# Measure D Recipient Agency: City of Scotts Valley

# Measure D Annual Report Cover Sheet Fiscal Year 2021/22

Submit documents to <a href="mailto:rmoriconi@sccrtc.org">rmoriconi@sccrtc.org</a> by December 31, 2022.

**Dear Measure D Taxpayer Oversight Committee**: The City of Scotts Valley is pleased to present the following information demonstrating compliance with the voter-approved Measure D Ordinance, Strategic Implementation Plan (SIP), agreements and guidelines. Collectively they make up the Measure D annual report for recipient agencies.

Measure D Financial Info	ormation
FY21/22 Formula Allocations	\$308,000
Unspent Prior Year Allocations	(\$76,994)
Interest earned in FY21/22 (including on Unspent	\$-
Prior Allocations)	
Total Measure D Funding Available in <u>FY21/22</u>	\$233,006
Total Measure D Funds Spent in <u>FY21/22</u>	\$66,831
Total Measure D Rollover to <u>FY22/23</u>	\$164,175
Maintenance of Eff	
FY21/22 LOCAL funds spent on transportation	\$1,143,800
projects, operations, and/or services (exclude grants	
and formula state & fed funds - HUTA, TDA, SB1, STA, etc.)	
Maintenance of Effort Baseline (average amount of local	\$365,967
funds spent on transportation projects in 3-5 fiscal years prior to 2017)	
Measure D Compliance D	ocuments
Document	Attached (check)
1. FY21/22 Annual Report Cover Sheet (this	X
document)	^
2. FY21/22 Audited Financials for Measure D	Est. completed mid Jan.
funds	
(see "Measure D Audit Guidance" for additional information)	
3. FY21/22 Expenditure Report Spreadsheet:	X
Shows what Measure D formula funds (direct	
allocations) were spent on.	
*Report use of regional funds (Trail, Highway Corridors, Hwy	
9, Rail) separate from formula funds.	information. For any grouped
<ul> <li>a. If applicable –Grouped projects supplemental projects (e.g. citywide roadway repairs), include</li> </ul>	
list of specific locations (road names, project	` ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
served), work done at each (e.g. environmenta	•
,	i, design, construction, and scriedule
for completing construction at each.	N/A.
b. Leveraged Funds: Were Measure D funds	IN/A.
used as grant match or otherwise used to	



		22? In addition to	
	n the "Expenditu	•	
Spreadsheet",	summarize belo	DW:	
Project	Leveraged	Source (e.g.	
	Funds (\$)	grant name)	
	\$		
	\$		
Total Leveraged	\$		
funds			
4. Map: Attach or pro			Hyperlink provided.
http://scottsvalley.			
5. FY21/22 Performa D Guidelines Attachma	ent B)		X
6. Maintenance of E			X
Spreadsheet: List		•	
transportation proj	•		
compared to the 3			N/A
a. If FY21/22 Loc	•		N/A
than baseline a	amount, explain	wily.	
7. Indirect cost allo	cation plan: Do	es your agency	Yes, however, Measure D fund not
have an indirect			being utilized for indirect costs.
"no," Measure D canno		•	
		P: June 20, 2018	
b. ICAP Rate		Zoor Dlon).	X
8. 5-Year Program of Attach list showing	•	•	^
formula funds in th	•	leasure D	
a. Evidence that		gram of	X
	subsequent de	_	^
	=	leasure D funds	
	I through a pub		
	olic hearing notic	•	
ii. Me	eting agendas/s	taff reports	
	er meetings wh		
	asure D funds d		
b. Date of 5-Year		-	Date: May 4, 2022
-	r Plan <i>(per Artic</i>		
c. Date annual 5	•	roved by	Date: May 4, 2022
Governing Bo		0''' '0 '	
9. Complete Streets	Compliance (	Cities/County	Hyperlink provided.
only):-	DocumentCent	or/\/iow/2200/2\/	
http://scottsvalley.org/ Active-Transportation-		51/ V IEW/2000/3V-	
ACTIVE- HALISPORTATION-	<u>-                                    </u>		

a. Fund Balances, Carryover Projects, and Description of planned, longer-term and future uses of Measure D revenues, if known.	
Description of planned, longer-term and future uses of Measure D revenues, if	
future uses of Measure D revenues, if	
·	
b. Future Liabilities: Describe possible liabilities,	
including information on how future costs for	
projects not yet completed are anticipated to	
be funded.	
c. Compliance with Applicable Laws: Describe X	
how projects were implemented in compliance	
with applicable laws, regulations,	
requirements, standards of practice,	
guidelines, etc. which are applicable to publicly	
funded transportation projects are met and	
adhered to, including requirements of the	
California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA),	
state design and procurement requirements,	
etc.	
Public Outreach/Notification of Use of Measure D Funds	
<b>11. Public Outreach/Process:</b> Describe outreach Report out of activities at RTC ITA	C
conducted during the reporting year to inform Meetings, Public Hearing was	
Santa Cruz County taxpayers as to how your conducted on May 4, 2022 on futu	re
agency is using or plans to use Measure D funds. Measure D projects	
(per Article III.A.3.).	
12. Sample(s) of public outreach on Measure D-	
funded projects 7/1/19-6/30/20	
a. Website with Measure D information (attach	
PDF):	
Web address: <a href="http://scottsvalley.org/279/Plans-">http://scottsvalley.org/279/Plans-</a>	
<u>Projects</u>	
(per Article III.A.7.)	
b. News Article: Include a copy of or weblink to X	
at least one news article or press release	
about Measure D-funded projects in the past	
fiscal year. News release should inform public	
that project is funded by Measure D. (per	
Article III.A.8.)	
c. Signage: Did you display the Measure D logo N/A	
on signs at construction sites, on vehicles,	
and/or public education materials/websites?	
Attach photos if available. See "Measure D:	
Sign Specifications" for additional signage	

<b>13. Photos:</b> Attach before/after and construction photos if available of projects/work done in FY21/22.	N/A
14. Fact Sheets on larger projects: Attach more detailed fact sheet on large projects (includes public information such as project name, description of work to be done, location, project benefits/purpose, schedule, graphics/maps, complete streets components/consistency, total cost & funding, including info on if Measure D was used to leverage other grants/funds).	N/A

## **Contacts**

Designated staff available to report on or answer any and all inquiries in regard to Recipient Agency's audit, projects and expenditures, and/or compliance with Measure D before the RTC and/or the Measure D Taxpayer Oversight Committee or RTC advisory committees, as applicable.

Name	Title	Phone/email
Chris Lamm	Public Works Director	831-783-5662 clamm@scottsvalley.gov
Selina Andrews	Finance Manager	sandrews@scottsvalley.gov
Sara South	Administrative Analyst	ssouth@scottsvalley.gov

#### MEASURE D EXPENDITURE REPORT - Direct Allocations

Reporting Year
Recipient Agency
City of Scotts Valley

		Project Description/Loc	cation				Prior Y	ear Measure D Exp	enditures (if appli	icable)			Leveraged	Funds, if any		Consi
#		Project Description (may include additional project components, ex. complete streets, traffic calming, crossing improvements, drainage upgrades, etc.)	Location/Limts (if grouped project, list each location/limit)	Work Done in FY21/22	Construction or Implemention schedule	FY21/22 Measure D Expenditures	FY16/17 Measure D Expenditures on this project	FY17/18 Measure D Expenditures	FY18/19 Measure D Expenditures	FY19/20 Measure D Expenditures	Measure D TOTAL SPENT through reporting year	(Est) Future Year(s) Measure D Expenditures	Leveraged Fund Amounts (grants & other funds where Meas. D used as match)	Leveraged Fund Source(s) Name(s)	Total Project Cost	Project in approved 5- year plan? (yes/no)
	Sample Project X - phase	Doing stuff	X Lane, from Street Y to Z Blvd.	started construction, public outreach	2/1/20-4/1/22	\$ 200,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 350,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	ATP-\$50k; Local- \$50k	\$ 500,000	Yes
1	Bean Creek Road Pavement Rehabilitation - Phase 1	Roadway and Bicycle Improvements	Bean Creek Road from Bluebonnet Lane to Redwood Drive	Design	1/1/22 - 6/30/22	\$ 44,644.70	\$ -	\$ -			\$ 44,644.70	\$ 313,309.00	\$ -	na	\$ -	Yes
2	Citywide roadway repairs	Miscellaneous roadway repairs throught the city	Citwide	Asphalt repairs	7/1/21 - 9/1/21	\$ 22,186.12	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 22,186.12	\$ -	\$ -	na	\$ -	No
3											\$ -					
4											\$ -					
5											\$ -					
6											\$ -					
7											\$ -					
8											\$ -					
g											\$ -					
10	Audit and Expenditure Report Prep										\$ -					
-					Total	\$ 66,831	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 66,831	\$ 313,309	\$ -			

	FY 21/22 Measure D Revenues	FY 16/17 Measure D Revenues (4/1/17-6/30/17)	FY 17/18 Measure D Revenues	FY 18/19 Measure D Revenues	FY 19/20 Measure D Revenues	Measure D Expended	Carr	Balance/ yover to are Years
Allocation						\$ 66,830.82	\$	(66,831)
Interest								

		stency with 5-year plan			Stats -		if applicable			Measure D use		Other	
#		If not in 5-year plan or different amount or scope, why different?	CEQA compliance (List type of doc & certification date - ex. CE, 5/1/18)	Project Completion Date (actual or estimate)	New Bike Lane Miles	New Ped Path or Sidewalk Miles	Miles of pavement rehab/repair (pothole, seals, etc)	Rehab of bikeways, sidewalks, or ADA ramps included? (describe)	If Complete Streets not incorporated into project, why not?	FY21/22 Measure D- funded Capital Costs	FY21/22 Measure D- funded Non-capital (non-infrastructure, outreach, admin)	FY21/22 Measure D- funded Indirect costs (and overhead)	Other Notes or Information (optional)
	Sample Project X - phase	Extra \$50k because of higher bids. Shifted from project x, to be funded with other funds or on hold, etc.	FEIR, 5/1/18	est. 4/1/2022	0.75	0.25	0.75	Yes- upgraded ADA, rehab of bike lanes	new crosswalk, fill gap in sidewalk	\$ 150,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 15,000	Eg. Extensive public outreach was done on this project.
1	Bean Creek Road Pavement Rehabilitation - Phase 1		excemption, 15301	9/30/2023	0	0.1	0.5	\$ -		\$ 44,644.70	\$ -	\$ -	
2	Citywide roadway repairs	Necessary repairs needed for public health and safety	excemption, 15301	9/1/2021	0	0	0	na		\$ 22,186.12	\$ -	\$ -	
3													
4													
5													
6													
7													
8													
9													
10	Audit and Expenditure Report Prep												
_			•	•	0.00	0.10	0.50			\$ 66,831	\$ -	\$ -	

Measure D: Neighborhood Projects Program (Funds to Cities and County for Local Streets and Roads - LSR)

# **Performance Measures**

**AGENCY:** 

City of Scotts Valley

Measure D recipients are to document the performance and benefits of the projects and programs funded with Measure D

Submittal date 12/31/2022

D 4	Performance Me	tric and Standard	
Performance Measure	Baseline/Prior Year	Current	Corrective Action
Pavement State of Repair	Track city/countywide Paven which rates the "surface con 1 to 100, at least every three	dition" of local streets from	Any agency with a falling PCI must provide an explanation. If the PCI drops, specify what funding amounts, policies, or other needs are
Average Network PCI (0-100)*	55	53	Due to staffing
Date of PCI Analysis (month/year)	1-Dec-21	1-Nov-22	turnover streetsaver
Miles repayed in FY 21/22 (using all funds, not just	0.5	0.6	database has not been
Measure D)	0.5	0.6	updated to reflect
Miles agency plans to repave in FY22/23 (using all		0.9	work done in FY
funds, not just Measure D)	-	0.9	19/20 or 20/21.
Total centerline miles in jurisdiction	32.02	32.02	Reported PCI of 55 is likely higher due to work completed on Glenwood Road.
Complete Streets Implementation			Provide an
Percent of annual Measure D funds used to support	200/	200/	explanation if less
bicycling and walking.	30%	30%	than 20% of Measure
Number of linear feet or lane miles of bicycle			
facilities built or maintained (bike lanes, bike routes,	0.45	0.5	
multi-use pathways) w/Meas D			
Number of pedestrian projects completed (linear feet of sidewalks, number of crossing improvements/striping, quantify traffic calming items, lighting, landscaping/streetscape, number of curb/ADA ramps, linear feet of trail/pathway built or maintained) w/Meas D	crosswalk striped at K street intersection of Glenwood drive adjacent to both Scotts Valley High School and West Glenwood Open Space Preserve	Crosswalk restriping planned for 22/23 at all school zone intersections, 0.1 miles of new sidewalk to be constructed as part of Bean Creek Road Improvement project.	
Describe how school access was improved for	27/4		
children bicycling and walking, which may include	N/A		
collision data w/Meas D	I		4 1:
Capital Project and Program Investment	Investment into capital proje		Any agency expending
Amount of Meas D expended on capital projects and		\$ 66,831.00	less on capital investments compared
programs by phase (design, right-of-way, construction			to other activities
and capital support)  Leveraged Funds	Report total grants and ot Measure D		Explain if Measure D funds fully funding all projects. \$429,769 RSPTX
Amount of competitive grants secured using Measure		<b>* *</b> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
D as match		\$429,769.00	Bean Creek Road

#### **Section 6. Maintenance of Effort Compliance**

## Maintenance of Effort

Pursuant to the California Transportation Commissions maintenance of effort reporting updated in August of 2017, the City of Scotts Valley's maintenance of effort level is \$292,207

http://www.californiacityfinance.com/RMRA MOEestim1708.pdf

#### FY 2021/22 Expenditures

As reported in the FY2022/23 Annual Budget, prior year General Fund expenditures on Public Works Street maintenance was \$355,800. No general fund or local dollars were expended on transportation CIP projects.

https://scottsvalley.org/DocumentCenter/View/3148/FY-2022-2023-Final-Annual-Budget-PDF

General Funds		FY 2018-19 Audited Actuals		FV 2019-20 Audited Actuals		FY 2020-21 Audited Actuals		FV 2021-22 Amended Budget		FV 2022-23 Final Proposed Budget	
German	Fund (001)						- Indiana				
41.	Legislative	5	246,355	5	245,386	5.	403,293	5	354,627	5	370,895
42	City Attorney	5	160,975	5	249,577	5	279,871	5	253,500	5	300,000
43	General Government	5	515,041	5	30,496,349	5	609,315	5	668,000	5	3,132,000
44	City Manager's Office	5.	443,306	5	495,369	5	460,866	5.	655,915	5	1,251,623
45	Admin Services - Finance	5	502,416	5	653,489	5	707,222	5	895,637	5	1,279,166
45	Admin Servicins - Hierain Resources	5	34,203	5	145,773	3	45,351	5	147,950	5	286,103
47	Admin Services - Information Technology	5	135,743	5	125,689	5	357,052	5	430,500	5	502,89
48	Admin Services - Haik Management	5	263,043	5	424,537	5	351,059	5	327,483	5	825,000
. 51	Police	5	4,985,239	5	5,388,515	8	4,895,386	3	5,223,537	5	6,041,835
57.	Arcincal Control	5	116,790	5	115,070	5	97,565	5	105,000	5	150,00
53	Emergency Services	5	98,362	5	206314	5	65,206	5	48,350	5	51,500
61.	Community Dovelopment - Planning	5	596,150	5	655,918	5	662,976	5	717,379	5	831,47
62	Community Development - Building	5	506,865	5	493,375	5	560,994	5	447,438	5	817,976
71	Public Works - Engineering	5	398,064	5	657,553	5	639,459	5	722,000	5	1,016,000
72	Public Works - Streets	5	392,403	5	160,309	5	356,379	5	355,800	5	745,83
73	Public Works - Vehicle/Equipment Maintmance	5	112,384	5	21,658	5	53,209	5	130,043	5	175,770
-75	Public Works - Parks	5	283,878	5	300,520	5	326,870	5	517,677	5	660,77
76	Public Works - Building Maintenance	5	254,975	5	208,310	5	353,007	5	241,472	5	500,51
	Debt Service:										
	Principal	5	290,000	5	515,000	5	450,000	5	480,000	5	555,000
	Interest and Fiscal Charges	5	251,158	5	183,130	5	265,878	5	253,325	5	375,000
	SubSatel General Forel (001)	5	20,867,379	3	71,868,580	3	11,465,768	3	13,304,630	3	19,841,430

#### **MEASURE D**

## **Annual Maintenance of Effort Compliance Report**

Reporting Fiscal Year: 2021-2022

		RMATION

Agency Name: City of Scotts Valley

Date: 12/22/2022

#### **Primary Point of Contact**

Name: Chris Lamm

Title: Public Works Director

Phone: 831-438-5854

Email: clamm@scottsvalley.org

#### Agency's Certification of True and Accurate Reporting by Submission

By submitting this Compliance Report to the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission, the submitting agency certifies the compliance information reported is true and complete to the best of their knowledge, and the dollar figures in the agency's Audited Financial Statement <u>matches exactly</u> to the revenues and expenditures reported herein.

# **Program Compliance Report Structure**

This Reporting Form is broken into the following sections for the Measure D Direct Local Allocation Programs applicable to the recipient agency.

- \* Cover Agency Contact
- \* Table 1 -MOE Baseline Calculation: Summary of Average Revenue and Expenditures

#### SAMPLE - Measure D Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Baseline Calculation Form

Baseline Calculation Due to RTC by August 1, 2017

Jurisdiction: City of Scotts Valley

Calculation of Annual Average Transportation Expenditures/Baseline MOE:

Revenue Sources by Expenditure Category

e.g. categories: Fixed-Route Service, paratransit service, streetsweeping, pavement, bike, ped, etc.

							Total E	Total Expenditure by Year			
REVENUES - Enter the total revenues expended in previous Fiscal Years (for transportation) from all local sources and state/fed formula programs.	FY22/23 Budget	FY21/22 Budget	FY20/21 Budget	FY19/20 Budget	FY18/19 Budget	FY17/18 Budget	FY16/17	FY15/16	FY14/15		
Gas Tax	\$601,260	\$549,600	\$498,329	\$493,321	\$478,609	\$333,100	\$237,289	\$261,455	\$341,807		
Traffic Mitigation Impact Fees	\$285,210	\$63,600	\$62,000	\$43,784	\$383,197	\$174,600	\$95,003	\$81,216	\$42,763		
Drainage Construction Impact Fees	\$37,977	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$4,848	\$16,803	\$54,605	\$9,825	\$4,730	\$5,214		
RSTPX Exchange Funds			\$54,200	\$266,536	\$1,003,330	\$711,000					
Other State Grants	\$900,528	\$400,000	\$1,844,844			\$346,000					
Investment Income						\$7,305	\$7,319	\$6,351	\$4,930		
Mesure D Sales Tax for Transportation	\$313,309	\$308,000	\$333,330	\$267,880	\$288,317	\$282,561					
·											
Average Annual MOE Revenues	\$2,138,284	\$1,336,200	\$2,807,703	\$1,076,369	\$2,170,256	\$1,909,171	\$349,436	\$353,752	\$394,714		
							4067.067				
MOE Baseline (Average of last 3 to 5 years)							\$365,967				
MOE Exclusions*	\$900,528	\$400,000	\$1,899,044	\$266,536	\$1,003,330	\$1.057.000					
Excluded special one-time grants	\$900,528			7200,330	71,003,330	71,037,000	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Total Average Annual Transportation Expenditures		\$400,000	\$1,899,044	\$266,536	\$1,003,330	\$1,057,000	\$0 <i>\$0</i>	\$0 <i>\$0</i>	\$0 <i>\$0</i>		
Total Attrage Allian Transportation Expenditures		\$400,000 <b>\$936,200</b>	\$1,899,044 <b>\$908,659</b>		· · · ·	1 / /					
Total Average Annual Transportation Expenditures				\$266,536	\$1,003,330	\$1,057,000	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Expenditures - Enter amount budgeted in 17/18 and amounts spent on varius transportation purposes in previous Fiscal Years.				\$266,536	\$1,003,330	\$1,057,000	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Expenditures - Enter amount budgeted in 17/18 and amounts spent on varius transportation purposes in	\$1,237,756 FY22/23	\$936,200 FY21/22	\$908,659 FY20/21	\$266,536 \$809,833 FY19/20	\$1,003,330 \$1,166,926 FY18/19	\$1,057,000 \$852,171 FY17/18	\$0 <b>\$349,436</b>	\$0 <b>\$353,752</b>	\$0 <b>\$394,714</b>		
Expenditures - Enter amount budgeted in 17/18 and amounts spent on varius transportation purposes in previous Fiscal Years.	\$1,237,756 FY22/23 Budget	\$936,200 FY21/22 Budget	\$908,659 FY20/21 Budget	\$266,536 \$809,833 FY19/20 Budget	\$1,003,330 \$1,166,926 FY18/19 Budget	\$1,057,000 \$852,171 FY17/18 Budget	\$0 <b>\$349,436</b> FY16/17	\$0 \$353,752 FY15/16	\$0 <b>\$394,714</b> FY14/15		
Expenditures - Enter amount budgeted in 17/18 and amounts spent on varius transportation purposes in previous Fiscal Years.  On-going Operations: Admin & Engineering	\$1,237,756 FY22/23 Budget \$722,000	\$936,200 FY21/22 Budget \$856,048	\$908,659  FY20/21 Budget  \$716,285	\$266,536 \$809,833 FY19/20 Budget \$567,553	\$1,003,330 \$1,166,926 FY18/19 Budget \$598,064	\$1,057,000 \$852,171 FY17/18 Budget \$335,462	\$0 \$349,436 FY16/17 \$285,943	\$0 \$353,752 FY15/16 \$307,668	\$0 \$394,714 FY14/15 \$259,867		
Expenditures - Enter amount budgeted in 17/18 and amounts spent on varius transportation purposes in previous Fiscal Years.  On-going Operations: Admin & Engineering Street Maintenance	\$1,237,756 FY22/23 Budget \$722,000 \$355,800	\$936,200 FY21/22 Budget \$856,048 \$322,964	\$908,659  FY20/21 Budget  \$716,285 \$497,611	\$266,536 \$809,833 FY19/20 Budget \$567,553 \$369,709	\$1,003,330 \$1,166,926 FY18/19 Budget \$598,064 \$392,403	\$1,057,000 \$852,171 FY17/18 Budget \$335,462 \$410,923	\$0 \$349,436 FY16/17 \$285,943	\$0 \$353,752 FY15/16 \$307,668 \$364,570	\$0 \$394,714 FY14/15 \$259,867 \$301,853		
Expenditures - Enter amount budgeted in 17/18 and amounts spent on varius transportation purposes in previous Fiscal Years.  On-going Operations: Admin & Engineering Street Maintenance Street Repairs	\$1,237,756 FY22/23 Budget \$722,000 \$355,800	\$936,200 FY21/22 Budget \$856,048 \$322,964	\$908,659  FY20/21 Budget  \$716,285 \$497,611	\$266,536 \$809,833 FY19/20 Budget \$567,553 \$369,709	\$1,003,330 \$1,166,926 FY18/19 Budget \$598,064 \$392,403	\$1,057,000 \$852,171 FY17/18 Budget \$335,462 \$410,923	\$0 \$349,436 FY16/17 \$285,943	\$0 \$353,752 FY15/16 \$307,668 \$364,570 \$78,194	\$0 \$394,714 FY14/15 \$259,867 \$301,853		
Expenditures - Enter amount budgeted in 17/18 and amounts spent on varius transportation purposes in previous Fiscal Years.  On-going Operations: Admin & Engineering Street Maintenance Street Repairs Equipment Purchase	\$1,237,756 FY22/23 Budget \$722,000 \$355,800	\$936,200 FY21/22 Budget \$856,048 \$322,964 \$66,000	\$908,659  FY20/21 Budget  \$716,285 \$497,611	\$266,536 \$809,833 FY19/20 Budget \$567,553 \$369,709	\$1,003,330 \$1,166,926 FY18/19 Budget \$598,064 \$392,403	\$1,057,000 \$852,171 FY17/18 Budget \$335,462 \$410,923	\$0 \$349,436 FY16/17 \$285,943	\$0 \$353,752 FY15/16 \$307,668 \$364,570 \$78,194 \$25,000	\$0 \$394,714 FY14/15 \$259,867 \$301,853 \$123,045		

Source/location of supporting budget or fiscal statement documentation.

FY 2021-2022 Annual Budget

#### Certification:

I hereby certify that the \_\_\_\_\_ City of Scotts Valley

has budgeted and will meet the Maintenance

of Effort requirement for Fiscal Year 2021/2022

Chris Lamm

12/22/2022

Public Works Director

Date

<sup>\*</sup>Funding sources excluded from MOE calculation are listed for information purposes and will make it easier to reconcile this report against annual budgets. Excluded sources include special, non-formula, competitive grants, and/or past revenues ca and/or emergencies. This includes one-time grants - such as RSTP/STBG, STIP, ATP, ARRA, FEMA, HSIP, FTA5310, No & Low Emission Bus program.

#### Measure D Maintenance of Effort (MOE) Baseline Calculation Form

City of Scotts Valley Jurisdiction:

Calculation of Annual Average Transportation Expenditures/Baseline MOE:

Revenue Sources by Expenditure Category e.g. categories: Fixed-Route Service, paratransit service, streetsweeping, pavement, bike, ped, etc.

REVENUES - Enter the total revenues expended in previous Fiscal Years (for transportation) from all local sources and state/fed formula programs.	FY22/23 Budget	FY21/22 Budget	FY20/21 Budget	FY19/20 Budget	FY18/19 Budget	FY17/18 Budget	FY16/17	FY15/16	FY14/15	FY13/14	FY12/13
Gas Tax	\$601,260	\$549,600	\$498,329	\$493,321	\$478,609	\$333,100	\$237,289	\$261,455	\$341,807	\$375,790	\$273,759
Traffic Mitigation Impact Fees	\$285,210	\$63,600	\$62,000	\$43,784	\$383,197	\$174,600	\$95,003	\$81,216	\$42,763	\$61,898	\$131,245
Drainage Construction Impact Fees	\$37,977	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$4,848	\$16,803	\$54,605	\$9,825	\$4,730	\$5,214	\$54,825	\$6,947
RSTPX Exchange Funds			\$54,200	\$266,536	\$1,003,330	\$711,000				\$225,000	
Other State Grants	\$900,528	\$400,000	\$1,844,844			\$346,000					
Investment Income						\$7,305	\$7,319	\$6,351	\$4,930	\$4,563	\$5,053
Mesure D Sales Tax for Transportation	\$313,309	\$308,000	\$333,330	\$267,880	\$288,317	\$282,561					
Average Annual MOE Revenues	\$2,138,284	\$1,336,200	\$2,807,703	\$1,076,369	\$2,170,256		\$349,436	\$353,752	\$394,714	\$722,076	\$417,004
MOE Baseline (Average of last 3 to 5 years)							\$447,396				
MOE Baseline (Average of last 3 to 5 years)		¢400,000	¢1 900 044	¢266 E26	¢1 002 220			ćol	ćol	¢225 000	ćo
MOE Exclusions*	\$900,528		\$1,899,044	\$266,536		\$1,057,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$225,000	\$0
, , ,	\$900,528 \$900,528	\$400,000 \$400,000 \$936,200	\$1,899,044 \$1,899,044 \$908,659	\$266,536 \$266,536 \$809,833	\$1,003,330 \$1,003,330 <b>\$1,166,926</b>	\$1,057,000		\$0 \$0 \$353,752	\$0 \$0 \$394,714	\$225,000 \$225,000 <b>\$497,076</b>	\$0 \$0 <b>\$417,004</b>
MOE Exclusions*  Excluded special one-time grants	\$900,528 \$900,528	\$400,000	\$1,899,044	\$266,536	\$1,003,330	\$1,057,000 \$1,057,000	\$0 <i>\$0</i>	\$0	\$0	\$225,000	\$0
MOE Exclusions* Excluded special one-time grants Total Average Annual Transportation Expenditures  Expenditures - Enter amount budgeted in 21/22 and amounts spent on varius transportation purposes in	\$900,528 \$900,528 \$1,237,756	\$400,000 \$936,200 FY21/22	\$1,899,044 \$908,659 FY20/21	\$266,536 \$809,833 FY19/20	\$1,003,330 \$1,166,926 FY18/19	\$1,057,000 \$1,057,000 \$852,171 FY17/18	\$0 \$0 \$349,436	\$0 <b>\$353,752</b>	\$0 <b>\$394,714</b>	\$225,000 <b>\$497,076</b>	\$0 <b>\$417,004</b>
MOE Exclusions* Excluded special one-time grants Total Average Annual Transportation Expenditures  Expenditures - Enter amount budgeted in 21/22 and amounts spent on varius transportation purposes in previous Fiscal Years.	\$900,528 \$900,528 \$1,237,756 FY22/23 Budget	\$400,000 \$936,200 FY21/22 Budget	\$1,899,044 \$908,659 FY20/21 Budget	\$266,536 \$809,833 FY19/20 Budget	\$1,003,330 \$1,166,926 FY18/19 Budget	\$1,057,000 \$1,057,000 \$852,171 FY17/18 Budget	\$0 \$0 \$349,436 FY16/17	\$0 \$353,752 FY15/16	\$0 \$394,714 FY14/15	\$225,000 \$497,076 FY13/14	\$0 \$417,004 FY12/13
MOE Exclusions* Excluded special one-time grants Total Average Annual Transportation Expenditures  Expenditures - Enter amount budgeted in 21/22 and amounts spent on varius transportation purposes in previous Fiscal Years.  On-going Operations: Admin & Engineering	\$900,528 \$900,528 \$1,237,756 FY22/23 Budget	\$400,000 \$936,200 FY21/22 Budget \$856,048	\$1,899,044 \$908,659 FY20/21 Budget \$716,285	\$266,536 \$809,833 FY19/20 Budget \$567,553	\$1,003,330 \$1,166,926 FY18/19 Budget \$598,064	\$1,057,000 \$1,057,000 \$852,171 FY17/18 Budget \$335,462	\$0 \$0 \$349,436 FY16/17	\$0 \$353,752 FY15/16 \$307,668	\$0 \$394,714 FY14/15 \$259,867	\$225,000 \$497,076 FY13/14 \$284,958	\$0 \$417,004 FY12/13 \$237,313
MOE Exclusions* Excluded special one-time grants Total Average Annual Transportation Expenditures  Expenditures - Enter amount budgeted in 21/22 and amounts spent on varius transportation purposes in previous Fiscal Years.  On-going Operations: Admin & Engineering Street Maintenance	\$900,528 \$900,528 \$1,237,756 FY22/23 Budget \$722,000 \$355,800	\$400,000 \$936,200 FY21/22 Budget \$856,048 \$322,964	\$1,899,044 \$908,659 FY20/21 Budget \$716,285 \$497,611	\$266,536 \$809,833 FY19/20 Budget \$567,553 \$369,709	\$1,003,330 \$1,166,926 FY18/19 Budget \$598,064 \$392,403	\$1,057,000 \$1,057,000 \$852,171 FY17/18 Budget \$335,462 \$410,923	\$0 \$0 \$349,436 FY16/17	\$0 \$353,752 FY15/16 \$307,668 \$364,570	\$0 \$394,714 FY14/15 \$259,867 \$301,853	\$225,000 \$497,076 FY13/14 \$284,958 \$363,342	\$0 \$417,004 FY12/13 \$237,313
MOE Exclusions* Excluded special one-time grants: Total Average Annual Transportation Expenditures  Expenditures - Enter amount budgeted in 21/22 and amounts spent on varius transportation purposes in previous Fiscal Years.  On-going Operations: Admin & Engineering Street Maintenance Street Repairs	\$900,528 \$900,528 \$1,237,756 FY22/23 Budget \$722,000 \$355,800	\$400,000 \$936,200 FY21/22 Budget \$856,048 \$322,964	\$1,899,044 \$908,659 FY20/21 Budget \$716,285 \$497,611	\$266,536 \$809,833 FY19/20 Budget \$567,553 \$369,709	\$1,003,330 \$1,166,926 FY18/19 Budget \$598,064 \$392,403	\$1,057,000 \$1,057,000 \$852,171 FY17/18 Budget \$335,462 \$410,923	\$0 \$0 \$349,436 FY16/17	\$0 \$353,752 FY15/16 \$307,668 \$364,570 \$78,194	\$0 \$394,714 FY14/15 \$259,867 \$301,853	\$225,000 \$497,076 FY13/14 \$284,958 \$363,342	\$0 \$417,004 FY12/13 \$237,313

**Total Expenditure by Year** 

\$921,179 \$1,422,356 \$1,102,443 \$660,483

has budgeted and will meet the Maintenance

Source/location of supporting budget or fiscal statement documentation.

FY 2021-2022 Annual Budget

**Subtotal Construction** 

Certification:

I hereby certify that the City of Scotts Valley of Effort requirement for Fiscal Year 2021-2022

Public Works Director

12/22/2022 Date

\*Funding sources excluded from MOE calculation are listed for information purposes and will make it easier to reconcile this report against annual budgets. Excluded sources include special, non-formula, competitive grants, and/or past revenues carried over for special proejcts and/or emergencies. This includes one-time grants - such as RSTP/STBG, STIP, ATP, ARRA, FEMA, HSIP, FTA5310, No & Low Emission Bus program.

\$3,568,600 \$2,584,112 \$1,988,896 \$2,922,262 \$1,796,467 \$2,410,360 \$1,154,830

# Measure D: Guidelines for Direct Allocations for Neighborhood Projects and Transportation for Seniors and People with Disabilities Approved by SCCRTC 5/18/17

#### Section 7. Maintenance of Effort

A. Recipient shall use Measure D funds to supplement and not replace existing revenues used for transportation purposes, including agency indirect costs and overhead. A maintenance of effort formula ensures that Measure D results in an increased or sustained level of transportation-related activities than would otherwise have been possible. Existing funds, revenues and other resources being used for transportation purposes include but are not limited to federal and state funding, the collection of traffic impact mitigation fees, other local impact fees, and dedications of property. The funds generated by the Transportation Tax shall not be used to replace existing transportation funding or to replace requirements for new development to provide for its own transportation needs. While Measure D funds shall not be used to directly replace and/or reduce those charges, Recipient may reduce or provide subsidies to development for their transportation needs and/or charges using other non-transportation sources to offset those charges. The entities receiving Measure D Revenues shall maintain their existing commitment of discretionary local transportation-related expenditures for transportation purposes pursuant to the ordinance, and the RTC shall enforce this requirement by appropriate actions, including fiscal audits of the local agencies.

- B. An agency's baseline "maintenance of effort" shall be calculated based on the average of revenues and expanditures for transportation purposes in the three to five fiscal years prior to the start of Measure D allocations C. The baseline calculation shall include the following revenues: local discretionary revenues, formula funds received for transportation purposes (e.g. gas tax, state transit assistance, FTA5311, etc).
- 1. Local agency discretionary revenues (general funds, sales and property taxes and other fees) designated for transportation purposes which were calculated for the baseline shall be adjusted annually in proportion to growth or decline of gross Measure D sales tax revenues. Dedicated funds for transportation which are not locally generated, such as state gas tax and other state and federal formula funds shall not be subject to this adjustment.
- 2. Revenues from special, non-formula, competitive grants, and/or past revenues carried over into FY14/15-16/17 (and optionally FY12/13 and 13/14) for special projects and/or emergencies will not be part of MOE baseline calculation but shall still be identified in the baseline report. This includes, but is not limited to, one-time grants such as Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP)/Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG), State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), Active Transportation Program (ATP), American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA), Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP), Highway Bridge Program (HBP), FTA5310, and FEMA grants. While these are not part of the baseline calculation, agencies will be expected to continue to seek and secure grants from other sources, use some Measure D funds to leverage other grants, and not depend on Measure D formula funds to supplent those efforts.

- D. Expenditures: In the baseline report, Recipients shall also show how revenues were used in FY14/15-16/17 (and optionally FY12/13 and 13/14). This includes a breakdown by transportation purpose, such as ongoing operations, maintenance, transit service type, major projects, street sweeping, pavement, bicycle projects, walkways, as well as outside transportation programs your agency has contributed funds to (such as safe route to school, transportation demand management, and paratransit programs), and agency staffing, overhead and indirect costs.
- E. Exceptions: The RTC recognizes that there will be instances where other and sometimes longstanding local, state, or federal revenues, formula funds, and grants will fluctuate or no longer be available. There also may be instances where a recipient agency reserves several years of funds, then spends several years of banked revenues in one fiscal year. If there are past revenues or expenditures which an agency proposes to exclude from the baseline maintenance of effort calculations, or if an agency is unable to meet the baseline maintenance of effort in a given year, the agency must provide a written justification for such exclusion for consideration by the RTC and the Measure D Oversight Committee. The written justification must include evidence for the need for any lower base amount.
- F. If extraordinary storm damage or other emergencies occur in a given year, an agency may request an exception to maintenance of effort requirements that year.
- G. Recipient agencies have up to three fiscal years to meet the adjusted minimum local revenue expenditure requirement, outlined in Section 7.C.1. If an agency fails to submit annual reports or within three fiscal years the adjusted average annual expenditures of local discretionary revenues over the past three years do not meet the adjusted minimum local expenditure maintenance of effort requirements, the Measure D allocations amount to the RECIPIENT will be reduced by the amount that the agency did not meet the baseline level of expenditures of the agency discretionary revenues and the remaining Measure D will be reserved until any and all maintenance of effort expenditures are fulfilled or a plan to meet the maintenance of effort amount moving forward has been approved by the RTC.
- H. Recipients shall submit their baseline calculation to the RTC by August 1, 2017. Recipients shall demonstrate and certify their maintenance of effort through the annual report and audit, and provide supporting documentation of how they calculated their maintenance of effort, which may include annual fiscal expenditure reports or ledgers

# **Measure D Five Year Plan**

Measure D: 5-Year Program of Projects (FY22/23-FY26/27)

Agency: City of Scotts Valley
Expenditure Plan Category: Neighborhood Projects

# **Measure D Revenues**

 Rollover
 FY22/23
 FY23/24
 FY24/25
 FY25/26
 FY26/27

 Estimated Annual Measure D Allocations
 \$ 199,987
 \$ 313,156
 \$ 318,595
 \$ 324,122
 \$ 329,737
 \$ 335,454

			Amount of Measure D Funds to be Used									
Name/Road/Limits	Description, Complete Streets Components	Total Measure D	Rollover	FY22/23	FY23/24	FY24/25	FY25/26	FY26/27	Other Funds (\$)	Other Fund Sources	Est. Constructio n Start Date	
Bean Creek Road	Rework subgrade, asphalt overlaying, drainage improvements, and restriping (two phases)	\$ 959,000		\$ 359,000			\$ 100,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 400,000	RSTPX/SB1	Fall	yes
Scotts Valley Drive	Crack seal	\$ 75,000		\$ 75,000							Spring	no
Janis Way	Digout and overlay and sidewalk and bikelanes	\$ 250,000		\$ 250,000						SB1	Spring	no
Glenwood Drive (Scotts Valley Drive to K Street)	Crack seal and type-2 slurry and bike lanes and striping	\$ 100,000			\$ 100,000					SB1	Spring	no
Mt Herman Road	digouts & crack seal & slurry & La Madronna intersection rework	\$ 200,000				\$ 200,000					Spring	yes
Lockwood Lane (Mt Hermon to City Limit)	Digouts and overlay and striping and bike lanes	\$ 100,000					\$ 100,000			SB1	Spring	no

Estimated Annual Measure D Expenditures		\$ 684,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 500,000
Carry Over to Next Fiscal Year	\$ 199,987	\$ (170,857)	\$ 47,738	\$ 171,860	\$ 177,475	\$ 7,314
Annual Interest Earnings on Measure D Revenues						

<sup>\*</sup>For Major Projects (e.g. require CEQA, over \$1M, and/or lots of public interest), provide separate one-page summary with longer description, describe consistency with the Complete Streets Act; Cost/Funding/schedule by phase)

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 1923.5**

# RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SCOTTS VALLEY APPROVING THE FY 2022/23 TO FY 2026/27 FIVE-YEAR PROGRAM OF PROJECTS TO BE FUNDED WITH MEASURE D REVENUES

**WHEREAS**, on November 8, 2016, the voters of Santa Cruz County adopted an ordinance approving the Santa Cruz County Transportation Improvement Plan Measure ("Measure D"), authorizing the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission ("RTC") to administer the proceeds from a retail transaction and use tax of one-half of one percent (0.5%); and

**WHEREAS**, sales tax proceeds from Measure D will be collected for 30 years beginning April 1, 2017, which are to be used to pay for the programs and projects outlined in the Measure D Expenditure Plan and Ordinance; and

**WHEREAS**, the Master Funding Agreement between RTC and the City of Scotts Valley articulates the terms and conditions of RTC's administration and direct allocation of proceeds to the City as authorized in the Measure D Expenditure Plan; and

**WHEREAS**, the Master Funding Agreement requires that the City Council annual adopt an annual report that includes a five-year program of projects including information about each of the projects to be funded with Measure D revenues after holding a public hearing; and

**WHEREAS**, the five-year program of projects is considered part of the City's Five-Year Capital Improvement Plan, which was published for public review on the City's website on April 28, 2022 and reviewed during a public hearing at the City Council's regular meeting on May 4, 2022; and

**WHEREAS**, the five-year program of projects, attached hereto and incorporated herein, lists the projects proposed by the City that are consistent with the Measure D Expenditure Plan.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED** that the FY 2022/23 to FY2026/27 Five-Year Program of Projects to be funded with Measure D revenues, attached hereto and incorporated herein, is approved.

The above and foregoing resolution was duly and regularly adopted by the City Council of the City of Scotts Valley at a regular meeting held on the 4th day of May, 2022, by the following vote:

AYES: Dilles, Johnson, Lind, Reed, Timm

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None

Approved:

Donna Lind, Mayor

0740578C04AG68A

Attest:

Cathie Simonovich, City Clerk

# City of Scotts Valley CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT

**DATE:** May 4, 2022

**TO:** Honorable Mayor and City Council

**FROM:** Chris Lamm, Public Works Director

**APPROVED:** Mali LaGoe, City Manager

SUBJECT: PUBLIC HEARING AND APPROVAL OF MEASURE D FY22/23-

26/27 FIVE YEAR PROGRAM

## **SUMMARY OF ISSUE**

Passed in November 2016 by Santa Cruz County Voters, Measure D provides funding for efforts related to maintaining and improving local roads, including pavement, bicycle, and pedestrian infrastructure for a period of 30 years. As a part of the measure's accountability and transparency measures, each agency receiving funds is required to develop, update, hold a public hearing on, and adopt a five-year program of projects ("5-Year Plan") every year. 5-Year Plans are adjusted annually based on actual expenditures, updated revenue projections, proposed allowances to projects, updated project schedules, and updated cost estimates.

#### FISCAL IMPACT

Measure D will fund or partially fund projects on the 5-Year Plan, and will be used to help leverage additional grant funding by serving as local match.

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council adopt Resolution No. 1923.5 approving the list of Measure D Projects for FY22-23 through FY26-27.

TABLE OF CONTENTS	<u>PAGE</u>
Resolution No. 1923.5 approving Measure D 5-Year Plan	2
Measure D 5-Year Plan	

#### 10. Annual Report Narrative

<u>10a. Fund Balances, Carryover Projects, Description of planned, longer-term and future uses of Measure D Revenues</u>

Measure D funds are tracked by the Finance Department in conjunction with Public Works.

The next big project on the list for Measure D funds is the rehabilitation of Bean Creek Road. The first phase of Bean Creek road includes pavement rehabilitation, drainage improvements and guardrail installation between Bluebonnet Lane and Redwood Drive, and is currently in design.

In the next five years, Measure D is also anticipated to help fund road work on a second phase of Bean Creek Road from Redwood Drive to the City limits. Additionally, the adopted plan calls for crack seal on Scotts Valley Drive, crack seal and slurry seal on Mount Hermon Road, crack seal and slurry seal on Glenwood Drive (Scotts Valley Drive to K Street), overlay on Lockwood Lane and overlay and sidewalk improvements on Janis Way.

#### 10b. Future Liabilities

Local funds, along with other grants and funding sources, such as SB1, will be used in conjunction with Measure D to meet the financial need of the project.

#### 10c. Compliance with Applicable Laws

All projects meet required CEQA laws; to date, all projects have qualified for a CEQA exemption. Additionally, all design is done in compliance with City and State standards. Finally, procurement for materials and labor meet California public contract code requirements.

# 12. Samples of Public Outreach

# a. website with Measure D funded projects

http://scottsvalley.org/279/Plans-Projects

# b. News Articles

 $\frac{https://www.santacruzsentinel.com/2022/06/10/mayors-message-scotts-valley-officials-attend-summit/$