General Compliance Reporting:

Confirm completion of the following Measure D Agreement requirements during the reporting year. The RTC shall utilize information from Recipient on expenditures to prepare a comprehensive report to the public on the expenditure of Measure D revenues.

Recipient - Update all highlighted fields on 3 tabs. Reporting Requirements (Articles from the Master Fund Agreement are referenced as comments)

REPORTING YEAR: FY18/19
Recipient Agency City of Scotts Valley

Public Information/ Outreach	Date	Documentation	Comments, additional info. If applicable, briefly explain why publicity requirement was not completed
Date 5-Year Plan Adopted	6/20/2018	Resolution 1923.3	Part of overall 2018-19 Budget
Date of 5-Year Plan Public Hearing	6/20/2018	Resolution 1923.3	Part of overall 2018-19 Budget
Date of annual audit of Measure D Expenditures	1/15/2020	Audited Financial Statements	Attached
News Article		Clips	Attached; Glenwood Drive doesn't have a Sentinal submission because it was advertised on internet sites
Website with info on Meas. D projects		https://www.scottsvalley.org/279/Plans-Projects	site will be updated with current projects
Map of Measure D-funded Projects		Attached	
Photos (before/after)		Attached	Glenwood Drive doesn't have after photos as it is still in progress
Signage		Attached	no pictures of Kings Village sign, and it has since been trashed
Describe any other public outreach conducted during the reporting year about Measure D	RTC meetings	during FY18-19;	

Maintenance of Effort

Maintenance of Effort BASELINE (should match MOE		Pre-Measure D Baseline of average of locally-generated revenues (ex. general funds, sales and property taxes and other fees) and expenditures				
report submitted to RTC in 2017)	, ,,,,,	for transportation purposes in the three to five fiscal years prior to 2017 (the start of Measure D allocations to recipient agencies) = FY16/17, FY15/16, FY14/15, and optionally FY13/14 and FY12/13.				
FY17/18 LOCAL funds spent on multimodal local road		Locally-generated funds beyond HUTA or Measure D (ex. general funds, city				
repairs & maintenance (exclude HUTA, formula state &	- ۱	tax, developer fees, etc)				
fed funds, special grants)		tun, developer jees, etc)				
FY18/19 LOCAL funds spent on multimodal local road		Locally-generated funds beyond HUTA or Measure D (ex. general funds, city				
repairs & maintenance (exclude HUTA, formula state & fed funds)	\$ 308,318	tax, developer fees, etc)				
If the most recent fiscal year's expenditures of local						
funds were lower than the baseline amount, explain	N/A					
why.						
Does your agency have an indirect cost allocation plan?	Yes	Measure D funds cannot be used for indirect costs.				
Cities/County Only: Does your agency have an adopted	In Process ??	City is currently updating the General Plan and will adopt a Complete Streets				
Complete Streets policy?	iii Process !!	Policy.				

Contacts

Administrative officer or designated staff available to report on or answer any and all inquiries in regard to Recipient's receipt, usage, and/or compliance audit findings regarding Measure D funds before the RTC and/or the Independent Oversight Committee or RTC advisory committees, as applicable.

Name	Title/Measure D tasks	Phone/email	
Daryl Jordan	Public Works Director	831)438-5854 djordan@scottsvalley.org	

MEASURE D EXPENDITURE REPORT

Agency	Beginning of Year Fund Balance	FY 16/17-17/18 Measure D Received (4/1/17-6/30/18)	FY 18/19 Measure D Received (7/1/18-6/30/19)	Interest Earned on Measure D funds	Measure D Expended (7/1/18-6/30/19)	End of Year Fund Balance/ Carryover to be Spent in Future Years
City of Scotts Valley	\$ 283,180.00	\$ 281,999.00	\$ 287,756.00	\$ 7,962.00	\$ 308,318.00	\$ 270,580.00

D	TAILED SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES AN	D ACCOMPLISHMENTS	S.			(expenditures -FY16/17-18/19)					Consistency
#	Project Name	Total Project Cost	Prior year(s) Measure D Expenditures on this project	FY18/19 Measure D Expenditures (actual spent)	(Est) Future Year(s) Measure D Expenditures	Measure D- funded Capital Costs (expenditures - FY16/17-18/19)	Measure D- funded Non-capital (non- infrastructure, outreach, admin, etc) -	Measure funded indirect costs	(grants & other janas	Leveraged Fund Source Name(s)	Project in approved 5- year plan? (yes/no)
1	Glenwood Drive Rehabilitation and Bicycle Improvement Project	\$ 1,460,000.00	\$ -	\$ 25,462.00	\$ -	\$ 25,398.00	\$ 64.00	\$ -	N/A	N/A	Yes
2	Glen Canyon Rd/Green Hills Rd/S. Navarra Dr Bike Corridor and Roadway Preservation	\$ 1,081,000.00	\$ -	\$ 155,013.00	\$ -	\$ 154,619.00	\$ 394.00	\$ -	STIP-\$707k; RSTPX-\$104k; SB1 LSR	RSTPX, STIP	Yes
3	Kings Village Road Sidewalk	\$ 306,000.00	\$ -	\$ 127,843.00	\$ -	\$ 127,519.00	\$ 324.00	\$ -	N/A	N/A	Yes
		Total	\$ -	\$ 308,318.00	\$ -	\$ 307,536.00	\$ 782.00	\$ -	\$ -	•	

Agency

City of Scotts Valley

AILED SUMMARY OF EXP	ENDITURES AN		Project Information Continue	ed			Project Stat	us/Timeline	
Project Nam	ne	Location/Limts	Description (may include additional project components, ex. complete streets, traffic calming, crossing improvements, drainage upgrades, etc.)	Project Category (Drop-down Menu)	Primary Project Type (Drop-down Menu)	Project Status	CEQA compliance (List type of document & certification date - e.x. CE, 5/1/18)	Construction schedule	Completion Date (actual or estimate)
Glenwood Drive Rehab Bicycle Improvemen		Glenwood Dr from Casa Way to city limits (north of High School)	Pavement rehabilitation of Glenwood Dr (K Street Way/SVHS to city limits), drainage repair, and widen to add bike lanes. (0.58mi), addition of ped crossing for access to east and west areas of new trail system in Glenwoo	Multimodal (road &	Street Resurfacing/ Maintenance	In-progress	excemption, 15304(h) Class 1	tentative start March 2020	TBD
Glen Canyon Rd/Green Navarra Dr Bike Corridor Preservation	and Roadway	Intersection of Glen Canyon Rd & Green Hills Rd to end of Green Hills Rd; from end of S. Navarra to interesection with Granite Creek Rd	Street repaying, roadway markings, pavement	Multimodal (road & bike/ped/etc)	Street Resurfacing/ Maintenance	Complete	categorical exemption Article 19. Sec 15301, Class 1	6/13/18-10/31/18	10/31/2018
Kings Village Road S	Sidewalk	Kings Village Road	Sidewalk Improvements	Ped only	Safety Improvements	Complete	categorical exception, 15301(c)	10/25/2018- 1/30/19	1/30/2019

Agency

City of Scotts Valley

AILED SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES AN	•	percent of project by mode			Additional N	Other		
Project Name	% System Preservation	% Bike	% Ped	New Bike Lane Miles	New Pedestrian Path or Sidewalk Miles	Miles of pavement rehab/repair (pothole, seals, etc)	Rehab of bikeways, sidewalks, or ADA ramps included? (describe)	Other Notes or Information (optional)
Glenwood Drive Rehabilitation and Bicycle Improvement Project	80%	20%	0%	0.45	0	0.45	planned addition of bike lanes	miles under 'additional metrics' are anticipated and have not finished construction yet
Glen Canyon Rd/Green Hills Rd/S. Navarra Dr Bike Corridor and Roadway Preservation	73%	27%	0%	0.576	0	1.94	yes; restriped existing bike lanes, added an additional 0.576 mi of bike lanes	
Kings Village Road Sidewalk	0%	0%	100%	0	0.187	0	Yes; sidewalks rehab, 4 ADA ramps	
	51%	16%	33%	1.03	0.19	2.39		

Measure D: Neighborhood Projects Program (Funds to Cities and County for Local Streets and Roads - LSR)

Performance	Measures

AGENCY:	City of Scotts Valley

Measure D recipients are to document the performance and benefits of the projects and programs funded with Measure D funds. The following performance measures are a selection of performance standards to be documented by the recipients, as applicable. Additional or alternate performance measures may be provided or requested by the RTC or recipients.

Submittal date 1/16/2020

	Performance Me	tric and Standard		
Performance Measure	Baseline/Prior Year	Current	Corrective Action	
Pavement State of Repair	Track city/countywide Pavement C the "surface condition" of local str three years.		Any agency with a falling PCI must provide an explanation. If the PCI drops, specify what funding amounts, policies, or other needs are required to enable increasing the PCI to 60 (fair) or above.	
Average Network PCI (0-100)*	63	63		
Date of PCI Analysis (month/year)	18-Jun-18	June '19		
Miles repaved in FY18/19 (using all funds, not just Measure D)	0.7	0		
Miles agency plans to repave in FY19/20 (using all funds, not just Measure D)	2.8	0		
Total centerline miles in jurisdiction	32.02	32.02		
Complete Streets Implementation		•	Provide an explanation if less than 20% of	
Percent of annual Measure D funds used to support bicycling and walking.	n/a	30%	Measure D funds are spent on bicycle and pedestrian facilities.	
Number of linear feet or lane miles of bicycle facilities built or maintained (bike lanes, bike routes, multi-use pathways) w/Meas D	n/a	0.576	Projects incomplete at the end of FY18-19 were not added to the miles completed here. No projects were completed near schools with Measure D money this FY	
Number of pedestrian projects completed (linear feet of sidewalks, number of crossing improvements/striping, quantify traffic calming items, lighting, landscaping/streetscape, number of curb/ADA ramps, linear feet of trail/pathway built or maintained) w/Meas D	n/a	.187 miles of sidewalk added, 4 ADA ramps, 1 crossing added	·	
Describe how school access was improved for children bicycling and walking, which may include collision data w/Meas D	n/a	n/a		
Capital Project and Program Investment	1 1 2	d programs is greater than funding h, staffing, administrative support)	Any agency expending less on capital investments compared to other activities must explain how capital investments will increase in the	
Amount of Meas D expended on capital projects and programs by phase (design, right-of-way, construction and capital support)	See Expenditures Tab See Expenditures Tab		subsequent years	
Leveraged Funds	, 0	ling secured using Measure D as a tch.	Explain if Measure D funds fully funding all projects.	
Amount of competitive grants secured using Measure D as match	n/a	n/a		