

From: Sally Arnold, District 3 Alternate Member
To: Bicycle Advisory Committee
Re: BAC Meeting 4/13/20 Item 14 Alternatives Analysis short list

I have spent some time looking carefully at the document and I have a lot of questions, but as far as *this* committee is concerned, I want to suggest that we provide some specific feed back to the RTC staff and commissioners on their short list of transit options.

From a cyclist's point of view, I think we'd be well served if The RTC would prioritize those vehicles choices that do these three things:

- 1. Provides the easiest access and most bike storage capacity per vehicle**
- 2. Can be implemented the quickest so we get an accessible trail and quality transit soon.**
- 3. Preserves and protects the continuity of the entire 32 mile corridor FOREVER so that we do not risk losing the corridor to easement problems**

If any of the currently proposed 4 options will put public ownership of corridor at risk or significantly delay the building of the trail, perhaps they should not even be on the short list for further study.

I'm certainly open to providing even more suggestions to the RTC, and I'm sure that some of our committee members will offer some. But these three items seem like a basic beginning we might all be able to agree on to start the conversation.