build**
  - Transient Occupancy Tax: $27
  - Visitor Related Sales Tax: $12
  - Total: $39

PM: Level Of Public Investment
(In millions)

- **Annual Cost for O&M**
  - Scenario A: $7

- **New Public Investment Needed**
  - Scenario A: $13

- **Funding Potential**
  - Scenario A: $379

- **Capital Costs**
  - $899

PM: Person trips across N-S Screenline 4:00-6:00 PM
(In thousands)

- **Scenario A**
  - Seabright Avenue: 33
  - 41st Ave: 37
  - San Andreas/Freedom: 22

- **No Build**
  - Seabright Avenue: 31
  - 41st Ave: 31
  - San Andreas/Freedom: 18

- **Baseline**
  - Seabright Avenue: 28
  - 41st Ave: 27
  - San Andreas/Freedom: 16
Goal 4
Minimize environmental concerns and reduce adverse health impacts.

PM: Cost Associated with Collisions
(per year)

Cost per $224 K Collision × No Build 3,265 Collisions = No Build $730 M Collision Cost

Cost per $224 K Collision × Scenario A 3,017 Collisions = Scenario A $675 M Collision Cost

Cost $56 M Savings

PM: Automobile Vehicle Miles Traveled
(Countywide VMT per day in millions)

Scenario A 6.13
2035 No Build 5.98
2015 Baseline 5.48

PM: Total Criteria Pollutants
(metric tons per day)

Baseline 26.98
No Build 6.21
Scenario A 6.27

CO, SOX, PM10, PM2.5, ROG, NOX
PM: Greenhouse Gas Emissions
CO$_2$e Emissions (metric tons per day) and Percentage Reduction from 2015 Baseline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CO$_2$e Emissions (metric tons per day)</th>
<th>Percentage Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>2,617</td>
<td>-27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Build</td>
<td>1,915</td>
<td>-26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario A</td>
<td>1,941</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PM: Environmentally Sensitive Areas
(# linear miles along 3 routes)

36.5  2nd lowest score

Goal 5 Accessible and equitable transportation system that is responsive to the needs of all users.

PM: Transit Vehicle Miles Traveled
(per year in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Scenario A</th>
<th>2035 No Build</th>
<th>2015 Baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PM: Household Transportation Cost

Percentage of Income Spent on Transportation (by median income households per year)

Daily Costs for 2-Vehicle Households

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>2015 Baseline</th>
<th>2035 No Build</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scenario A</td>
<td>$46.63 ▼$2.01</td>
<td>$50.14 ▲$1.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PM: Share of Investment Benefit for Transportation Disadvantaged Population

13.7% of population is transportation disadvantaged

Scenario A

24.0%
**Scenario B**

**Step 2 Performance Measures**

**PM: Total Collisions**
(Fatal, Injury, and Property Damage Only per year)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario B, 2899</th>
<th>Baseline, 2916</th>
<th>No Build, 3265</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Highway 1 Projects**
- Bus on shoulder, ramp metering, Mission St. intersection improvements

**Soquel / Freedom**
- BRT Lite with increased transit frequency, buffered/protected bike lanes, bike/ped intersection improvements

**Rail ROW**
- Bike and pedestrian trail, rail transit

**Goal 1 Safer transportation for all modes.**

**PM: Countywide Mean Auto Speed (mph)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AM Peak Period 6:00 - 9:00 AM</th>
<th>PM Peak Period 4:00 - 7:00 PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline 40.5</td>
<td>Baseline 34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Build 39.4</td>
<td>No Build 32.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goal 2 Reliable and efficient transportation choices that serve the most people and facilitate the transport of goods.**
PM: Person trips across N-S Screenline 4:00-6:00 PM
(In thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Seabright Avenue</th>
<th>41st Ave</th>
<th>San Andreas/Freedom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Build</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Goal 3: Develop a well-integrated transportation system that supports economic vitality.

PM: Level Of Public Investment
(In millions)

- Annual Cost for O&M: $44
- Funding Potential: $455
- New Public Investment Needed: $9
- Capital Costs: $379
- Total: $833

PM: Visitor Tax Revenues
(per year in millions)

- Total: $29
- Transient Occupancy Tax: $40
- Visitor Related Sales Tax: $39
- No Build: $18
- Baseline: $28
- Scenario B: $27
PM: Cost Associated with Collisions (per year)

Goal 4 Minimize environmental concerns and reduce adverse health impacts.

PM: Automobile Vehicle Miles Traveled
(Countywide VMT per day in millions)

PM: Total Criteria Pollutants
(metric tons per day)
PM: Greenhouse Gas Emissions
CO₂e Emissions (metric tons per day) and Percentage Reduction from 2015 Baseline

Baseline: 2,617
No Build: 1,915
Scenario B: 1,886

-27% -28%

PM: Environmentally Sensitive Areas
(# linear miles along 3 routes)

38.3 2nd highest score

Goal 5 Accessible and equitable transportation system that is responsive to the needs of all users.

PM: Transit Vehicle Miles Traveled
(per year in millions)

Scenario B: 6.65
2035 No Build: 3.61
2015 Baseline: 3.33
PM: Household Transportation Cost

Percentage of Income Spent on Transportation (by median income households per year)

- No Build: 26%
- Baseline: 24%

1 Vehicle Household: Baseline 16% vs No Build 18%
2 Vehicle Household: Baseline 25% vs No Build 26%

Daily Costs for 2-Vehicle Households

- Scenario B: $48.48
  - 2015 Baseline: $46.63 ▼ $1.85
  - 2035 No Build: $50.14 ▲ $1.66

PM: Share of Investment Benefit for Transportation Disadvantaged Population

- Scenario B: 25.2%

13.7% of population is transportation disadvantaged
Scenario C
Step 2 Performance Measures

PM: Total Collisions
(Fatal, Injury, and Property Damage Only per year)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario C</th>
<th>3013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Build</td>
<td>3265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>2916</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Goal 1 Safer transportation for all modes.

Highway 1 Projects
- Bus on shoulders, auxiliary lanes

Soquel / Freedom
- BRT Lite with increased transit frequency, multimodal intersection improvements

Rail ROW
- Bike and pedestrian trail, bus rapid transit, freight service (in Watsonville)

PM: Countywide Mean Auto Speed (mph)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AM Peak Period 6:00 - 9:00 AM</th>
<th>PM Peak Period 4:00 - 7:00 PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scenario C</td>
<td>Scenario C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>No Build</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>No Build</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Goal 2 Reliable and efficient transportation choices that serve the most people and facilitate the transport of goods.
Goal 3 Develop a well-integrated transportation system that supports economic vitality.

PM: Person trips across N-S Screenline 4:00-6:00 PM
(In thousands)

- Scenario C: 32
- No Build: 31
- Baseline: 28

Seabright Avenue: Scenario C
41st Ave: No Build
San Andreas/Freedom: Baseline

PM: Level Of Public Investment
(In millions)

- Annual Cost for O&M: $28
- New Public Investment Needed: $22
- Funding Potential: $455
- Capital Costs: $285
- Total: $740

Seabright Avenue: Scenario C
Baseline: No Build
San Andreas/Freedom: Baseline

PM: Visitor Tax Revenues
(per year in millions)

- Total
- Transient Occupancy Tax
- Visitor Related Sales Tax

Baseline: $29, $18, $10
Scenario C: $40, $28, $12
No Build: $39, $27, $12
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Goal 4 Minimize environmental concerns and reduce adverse health impacts.

**PM: Automobile Vehicle Miles Traveled**
(Countywide VMT per day in millions)

- Scenario C: 5.92
- 2035 No Build: 5.98
- 2015 Baseline: 5.48

**PM: Cost Associated with Collisions**
(per year)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost per $224 K Collision</th>
<th>No Build 3,265 Collisions</th>
<th>No Build $730 M Collision Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Cost per $224 K Collision | Scenario C 3,013 Collisions | Scenario C $674 M Collision Cost | Cost Savings -$56 M |

**PM: Total Criteria Pollutants**
(metric tons per day)

- Baseline: 26.98
- No Build: 6.21
- Scenario C: 6.15

Legend:
- CO
- SOX
- PM10
- PM2.5
- ROG
- NOx
PM: Greenhouse Gas Emissions
CO₂e Emissions (metric tons per day) and Percentage Reduction from 2015 Baseline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>No Build</th>
<th>Scenario C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO₂e</td>
<td>2,617</td>
<td>1,915</td>
<td>1,899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>-27%</td>
<td>-27%</td>
<td>-27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PM: Environmentally Sensitive Areas
(# linear miles along 3 routes)

- 36.0 Lowest score

Goal 5 Accessible and equitable transportation system that is responsive to the needs of all users.

PM: Transit Vehicle Miles Traveled
(per year in millions)

- Scenario C: 6.11
- 2035 No Build: 3.61
- 2015 Baseline: 3.33
PM: Household Transportation Cost

Percentage of Income Spent on Transportation (by median income households per year)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Type</th>
<th>No Build</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Vehicle Household</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Vehicle Household</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Daily Costs for 2-Vehicle Households

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>2015 Baseline</th>
<th>2035 No Build</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scenario C</td>
<td>$48.90 $46.63 ▼ $2.27</td>
<td>$50.14 ▲ $1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PM: Share of Investment Benefit for Transportation Disadvantaged Population

25.2%

13.7% of population is transportation disadvantaged

Scenario C
Scenario E
Step 2 Performance Measures

PM: Total Collisions
(Fatal, Injury, and Property Damage Only per year)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Baseline, 2916</th>
<th>No Build, 3265</th>
<th>Scenario E, 3008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drive Alone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Highway 1 Projects
• HOV and auxiliary lanes, ramp meters
Soquel / Freedom
• Buffered/protected bike lanes, bike/pedestrian intersection improvements
Rail ROW
• Bike and pedestrian trail, rail transit, freight service

Goal 1 Safer transportation for all modes.

PM: Countywide Mean Auto Speed (mph)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>No Build</th>
<th>Scenario E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive Alone</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AM Peak Period 6:00 - 9:00 AM
PM Peak Period 4:00 - 7:00 PM

Goal 2 Reliable and efficient transportation choices that serve the most people and facilitate the transport of goods.
PM: Person trips across N-S Screenline 4:00-6:00 PM
(In thousands)

Goal 3 Develop a well-integrated transportation system that supports economic vitality.

PM: Level Of Public Investment
(In millions)

PM: Visitor Tax Revenues
(per year in millions)
**PM: Cost Associated with Collisions**
(per year)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost per $224 K Collision</th>
<th>No Build 3,265 Collisions</th>
<th>No Build $730 M Collision Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost per $224 K Collision</strong></td>
<td><strong>No Build 3,265 Collisions</strong></td>
<td><strong>No Build $730 M Collision Cost</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goal 4 Minimize environmental concerns and reduce adverse health impacts.**

**PM: Automobile Vehicle Miles Traveled**
(Countywide VMT per day in millions)

- **Scenario E**: 6.10
- **2035 No Build**: 5.98
- **2015 Baseline**: 5.48

**PM: Total Criteria Pollutants**
(metric tons per day)

- **Baseline**: 26.98
- **No Build**: 6.21
- **Scenario E**: 6.23

[Graph showing pollution levels for various scenarios]
**PM: Greenhouse Gas Emissions**

CO$_2$e Emissions (metric tons per day) and Percentage Reduction from 2015 Baseline

- **Baseline:** 2,617
- **No Build:** 1,915 (-27%)
- **Scenario E:** 1,928 (-26%)

---

**PM: Environmentally Sensitive Areas**

(# linear miles along 3 routes)

- **40.7** Highest score

---

**Goal 5**

Accessible and equitable transportation system that is responsive to the needs of all users.

**PM: Transit Vehicle Miles Traveled**

(per year in millions)

- **Scenario E:** 5.23
- **2035 No Build:** 3.61
- **2015 Baseline:** 3.33
PM: Household Transportation Cost

Percentage of Income Spent on Transportation (by median income households per year)

Daily Costs for 2-Vehicle Households

- Scenario E
  - 2015 Baseline: $46.63 \downarrow $1.89
  - 2035 No Build: $50.14 \uparrow $1.62

PM: Share of Investment Benefit for Transportation Disadvantaged Population

- 23.5%
- 13.7% of population is transportation disadvantaged

Scenario E
All Scenarios Comparison

GOAL 1 Safer transportation for all modes.

**PM: Total Annual Collisions**  
Fatal, Injury, and Property Damage Only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Baseline, 2916</th>
<th>No Build, 3265</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scenario A</td>
<td></td>
<td>3017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario B</td>
<td></td>
<td>2899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario C</td>
<td></td>
<td>3013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario E</td>
<td></td>
<td>3008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOAL 2 Reliable and efficient transportation choices that serve the most people and facilitate the transport of goods.

**Countywide Mean Auto Speed (MPH)**

**AM Peak Period (6:00 - 9:00 AM)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>No Build</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scenario A</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>40.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario B</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario C</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario E</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PM Peak Period (4:00-7:00 PM)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>No Build</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scenario A</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>34.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario B</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>32.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario C</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario E</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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GOAL 2 Reliability and Efficiency (continued)

**Scenario A Mode Share**

- Drive Alone: 42.8%
- Carpool: 37.8%
- Walk: 10.9%
- Bike: 4.3%
- Transit: 4.1%

**Scenario B Mode Share**

- Drive Alone: 42.4%
- Carpool: 36.5%
- Walk: 10.7%
- Bike: 4.4%
- Transit: 6.0%

**Scenario C Mode Share**

- Drive Alone: 43.1%
- Carpool: 37.1%
- Walk: 10.8%
- Bike: 4.2%
- Transit: 4.8%

**Scenario E Mode Share**

- Drive Alone: 42.3%
- Carpool: 37.3%
- Walk: 10.7%
- Bike: 4.4%
- Transit: 5.3%

---

**2035 Person Trips (4-6pm)**

**Screenline # 4 at 41st Ave**

- Baseline: 27
- No Build: 31
- Scenario A: 37
- Scenario B: 33
- Scenario C: 32
- Scenario E: 38

**Screenline # 9 at San Andreas/Freedom**

- Baseline: 16
- No Build: 18
- Scenario A: 22
- Scenario B: 19
- Scenario C: 19
- Scenario E: 22

In thousands
GOAL 3 Develop a well-integrated transportation system that supports economic vitality.

**Level of Public Investment**
Capital Costs and Funding Potential Estimates (in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>New Public Investments Needed</th>
<th>Capital Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>$520</td>
<td>$794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>$379</td>
<td>$1,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>$285</td>
<td>$453</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Annual Cost for Operations & Maintenance**
(in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>New Public Investments Needed</th>
<th>O&amp;M Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>$7</td>
<td>$32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>$44</td>
<td>$32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding Potential

- Scenario A: $379
- Scenario B: $455
- Scenario C: $455
- Scenario E: $453
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GOAL 3 Economic Vitality (continued)

Visitor Tax Revenues (per year)
(in million $)

- Total
- Transient Occupancy Tax
- Visitor Related Sales Tax

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Transient Occupancy Tax</th>
<th>Visitor Related Sales Tax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>$18</td>
<td>$10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario A</td>
<td>$28</td>
<td>$12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario B</td>
<td>$28</td>
<td>$12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario C</td>
<td>$28</td>
<td>$12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario E</td>
<td>$28</td>
<td>$12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Build</td>
<td>$27</td>
<td>$12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cost Associated with Collisions (in millions/year)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Collision Cost</th>
<th>Savings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scenario A</td>
<td>$675</td>
<td>$56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario B</td>
<td>$649</td>
<td>$82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario C</td>
<td>$674</td>
<td>$56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario E</td>
<td>$673</td>
<td>$58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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GOAL 4 Minimize environmental concerns and reduce adverse health impacts.

Countywide Vehicle Miles Traveled

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Miles (in millions/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Build</td>
<td>6.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>5.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario A</td>
<td>5.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario B</td>
<td>6.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Environmentally Sensitive Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Miles (mi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scenario A</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario B</td>
<td>38.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario C</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario E</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Criteria Pollutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>SOX</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM2.5</th>
<th>ROG</th>
<th>NOX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>26.98</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>6.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GOAL 4 Environment (continued)

CO₂e Emissions (metric tons/day) and % Reduction from 2015 Baseline

Baseline | No Build | Scenario A | Scenario B | Scenario C | Scenario E
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
2,617 | 1,915 | 1,941 | 1,886 | 1,899 | 1,928

-27% | -26% | -28% | -27% | -26%

GOAL 5 Accessible and equitable transportation system that is responsive to the needs of all users.

Annual Transit Vehicle Miles Traveled (in millions)

Scenario A | Scenario B | Scenario C | Scenario E | 2035 No Build | 2015 Baseline
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
5.74 | 6.65 | 6.11 | 5.23 | 3.61 | 3.33
GOAL 5 Equity (continued)

Household Transportation Cost
(% of Median Income)

No Build 26%

Baseline 24%

Scenario A
Scenario B
Scenario C
Scenario E

1 Vehicle Household
2 Vehicle Household

Share of Investment Benefit for Transportation Disadvantaged Population

24.0%
25.2%
25.2%
23.5%

13.7% of population is transportation disadvantaged
## Unified Corridor Investment Study - Step 2 Scenarios for Analysis
*(Approved by RTC on December 7, 2017 *)

### Scenario A | Scenario B | Scenario C | Scenario E | No Build
---|---|---|---|---
**Highway 1 Projects**
- buses on shoulders
- high occupancy vehicle lanes (HOV) and increased transit frequency
- auxiliary lanes to extend merging distance IN ADDITION TO MEASURE D
- metering of on-ramps
- additional lanes on bridge over San Lorenzo River
- Mission St intersection improvements

**Soquel Avenue/Drive and Freedom Blvd**
- bus rapid transit lite (faster boarding, transit signal priority and queue jumps)
- increased frequency of transit with express services
- buffered/protected bike lanes
- intersection improvements for auto
- intersection improvements for bikes/pedestrians

**Rail Corridor**
- bike and pedestrian trail
- local rail transit with interregional connections
- bus rapid transit
- freight service on rail

**Overall Project Area/Connections between Routes**
- improved bike/pedestrian facilities throughout urban area closing gaps in network
- additional transit connections
- bike share, bike amenities, transit amenities, park and ride lots
- multimodal transportation hubs
- automated vehicles/connected vehicles

**Transportation Demand and System Management**
- employers and residences - incentive programs
- education and enforcement - electric vehicle, motorist safety, and bike safety

*Scenarios D and F were eliminated from evaluation in Step 2*