# CHAPTER 5

# **Funding Our Transportation System**

# Introduction

In planning which programs, projects, and actions in Santa Cruz County will advance the region's goals, policies and targets, the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) must consider how much funding will be available to support the transportation system, including maintaining existing infrastructure and services, and new transit, highway, local road, bicycle, pedestrian, and demand management projects. The total cost of the RTP investment strategy (also referred to as the constrained project list or Action Element) must be "financially constrained" based on revenues that are reasonably expected to be available. The "Financial Element" identifies the current and anticipated public revenue sources available to fund the planned transportation investments described in Chapter 6 – Transportation Investments. Based on financial projections for local, state, and federal revenue sources, approximately \$5.26 billion through 2045 (\$200 million per year) is expected to be available to operate, maintain and improve the multi-modal transportation system in Santa Cruz County.

There are considerable challenges associated with operating, maintaining, and investing in the future transportation system. Projected revenues still only generate about half of what would be needed to fund all of the projects that have been identified through 2045 (Appendix E). While anticipated revenues are insufficient to fund all of the ongoing costs to maintain the existing transportation system and to implement the full list of projects and programs that have been identified by the community, the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC), cities, the County of Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz METRO, state agencies, and other transportation providers work with the community to set clear priorities for the constrained funds and work with state and federal representatives to identify new and innovative ways to pay for transportation infrastructure and services.



Senate Bill 1, the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017

# **Available Funds**

Transportation programs and projects in Santa Cruz County are funded from a variety of local, state and federal funding programs. Based on current and projected revenue sources, approximately \$5.26 billion from federal (\$785M), state (\$2B), and local (\$2.5B) funding sources are reasonably anticipated to be available to finance transportation projects in Santa Cruz County 2020-2045 (Figure 5.1). A list of the specific local, state, and federal funding programs and sources is shown in Appendix D.




Figure 5.1 – 25 Year Revenue Forecast. \$5.26 Billion (2020 dollars)

Source: SCCRTC

As shown in Figure 5.2 and Appendix D, the public and businesses contribute to transportation funding programs through taxes and fees, primarily collected at the gas pump and at cash registers. Truck weight fees and a portion of automobile registration fees also help fund some local transportation projects and repay state debt service on past state transportation bonds.

**Local Revenues:** Although federal and state funding for transportation is critical, nearly 55% of anticipated revenues come from local sources, primarily local sales taxes, transit fares, and city general funds. To address funding shortfall and to meet regional transportation infrastructure needs, Santa Cruz County residents approved Measure D, a 30-year half-percent sales tax dedicated to local transportation projects and programs in 2016.

State Revenues. State revenues, make up approximately 30% of revenues available for transportation projects in Santa Cruz County. These include Senate Bill 1: Road Repair and Accountability Act (2017) fuel taxes and vehicle fees, which stabilized funding to maintain local streets and roads, provided extra funding for local transit and community transportation services, maintain and repair state highways, bridges, and culverts, and added funding for competitive grants for bicycle and pedestrian, congestion relief, and goods movement projects. It also includes state gasoline and diesel taxes and fees that are allocated by formula to cities, the County of Santa Cruz, and transit agencies. About half of state funds expected to be available in Santa Cruz County are allocated by the California Transportation Commission (CTC) to Caltrans to operate, maintain, and improve safety on the state highway system.

Federal Revenues. Federal funding is generated from per gallon federal gasoline taxes, which have not increased since 1993, and are supplemented by Federal general funds deposited in the "Highway Trust Fund" (HTF). The amount of federal funding available, types of programs funded, and rules associated with those funds is based on the federal transportation act. Federal funding amounts and programs are determined through the multi-year federal transportation act. In September 2021, the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act) expired. As part of negotiations for a multiyear federal infrastructure plan, Congress adopted a new federal transportation act – the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) of 2021 – which is expected to increase funding for transportation. Under IIJA,

California is estimated to receive \$25.3 billion for federal-aid highway apportioned programs over five years, \$4.2 billion over five years from a new bridge program; \$384 million over five years from a new program to support the expansion of an electric vehicle (EV) charging network; and \$9.45 billion over five years to improve public transportation options across the state. The IIJA also creates new transportation discretionary grant programs and increases funding for existing discretionary grant programs between FY 2022 and FY 2026. Details on what this means for projects in Santa Cruz County will be integrated into RTP updates once available. The federal act depends on general fund revenues and raising the debt ceiling for funding, rather than addressing diminishing gas tax revenues with more stable funding. In Santa Cruz County, federal revenue sources total about 15% of the projected revenue through 2045, with Federal funds made available to projects in Santa Cruz County primarily through Federal Transit Administration (FTA) grant programs, safety and bridge program grants available to local jurisdictions, and federal emergency funds (FEMA).

Depending on the IIJA federal transportation act, annual appropriations bills, state and local budgets, diesel and gasoline consumption, and the general condition of the local and global economy, funding levels for many funding programs may change significantly from year to year.

MAJOR TRANSPORTATION REVENUES IN CALIFORNIA

#### Truck Weight Fees State Fuel State Diesel Retail Sales Tax State & Local Federal Fuel Airport Fuel DMV Fees Cap & Excise Tax Sales Tax Government Excise Tax & Fees Leg. Trade Share (1991) 圇 100 100 ш Public Transportation Account Development Act (TDA) sales tax Other Federal, State, & Local revenues Rail Programs, Other Aeronautics Account & Transit Trust Fund (FTA Programs) SBIT Federal Highway Trust Fund JHRRR, STR, TAP) SHOPP Highway Account (STIP. Earmarks, Transportation and local Currently diverted to pay band 981 AB2766 ٦ STATE CALL BOX

#### Figure 5.2 – Major Transportation Revenues in California

Source: Caltrans and SCCRTC, 2021

#### **Restricted versus Flexible Funds**

As shown in Figure 5.3 of current and projected future revenue sources, most revenues available for transportation projects and programs identified in the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) are highly restricted (or "dedicated") by federal, state, or local regulations for use by specific jurisdictions, agencies

and/or types of projects. For example, some funding sources may only be applied to projects that support transit or airport facilities, while other sources are exclusively for road maintenance or capital projects on the state highways. This includes over \$915 million in State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP) funds that can only be used for maintenance and safety projects on state highways through 2045. Approximately one third (1/3) of local, state, or federal funds can only be used on transit and paratransit projects and operations, including the local ½ cent transit sales tax (approved by Santa Cruz County voters in 1978) and rider fares designated for the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (METRO), 20% of Measure D revenues, Lift Line rider fares, and funds from the Federal Transit Administration. Most funds allocated to cities and the county can be used on a variety of projects – including local road, bicycle, and pedestrian projects. In selecting projects for the constrained investment strategy, the project list must match with the funds dedicated to specific project types or agencies.




Figure 5.3 – Funding Restrictions by Project Type

Source: SCCRTC

The RTC has discretion over about 4% of the funds available for transportation projects (approximately \$8.5 million per year). These funds are from regional shares of the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) and SB 1- Local Partnership Program. The RTC typically distributes these funds through a competitive process based on how well projects advance the priorities identified in the RTP policy element (Chapter 4) and criteria established by state and federal guidelines. In addition to these discretionary funds, State Transit Assistance, Transportation Development Act, and Measure D funds flow through the RTC's annual budget to the METRO, local jurisdictions and other partner agencies by formula for purposes that are restricted by state law and the Measure D Ordinance. Other agencies are responsible for selecting projects for the remaining funds.

#### **Measure D**

In response to ongoing funding shortfalls and the large backlog of maintenance and other projects, Santa Cruz County voters approved Measure D in November 2016, a 30-year half-percent sales tax dedicated to local transportation projects and programs. Measure D provides approximately \$25 million per year to fund projects in Santa Cruz County, which cannot be taken away by the state.

Measure D provides funding for five categories of program investments, as shown in Figure 5.4. Funds are distributed by formula to cities, the County of Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz METRO, and other local transportation agencies and categories of projects, as outlined in the Measure D Ordinance and Expenditure Plan. The RTC is required to allocate, administer, and oversee the expenditure of all Measure revenues which are not directly allocated by formula to other agencies, consistent with the Expenditure Plan and the 2021 Measure D Strategic Implementation Plan. With passage of Measure D, Santa Cruz County became a "self help" county, joining 85% of California's population that lives in counties whose voters approved transportation-sales tax measures. Because county voters have approved local sales taxes dedicated for transportation purposes, Santa Cruz County will also receive a share of \$200 million that is available statewide per year through SB1. This Local Partnership Program recognizes and rewards communities that have approved local "self-help" sales taxes and fees.




Figure 5.4 – Measure D Investment Categories

Source: SCCRTC

#### **Funding Uncertainties**

Financial projections developed for the RTP reflect the best estimates available in 2020/21. These projections are meant to be used as a general tool to assist the RTC, local jurisdictions and other project sponsors in determining what projects are reasonable to pursue and prioritize in the short and long term. However, forecasting the amount of funding that will be available for transportation is a challenging and somewhat speculative exercise. Actual revenues will vary from year to year.

The availability of the funding identified in the 2045 RTP is also dependent on state, federal, and local taxes, fees, and other sources continuing to exist or being replaced with other funding mechanisms. The reliability of funding projections can also be impacted by changes in the economy, state and federal laws, environmental mandates, fuel consumption, and related gas tax revenues. Since adoption of the 2010 RTP, several funding sources that agencies had historically relied upon have been eliminated, such as the sales tax on gasoline for transportation (Proposition 42) and federal programs eliminated in 2012 with adoption of the federal transportation act MAP-21. Many local jurisdictions were particularly hard hit in 2010 by the elimination of redevelopment agencies and related funding. In Santa Cruz County, redevelopment agencies had spent millions of dollars annually on transportation projects, including roadway repairs, new sidewalks, bicycle lanes, highway projects, and transit facilities, before they were dissolved by the California State Legislature and redevelopment funds redirected to the State General Fund.

### Funding Shortfalls – A Local, State, and Federal Challenge

As noted earlier, while \$200 million per year and \$5.26 billion over 25 years may seem like a lot of money, \$10 billion in projects and programs have been identified by local agencies and the public through 2045 (Appendix E). The significant shortfall in transportation funding is not unique to Santa Cruz County. The combination of state, federal and local revenues designated for transportation no longer pay for transportation projects at the same levels they have in the past. Aging infrastructure, heavier trucks and buses, rising construction costs, and new regulatory requirements could also impact project costs. Increasing traffic, expanded use of transit service, and bicycle and pedestrian facilities also place additional demands on the transportation system.

Another major challenge is that transportation has historically been funded by revenues generated at the gas pump, which decline with better vehicle fuel efficiency. While use of transportation facilities and services is ever increasing, the purchasing power of state and federal gas taxes and fees has not kept pace with the cost to operate and maintain the transportation system. As more vehicles get better gas mileage or use alternative fuels or electricity, fewer gas taxes are collected for the same amount of vehicle miles traveled; so even when gas prices increase, gasoline tax revenues decline as compared to how much people drive, resulting in significantly less funding for transportation projects.

Since Federal gas taxes have not been increased since 1993, the Highway Trust Fund is regularly on the brink of going bankrupt, forcing Congress to repeatedly shift general funds to bail it out and Federal funds have been making up a declining percentage of transportation funding. Because Santa Cruz County does not have as many facilities that are considered "nationally significant" as some other areas (such as large metropolitan areas and areas serving ports or major truck routes), Federal funds make up only 15% of the transportation funds in Santa Cruz County. Unless Congress provides the highway trust fund with a more sustainable source of dedicated revenues, additional bailouts from other revenues will be needed to cover an ever-growing funding gap. While federal funds make up only a portion of

transportation budgets, state transportation agencies and transit agencies nationwide may be forced to delay or cancel projects and services because of the long-term uncertainty in federal funding.

#### **Potential Future Revenues**

Since existing revenue sources are insufficient to address all of the needs in the region, the RTP also identifies some additional strategies that could address some of the funding gaps. Potential revenue sources that do not currently exist, but which could supply significant transportation funds for our region in the future, include new vehicle weight fees and replacement of state and federal gas taxes with road user charges.

Road User Charges. The decline in purchasing power of gas tax revenues due to inflation and decline of revenue on a per-mile basis as vehicles become more fuel-efficient has caused leaders around the U.S. to look for alternatives for funding the transportation system. A number of states are looking towards a road usage charge (a.k.a. mileage-based user fee or vehicle miles traveled-VMT fee) where drivers would pay for the roads, as they do other public utilities, based on how much they use them. With technological advancements this new approach to directly charge roadway users has become feasible. This type of system can be implemented while still protecting the privacy of road users. Road user charges will cost more to collect than the gas tax but will produce greater and more stable net revenue.

The State has been conducting a pilot program to study the feasibility of a mileage-based fee to replace existing gas taxes in California. While federal and state agencies are investigating replacing the deteriorating gas tax with a road user charge based on the number of miles driven this funding option is unlikely to be realized for many years.

Local Vehicle Registration Fees. As allowed under Senate Bill 83, the RTP also assumes that voters will approve a new local \$10 vehicle registration fee that could raise \$38 million by 2045.

VMT Mitigation Banks. With passage of SB743, cities, counties, regions and the state are evaluating options to allow developers to fund mitigation efforts to counter VMT impacts of their projects under CEQA, such as local or regional "banks" or "exchanges" to fund transportation projects that will reduce vehicle miles driven.

Other potential revenues. While not assumed to be available for constrained projects through 2045 in this RTP, examples of some funding mechanisms other areas and states have implemented to fund transportation projects include: special assessment districts, transit benefit districts, users fees and fares, regional development fees, state general obligation bonds, tolls, vehicle sales taxes, truck and other vehicle weight fees, utility partnerships, hotel/motel lodging fees, increased general fund investments, private investments, and special grant programs.

## **Methodology for Projecting Available Funds**

The financial projections in this RTP are based on reasonably foreseeable revenues. The projections were calculated based on a combination of historical averages, current trends, and/or state and federal actions. In most instances, base-year figures for formula funding sources (those that the region typically receives every year according to population, road miles, or fixed factors) reflect the amount of funding available in Fiscal Year 2020/21. In other instances, historical averages were used to calculate anticipated revenues.

#### CHAPTER 5 | Funding Our Transportation System

For sporadic funding sources, the RTP's calculations use a fixed percentage of the total statewide amount available for the base-year figure, based on Santa Cruz County's share of the state population.

Financial projections were developed in coordination with partner agencies in the Monterey Bay region, including the Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments for federally mandated Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP), cities, the County of Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz METRO, and other agencies that implement transportation projects and provide transportation services. Projections are consistent with those figures shown in the California Transportation Commission's (CTC) State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Fund Estimate, Interregional Transportation Improvement Program (ITIP), and Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP).